AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY

Vol. XII, 1.

WHOLE No. 45.

I.—ON ADAPTATION OF SUFFIXES IN CONGENERIC CLASSES OF SUBSTANTIVES.

The term adaptation is used here to designate the infusion with some definite grammatical or lexical value, of a formal element originally either devoid of any special functional value, or possessed of a value which has faded out so completely as to make this infusion possible. Thus in English sing, sang, sung; German werde, ward, (ge-)worden the different vowels are felt to be the carriers of the tense-distinction. Here the association of the vocalic variations with temporal distinctions is a comparatively recent development: the variation (ablaut) is due to phonetic causes, very largely differences in accentuation, which had no direct connection with temporal distinctions. But with the decay of the inflectional elements which did convey the distinctions of tense, the vowel of each form was associated more and more with the special vocalic color of the root, until in modern English radical i is to all intents and purposes the significant vowel of the present, a of the imperfect, and u of the perfect passive participle. It is as though Homeric δέρκομαι, δέδορκα, ἔδρακον had in the later development of Greek speech become subject to a phonetic corruption which stripped them of personal inflections, reduplication and augment resulting in pres. *δερκ, perf. *δορκ, aor. *δρακ, and e, o, a would then be felt as the respective causes of the varying tense-values. An instance in which the grammatical value of a form has faded out so completely as to permit its infusion with a new value, originally altogether foreign to it, is the use of the element -mini in Latin as the personal inflection of the second plur. passive. Lat. legimini (sc. estis) is = λεγό-μενοι, nom. plur. masc.

Vol. XII,-1.

of the middle-passive participle. After this form had been woven into the paradigm of the present passive its origin was entirely forgotten; it was felt to be a personal inflection, and was then extended throughout the passive system, yielding legebāminī, legeminī, legāminī and legereminī, thus succeeding in adapting the form -mini completely to the use of an ordinary personal inflection. Other cases of adaptation in modern English are contained in men, feet as the plur. of man, foot: here the umlaut (cf. Germ. männer, füsse) has been adapted as a plural sign; in oxen as plur. of ox (Germ. der ochs, des ochsen, both singular): here the element -en- originally a nominal suffix (cf. Vedic uksan- 'ox'; Lat. stem homin- 'man') has been adapted to use as a sign of the plural. Cf. in general Paul, Prinzipien der Sprachgeschichte^a, p. 172; Delbrück, Einleitung in das Sprachstudium¹, pp. 66 fg. (where Ludwig's theory and writings on adaptation are quoted and discussed), 96 fg.; Windisch, Personalendungen im Griechischen und Sanskrit, Transactions of the Royal Saxon Academy, March 2, 1889, pp. 7 fg.

1. The Greek Nominative πούς.

An ancient riddle of Greek grammar is the Ionic-Attic nominative $\pi o \hat{\nu} s$, gen. $\pi o \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma} s$. A more original nominative in Greek appears in Doric $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, preserved in the gloss of Hesychius, $\pi \hat{\omega} s^{-1} \cdot \pi \delta s \cdot \hat{\nu} \pi \delta \Delta \omega \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \omega v$ (cf. also his other gloss $\pi \delta \rho \cdot \pi o \hat{\nu} s$. A $\Delta \kappa \omega \rho \iota s s$). The diphthong ov of $\pi o \hat{\nu} s$ cannot hail from proethnic times, as the related languages have only monophthongs: \bar{e} in Latin $p \bar{e} s$ (* $p \bar{e} d - s$) and $com p \bar{e} s$; \bar{o} in Doric $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, Lat. $com p \bar{o} s = com p \bar{e} s$ in Priscian i. p. 26 H, Gothic $f \bar{o} t - u s$, nom. plur. Old Norse * $f \bar{o} t - i r$ in $f \bar{o} e t r$ (umlaut); \bar{o} in $\pi o \bar{o} - \delta s$, Lat. $t r i - p o d - \bar{a} t u s$; Umbrian d u - p u r s - u s, p e t u r - p u r s - u s 'bipedibus, quadrupedibus' (Iguvinian tablets vi. b); \bar{e} in p e d - i s, $\pi \hat{e} \zeta a$ (* $\pi e \bar{o} - \bar{\iota} a$), etc.; reduced root p d - i n Zend f r a - b d - a - c fore-foot.' Nowhere is there an opening for an original Greek diphthong $\sigma v = I$. E. δu or $\bar{o} u$; the stem evidently belongs to the so-called e - o - s e r i s. As a special Greek p h o n e t i c development, Attic oxytone $\pi o \hat{\nu} s$ could stand only for * $\pi o \nu s$ (cf. Cretan $\tau o \nu s$) Attic $\tau o \nu s$)

¹ For the accent of this form see the author in A. J. P. IX 15; Brugmann, Griechische Grammatik², §74.

⁹ Sk. påd, gen. pad-ds; Zend pā\(\tilde{v}\)em and pa\(\tilde{v}\)eby\(\tilde{o}\) exhibit the same relations of quantity as appears in the European languages: the qualitative relation has disappeared. But there is no diphthong.

or * $\pi \delta \nu rs$ like the participle $\delta \delta \nu s$ for * $\delta \delta - \nu r - s$. For these there is no basis.

Various attempts have been made to explain the form, some in recent years, e. g. by Joh. Schmidt in KZ. xxv. 16, and Solmsen ibid. xxix. 358, note. I regard them as unsatisfactory and omit their refutation. G. Meyer, Griech. Gramm. §313, says: 'Attisch πούς jedenfalls eine Neubildung ist bisher unerklärt.' Very recently Brugmann, Grundriss ii. 450; Griechische Grammatiks, §74, is of the same opinion. I believe that Attic-Ionic nom. πούς foot is made in direct imitation of Pan-Hellenic odoo's tooth,1 the point of contact being the meaning: both are parts of the body. Designations of parts of the body exercise strong analogical influence upon one another, and occasionally the suffix of some one of them succeeds in adapting itself so as to be felt the characteristic element which bestows upon the word its value. That is to say, when such a suffix has spread analogically to a greater or lesser extent within the category, then the meaning of the category may be felt to be dependent upon the special form of the suffix, or, stated conversely, the suffix may be infused with the special characteristic of the category; after that, when occasion arises to form new words of this same class, the suffix is put into requisition as though it were the essential element which imparts. to the word its special significance. This thesis, though stated narrowly for the present only in reference to designations of parts of the body, is sufficiently important to justify our dwelling upon it at length; it will in the end lead us to a much broader field than the one just indicated. First we shall assemble certain cases in which assimilation and adaptation has influenced designations of parts of the body.

2. Designations of parts of the body by heteroclitic stems in r and n.

As early as I. E. times a considerable group of designations of parts of the body were formed after a peculiar heteroclitic declension. They were neuters having the casus recti in -r and the casus obliqui in -n:

liver: ἡπαρ, ήπατος; Lat. jĕcur, jĕcinoris (for *jecinis: the syllable or came in from the nominative, perhaps through the

¹Aeolic ἐδοντες is transformed by popular etymology (ἐδω). δδων, Hdt. vi. 107; Herodian ii. 928, II, is the result of proportional analogy: ἰδωντες: ἰδων = δδωντες: x, i. e. δδων.

channel of the genitive jecoris, which in turn was made upon the base of the nom.; cf. ūber-is below); Sk. yákṛt, yaknás; Zend yākare (Zend-Pehlevi glossary: the oblique n-stem does not occur); Lith. jekn-os, fem. plurale tantum, and jekanas (Bezzenberger, zur Geschichte der litauischen Sprache, p. 291) are based upon the n-stem, the r-stem being wanting. Through the medium of a 'ground-form' liēqrt Joh. Schmidt, Die Pluralbildungen der indogermanischen Neutra, p. 198 fg., adds to this group the German words for 'liver,' Ohg. libera, lebera, Ags. lifer, as also Armenian leard and Old Prussian lagno.

udder: οὖθαρ, οὕθατος; Sk. ūdhar, ūdhnas; Latin ūber, which has passed also into the oblique cases: the n-stem perhaps in Oufen-s (Festus), Ufen-s 'name of a river.' Germanic forms: Mhg. ūter, Nhg. euter, Ags. of ūdrum 'uberibus,' Eng. udder exhibit the r-stem; in Ohg. dative sg. ūtrin there is mixture of r- and n-stems in reversed order from that which has taken place in Lat.

jecinoris; see above.

blood: Vedic ásṛg (TS. ásṛt), asnás, classical Sanskrit asr-a-m; Greek ĕap, Epic ĕlap =*ŋap, the corresponding n-stem being lost; Lat. assir in Festus, Paul Epit. p. 16; Loewe, Prodr. 142. Lettish asins presents the n-stem. I. E. ēsṛʒ or ásṛʒ, gen. asn-ós.¹

thigh: femur, feminis with assimilations in both directions; the nom. femen (rare according to Priscian vi. 52) and the geni-

tive femoris (cf. jecoris, above).

wing: r-stem, Lat. *peter in acci-piter 'quick-wing, falcon'; the n-stem petn- in penna for *petn-a 'wing, feather.' The r-stem further in πτερ-ό-ν, Ohg. federa, fedara, Ags. feder, Sk. patr-a- 'wing, feather,' Zd. patere-ta- 'winged' (cf. πτερω-τό-s), Cymric atar 'winged.' The n-stem further in Cymric etn, Old Irish en 'bird.' The recent discussion of the word by Joh. Schmidt, loc. cit. pp. 173-176, seems to establish the I. E. bases petrz, gen. petn-όs in close parallelism with the word for 'blood' above: ásrz, or ésrz, (cf. elap), gen. asn-ós.

inner body, viscera, vein: Homeric ήτορ with Aeolic ορ for

*accipiter for *acu-piter (cf. acu-pedius, ἀκύ-ς) may be the result of popular etymology which associated the word with accipio; see Joh. Schmidt, Plural-bildungen, p. 174.

According to De Saussure (Mémoire, p. 225), Lat. san-gu-en (Ennius ap. Cic.) belongs to this group, san- for (a)sn- with gu from the nom. (cf. Sk. dsrg). The -en at the end a second time in deference to the old declension of the word in the oblique cases (Vedic asn-ds). Differently W. Schulze, KZ. xxix. 257.

aρ (Schmidt, ibid. 177) 'heart' and ἢτρ-ο-ν 'abdomen'; Ohg. ādara, inn-ādiri 'viscera,' Ags. aedre, Old Norse aeŏr 'vein,' Obg. ĕdro 'bosom' (formed like ἢτρον), Oir. in-athar 'entrails.' The complementary n-stem is wanting, but the restriction of the declension of ἢτορ in Homer to the casus recti (dative ἤτορι later, Simon. 7. 7) shows that the type was once *ēter, *ĕtn-ós, or the like. For the variety of meanings cf. Vedic hirā 'vein,' Lat. hīra 'intestine,' harū-spex 'he who inspects the entrails, soothsayer.'

excrement: σκῶρ, σκατός; Sk. çákṛt, çaknás; Lat. mūs-(s)cerda, Festus 146 (thence cerda falsely clipped off for bū-cerda, etc., Schmidt, ibid. 178); Old Norse skarn; Obg. skvrina; Lith. tu skverne 'invective against misbehaving children.' In the last three the r- and n-stems are blended; cf. jecinoris and utrin, above.

nerve, sinew, etc.: I. E. casus recti snew, snew-t; casus obliqui snew-os, etc. Zend snavare 'sinew,' Ossetic navr 'vein,' Ohg. snuor 'schnur,' Goth. snor jo 'wicker-basket,' from stem snewer. The stem snewt in Arm. neard 'nerve, sinew,' Hübschmann, Armenische Studien, p. 45, Nr. 217. The n-stem in Vedic snavan 'sinew, string.' Greek veopo-v contains the usual extension to the o-declension = sneuro-. For Latin nervu-s see Vanicek, Griechisch-Lateinisches Wörterbuch ii. p. 1161.

gall: Gr. χολερός seems to be an extension of an r-stem (cf. ἡμέρα: ἡμαρ) which is supplemented by the n-stem contained in Ohg. galla, O. Norse gall, Lat. fellis. The stems seem to have been I. E. zholr-, zholn-ós or zheln-ós. Cf. Froehde, Bezz. Beitr. vii. 105; Johansson, Gött. Gel. Anz. 1890, Nr. 19, p. 766, note.

mouth, jaw: Zend zafare, gen. zafanō. The r-stem is occasionally extended into the oblique cases: dat. zafrē; nom. plur. zafra. The n-stem also in pri-zafanem acc. sg. masc. 'three-headed.'

flat of the hand, sole of the foot: θέναρ, θέναρος (the r-stem extended through the oblique cases), ὀπίσθεναρ for *ὀπισθόθεναρ (haplography) 'outer hand,' ὑπόθεναρ 'palm under the thumb'; Ohg. tenar, tenra, Mhg. tener 'flat hand.'2

'gak- for sak- by assimilation of the dental sibilant to the guttural of the consecutive syllable; see Bloomfield and Spieker, Proceedings of the American Oriental Society for May, 1886, p. xl. (Journal, Vol. xiii, p. cxxi). Is Lat. cōram (*cōsam) from a root whose Sk. equivalent is $k\bar{a}g = k\bar{a}s$ to be visible'? Cf. sa- $k\bar{a}ga$ 'presence,' sak $\bar{a}ge$ 'in the presence of, near,' $\bar{A}ge$. Gr. i. 18. 7.

The adaptation of the suffix r-n to this group extended itself also to the originally broader meaning of the word, which seems to have been 'flat

The limitation of this class is not by a hard and fast line. Perhaps $\delta\delta\epsilon\rho\sigma$ · $\gamma a\sigma\tau\eta\rho$ (Hesych.), Vedic $udar\dot{a}$ -m and $ud\dot{a}ra$ -m belly, stomach, represent another r-stem of this class, extended by the suffix - σ -. Likewise $\sigma\bar{\nu}\phi a\rho$ 'wrinkled skin' (cf. Lat. $s\bar{u}ber$ 'cork-tree: tree with wrinkled bark'); $i\chi\omega\rho$ lymph, ichor (cf. $\bar{\epsilon}a\rho$ 'blood') and $i\chi\omega\rho$ scurf (cf. $\sigma\kappa\omega\rho$ 'excrement') may belong here, in part by later adaptation within Hellenic times. For the remaining stems in ρ in Greek see below, p. 21, note.

That so large a portion of the limited group of heteroclitic nouns in r-n should have been absorbed by designations of parts of the body admits of but one explanation. The suffix, in the first place, had no intrinsic value which rendered it especially suitable for words of this kind. It was employed accidentally in some one or two such designations, and thence it was extended gradually by single acts of analogy, becoming more and more productive, until it had adapted itself in proethnic times to this special use. As it was, it never became restricted exclusively to such use (see $\sqrt[n]{\delta}\omega\rho$, $\sqrt[n]{\delta}aros$ and more below): neither did the entire domain of designations of parts of the body succumb to it, nor did it sharpen its own physiognomy to such an extent as to be rendered unfit for other service.

3. Designation of parts of the body by other heteroclitic declensions with n-stems in the oblique cases.

The *n*-stems which appear in the oblique cases of the heteroclitic declension in *r-n* occupy the same territory, the oblique cases, in the paradigms of certain other heteroclitic declensional types; here also the meaning is prevailingly that of parts of the body. The process of adaptation which resulted in the feeling that oblique cases of *n*-stems, when combined with *r*-stems in the casus recti, were suitable for this class of nouns, appears in operation—also in proethnic times—with other stems: consonantal stems, *i*-stems, *s*-stems:

ear: genitive οδατος for *οδοχτος, Gothic ausin-s. The stem of the casus recti is partly consonantal, as in Latin aus of au(s)-dire, aus-culto, Old Irish ό; partly i-stem as in Lat. auri-s, Lith. ausi-s

expanse,' ἀλὸς θέναρ 'expanse of the sea,' cf. Vedic samudrásya dhánvan 'on the strand of the sea.' If dhánvan = θέναρ (for *θεῖναρ, cf. εἰδαρ and εδαρ, Hesych.) we have the n-stem which has been expelled by the r-stem in Greek. Cu. Etym., p. 255, compares also Mhg. tenni 'tenne.'

(in both the *i*-stem is extended through the paradigm), Zend *uši* 'ear,' Obg. *uši* 'the ears'; and partly *s*-stem as in *ausos* in Obg. *ucho*, gen. *ušese*. According to Joh. Schmidt, KZ. xxvi. 17, Pluralbildungen, p. 407, Greek ovs, Doric &s are the contracted forms of *ovoos rather than the direct equivalents of Latin aus-. In German the *n*-stem, Goth. *ausin*-, nom. *ausō*, just as in *augō*, *hairtō*, etc., below, has usurped the entire paradigm.

head: gen. Ved. ςτηςηάs, Hom. κράατος, Attic κρατός. The n-stem also in ἀμφί-κρανο-ς 'two-headed.' Casus recti, originally: Sk. ςίτας 'head, point' = κέρας 'horn,' Lat. *ceres in cerebrum for *ceres-rom (Brugmann, Grundriss i. p. 430; ii. p. 175); Old Norse hjarsi 'crown of the head,' Ohg. hirni for *hirzni (mixture of the s- and n-stems as in Ved. ςτηςηάς: Kluge, Paul und Braune's Beiträge, viii. 522 fg.).

eye: Gothic genitive augins, Sk. gen. akṣṇás: the $\S=I$. E. s is from an s-stem I. E. oq-os = Obg. oko, gen. ocese (cf. the s-stem in the nom. of the word for 'ear,' above). Joh. Schmidt finds the n-stem corresponding to augin- in $\pi\rho \rho\sigma$ - $\delta \pi a \sigma \iota$ and Hom. $\epsilon \delta \rho \rho$ -o σa (ibid. 109, 398, 400); the n-stem corresponding to Sk. akṣn-indirectly in Aeolic $\delta \pi \pi a \tau a$ (ibid. 408 fg.). The casus recti were made from the s-stem oqos, above; from i-stems in Lith. $ak \iota$ -s'eye,' *augi- in Goth. and-augi-ba 'plainly,' Ohg. augi-wis' publice,' and the duals $\delta \sigma \sigma \epsilon = *oq \iota e$, Obg. oci, Zend aši; 'and from consonantal stems $\delta \iota \iota \kappa - \omega \pi - \epsilon s$ 'Axa $\iota \iota \iota$,' Hom. The German has passed the entire paradigm of the word into the n-declension: Goth. augō, Ohg. auga; cf. ausō above. For Arm. akn see p. 9.

The productivity of this suffix in the oblique cases of words for parts of the body, coupled with other stems in the casus recti,⁶

¹ So we may now write indiscriminately all Zend sh-sounds, since Geldner's edition of the text renders the separation into varieties of secondary importance; cf. A. V. W. Jackson, The Avestan Alphabet, p. 20.

⁹ But Hesych.: κέρας · κεφαλή; Etym. Mag. 504, 50: κέρας λέγεται καὶ ἡ κεφαλή. Original meaning of the group: 'the highest part of the body: head with men; horn with horned animals.'

⁸ Exhaustive collections of material, and thorough discussions of this stem and its derivatives in Danielsson, Grammatische und Etymologische Studien I. κάρα, κέρας, Upsala Universitets Årsskrift, 1888; Joh. Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, pp. 363–378.

⁴ The last three Schmidt, ibid. p. 398, regards as consonantal stems with dual ending \bar{s} .

⁵ Except when the entire paradigm of the words of this class has assumed the *n*-type, as is the case in German and Armenian; see Gothic *ausō*, *augō* above, and cf. the next subdivision of this article.

continues in a marked fashion in the separate languages. It is of course impossible to say in every case that this condition is a creation of the separate language: often the *n*-stem may have been lost in all but one language. But there are cases when it may be assumed with great show of probability that the process of adaptation which started this non-descript suffix upon a strongly individualized career in I. E, times was repeated anew by several of the languages. We begin with the cases from the German, where the domain of the neuter *n*-stems is almost entirely monopolized by designations of parts of the body; see Kluge, Stammbildung, §78.

heart: gen. Goth. haírtins, with secondary nom. hairtō. The old casus recti were formed from consonantal stems: $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho(\delta)$, Ved. su-hārd 'of friendly heart'; Lat. stem cord-, Sk. hṛd, Zend stem zered- in instrumental zeredā, Yasna 31. 12 (Geldner's ed.); and from i-stems, Vedic nom. hṛdi, Ohg. herzi-suht 'cardia,' Lith. szird>-s, Obg. sridi-ce. Cf. also Greek καρδία and κραδία, and Sk. hṛdaya-.'

testicle: Old Norse *eista*, neuter *n*-stem. An *s*-stem, perhaps as original representative of the casus recti, appears in Obg. *isto* 'testicle' (cf. the *s*-stems *oko* 'eye,' *ucho* 'ear').

cheek: Ohg. wanga, neut.; Ags. wonge, neut. Old Norse vange is masculine; Old Saxon wanga is fem. See Kluge, Nominale Stammbildungslehre, §78ab; Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 107.

lung: Old Norse lunga. Kluge, ibid.; Schmidt, 108.

ankle: Old Norse okla. See ibid.

collar-bone: Old Norse vibbeina. See ibid. breast-bone: Old Norse flagbrjóska. See ibid.

The following oblique cases of *n*-stems are restricted to Sanskrit, though in more than one case the possibility that correspondents existed in the related languages must be taken into account.

mouth: Sk. gen. āsn-ds. Casus recti Lat. ōs, Sk. ās, consonantal stems; (āsŷa-m), āsía-m, jo-stem.2

¹ The n-declension of this stem is at least Proto-Germanic and may, as far as the oblique cases are concerned, reach back to I. E. times, though this does not admit of proof: Goth. hairto, Old Norse hjarta, Old Saxon herta, Ohg. herza. Ags. heorte has assumed the feminine gender.

²According to Geldner, Metrik d. jüng. Avesta, and KZ. xxiv. 548, Zd. āoṅhānō, Vend. iii. 29, and, according to Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. xv. 33 fg., Zd. asne, and àsnaē-ca also represent the n-stem (āsn-) of this word. The heteroclisis in that case may date back to Indo-Iranian times.

bone: Vedic gen. asthn-ás. Casus recti ásthi, Lat. os, stem ost-, gen. oss-is. Even the Zend, the nearest relative of Vedic, has the gen. ast-as(ca) according to the consonantal declension. Greek $\partial_0 \tau \dot{\epsilon}(\underline{t})$ -ov 'bone' and $\partial_0 \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ -vos 'bony.'

thigh: Vedic gen. sakthn-ás, casus recti sákthi. No certain correspondent in any of the related languages. Cf. De Saussure, Mémoire, p. 226.

fore-arm: Vedic gen. dosn-ás. The casus recti have consonantal dos, which in the later language penetrates into the casus obliqui, e. g. dor-bhyām, Mhbhār. i. 153, dor-bhis, Mālavikā 77.

In Zend also we may note the inroads of this adaptation in two cases of especial interest:

nose: The abl. $n\bar{a}o\hat{n}hanat$ occurs at Vend. iii. 46; ix. 158. It is based upon an n-stem $n\bar{a}sn$ -. The prevailing inflection in Vedic is upon the basis of the consonantal stem $n\bar{a}s$ - in nom. du. $n\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, and oblique cases nas- \hat{a} , nas- \hat{i} , nas- $\hat{i}s$. Cf. Old Persian acc. $n\bar{a}ham$.

tooth: The stem dantan- represents the prevailing form of this word, and it has remained masculine notwithstanding its transition to this type. See Justi, sub voce, and dantāno, nom. plur. masc. Zend-Pahlavi Glossary (Haug and West, pp. 8. 3; 49. 14, etc.). In addition to this the stem $d\bar{a}ta$ - in $d\bar{a}t\bar{a}hva$, Vend. xv. 4, and $tizi-d\bar{a}ta$ -, Vend. xiii. 16, is left as the representative of the old consonantal stem, which has passed into the a-declension. $d\bar{a}ta$ - $d\bar{a}t$ -?

4. Designations of parts of the body in Armenian.

Upon the ground of the Armenian we do not venture with full confidence, as the history of the individual words involved in this discussion is by no means clear in every detail. But it is worth while to point out how completely the n-declension has taken hold of the designations of parts of the body; in fact, how large a part of the territory occupied by such n-stems, as are at all clear etymologically, is in the hands of the semasiological category in question. We have here in the first place the word for eye, nom. akn, gen. dat. akan. Here the n-declension in the oblique cases is certainly old, and has absorbed the entire paradigm just as in Goth. augō, augins. Cf. Hübschmann, Armenische Studien, p. 17, Nr. 4. The word for ear, unkn, is treated most recently by

¹ Possibly δστα-κο-ς for *δστρ-κο-ς 'crab' shows a trace of the n-stem in Greek; cf. Brugmann, Grundriss ii. p. 243.

Friedrich Müller, Armeniaca vi. p. 5, Nr. 49 (Transactions of the Imperial Academy of Vienna, Vol. cxxii. 1890). The form is explained most naturally on the supposition that it is based upon the old *n*-stem of the oblique cases: $u\check{s}n$ -= Goth. ausin-= Gr. ova-(ros). I would suggest that to this was added the -kn of akn eye, making ušn-kn, which changed to unkn: the words for eye and ear are especially prone to assimilate; see below, p, 13, note. Now it is surely not the result of accident that armukn elbow, gen. armkan (Hübsch. p. 21, Nr. 45) and mukn, gen. mkan muscle (also 'mouse,' Hübsch. p. 44, Nr. 206) are declined in a parallel fashion. It is hard to believe that we have not here the adaptive influence of akn eye and unkn ear. But further, we find under the control of the n-declension the words for tooth atamn, gen. dat. ataman (Hübsch. p. 20, Nr. 33); for nose, rungn (Müller, p. 6, Nr. 55); for foot, otn, gen. otin (Hübsch. p. 46, Nr. 230); for hand, jern, gen. jerin (ibid. p. 40, Nr. 174). We have thus eight designations of parts of the body, most of them very common, exhibiting similarities of treatment which is in some instances palpably secondary (e. g. in otn, which represents the I. E. stem pod-), and due no doubt in some measure to assimilation with other members of the same class. It is of course possible that the transition of these words to the *n*-declension is only a part of the more general movement which has drawn other Armenian substantives into the weak declension; see Hübschmann, ibid. p. 18, Nr. 12; p. 19, Nr. 22; p. 20, Nr. 31; p. 28, Nr. 86; p. 40, No. 180; p. 44, Nr. 207; p. 48, Nr. 245; p. 55, Nr. 291. We do not venture to decide in every detail the points here suggested, but would emphasize once more that the kn at the end of four of these words is in all probability due to adaptation, while, as a matter of fact, the appearance as n-stems of eight of these words presents essentially the same outcome which we have seen in the spread of the n-suffix in the Germanic designations of members of the body.

5. The I. E. word for 'member, limb.'

An old I. E. word for part of the body in general seems to me to have fallen under the ban of this adaptation of the *n-r*-suffix. Lat. *membru-m* is now generally explained as coming

¹ In the case of *mukn* the *k* may be the residue of an original diminutive stem reflected by Lat. *muscu-(lus)*, Sk. *mūṣaka*: this rendered the adaptive process easier.

from mēns-ro- = Old Irish mīr 'piece of flesh,' from *mēns-ra-, both being derived by secondary extension by suffix -ro- from an old stem for 'flesh,' Vedic mānsá- 'flesh,' māns-pácana- 'pertaining to the cooking of meat'; Goth. mimza-'meat,' Obg. meso 'meat.' But the Irish derivative with -ro- still means 'meat,' and not 'limb.' On the other hand, Vedic marman, which the Petersburg Lexicon—perhaps without desire to etymologize—translates by 'membrum,' is the true semasiological correspondent of membrum; see e. g. AV. vi. 75. 18: mármāņi te vármaņā chādayāmi 'I cover your limbs with a coat of mail.' At Kāuç. 13. 6 seven members (sapla marmāṇi) are spoken of, and the commentator specifies—with what justice it is hard to say—pādamadhyāni nābhihrdayam mūrdhā ce 'ti.' Vedic márma is I. E. mérmy, and may have had the r-stem *mermer as well as its usual sideform in -o-, *mermro-; cf. above Sk. asra- by the side of stems asn- and asrg; patra- and πτερό- by the side of acci-piter; ήτροby the side of *700, etc. The stem *mermro- containing a group of three consonants, was relieved to *memro-, probably before the individual Italian period; cf. in general De Saussure, Mémoire de la Société de Linguistique, vi. 246 fg. Italic *memro- becomes membro- as hībernu-s for *hībrino-s for *hīmbrinos=Greek χειμερινό-s, as tūber, stem *tūbro for tūmbro- = Sk. túmra-s 'fat, strong.' See Louis Havet, Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique, iii. 416; Osthoff, Morph. Unters. v. 85 fg.2

6. Gothic fotus and tunpus.

Of especial interest for the problem which stands at the head of this paper is a small group of words designating parts of the

¹ The Hindus always feel the etymology which they ascribe to the word (root mar 'to die'): accordingly, the notion of 'vital part of the body' ever and again crops out in connection with the word. The medical castras speak of five different categories of marman, which are subdivided so as to amount altogether to 107 marmani or vital parts of the body; see Wise, A Digest of Hindu Medicine, p. 69 fg.; cf. also Nirukta ix. 28; xiv. 7; Yājñav. iii. 102, and the list of citations from Sucruta given in the Pet. Lex. sub voce marman. If the word is proethnic, as is assumed above, their interpretation is secondary, and it may be fairly questioned whether there is any connection at all with root mar 'to die.'

² Possibly *mermro- survived until the early Italic period, producing *merm-bro-, losing its r after the development of the transitional b, just as in a later Italic period Lat. marmor-, *marmr- yielded French *marmbre, which was relieved by the loss of the m in modern marbre.

body in Gothic and other Germanic dialects. The question has often been asked why the I. E. stem. pod- foot, Proto-Germanic fot-, should have become fot-u-s in Gothic. The comparison with the Vedic áπ. λεγ. pād-ú-s, glossed by Durga at Nirukta v. 19 with jangamana 'course,' has but little in its favor. The view, formulated most clearly by Bernhard Kahle, Zur Entwicklung der Consonantischen Declination im Germanischen, p. 9 (cf. also Brugmann, Grundriss ii. p. 450), is now generally accepted. According to Kahle, the u-inflection of the Gothic stem began in the acc. sg. and pl.: fotu = Proto-Germ. fotum = I. E. podm; fotuns = Proto-Germ. fotuns = I. E. podns. These forms coincided with the corresponding cases of u-stems, and furnished the point from which the old consonantal declension could slip over into the u-declension.' But it is not a little striking, in the light of our investigation, that the Gothic word for tooth has the very same inflection: nom. tunpus, etc. Here also it is perfectly possible that the acc. sg. tunpu, acc. plur. tunpuns, dat. plur. tunpum should have offered occasion for a change from the consonantal to the u-declension (see Kahle, p. 15). But whence the coincidence? Why should the same analogy have completely overrun both words independently in Gothic? It must be remembered that in the remaining German dialects the decay of the proethnic consonantal declension of each of these words was followed by an eager line of aspirants from all possible other declensions: i-declension (e. g. Ohg. dat. plur. fuazim, zenim), n-declension, o-declension, etc.; see Kahle, pp. 8 fg. and 14 fg. It has hitherto passed without notice that in the consideration of these two words their character as designations of parts of the body might play a role, and further that they belong to a group of at least four words of the same category, handus hand and kinnus chin, cheek being the other two. Of these kinnus is certainly the continuation of a pre-Germanic u-stem = Gr. $\gamma \in vv-s$, Lat. genu-(inu-s) 'belonging to the cheek' (dentes genuini); Sk. hánu-s 'jaw,'2 etc.

¹According to Kahle, pp. 8, 9, the dat. plur. fōtum is also an independent analogical intruder into the paradigm: it is made in accordance with the prevailing type of the dat. plur. of consonantal stems nahtum = Proto-Germ. *nahtumi for *nahtumi; Proto-Germ. fōtmi would have yielded *fōtm, not fōtum. This form, therefore, may be added to fōtum acc. sg., and fōtuns, acc. plur., making in all three u-forms as the basis of the entire u-declension.

⁹ Goth. kinnu-s for *kinu-s: the nn from oblique cases in which the stemfinal came to stand before a vowel: kinu- became kinn- as manu = Vedic stem manu- 'man' became mann-, and spread over the entire paradigm.

The word for hand seems to have been originally a consonantal stem which passed over into the u-declension, but its transition has been very much more complete than that of the stems for foot and tooth; the u-declension of hand was in all probability largely completed in Proto-Germanic times, although here also forms of other declensional types (e. g. Ohg. dat. plur. hentim) are not wanting; see Kahle, ibid. p. 27. I believe now that the development of the entire group was as follows: Proto-Germanic *kin-u-s encountered in early German times certain cases of handwhich looked like u-forms: acc. sg. handu(m); acc. plur. handuns: dat. plur. handum(i). The semasiological kinship of the two stems drew on the latter to the approximate completion of its declension according to the u-type; these two sought out in Gothic two more designations of parts of the body, fot- and tunb, the way being again prepared by the existence in each of the ambiguous forms, the acc. sg. and plur. and the dat. plur. (see above). One may venture to intimate still more precisely that kinnus completed the development of tunbus, and handus the development of fotus, since tooth and jaw, hand and foot have special affinities and were doubtless often mentioned in pairs. The difference in the gender, kinnus and handus, feminine; tunpus and fotus, masculine, presented no difficulty, since fem. and masc. u-stems in Gothic are inflected precisely alike; as far as the Gothic documents in our possession are concerned we may remember that kinnus and handus render the Greek feminines yérv-s and χείρ, while fotus and tunpus reproduce the Greek masculines πούς and odovs.

¹ Further instances of the adaptation of suffixes designating parts of the body may be contained in the following cases: Vedic sanu-, snu- back, which I would compare with Gr. νύσσα for *σνυ-κια or *σνυ-τια ' turning-point,' lit. 'that to which the back is turned,' and Lat. sinu-s 'curve, bosom,' is of a structure very parallel to janu-, -jnú- k n e e, Gr. γόνυ, γνύ-ξ. These two proethnic stems are not only both designations of parts of the body, but they share also the notion of 'bent surface, curvature'; it seems likely that the special structure which separates these two groups from all others is due to some extent to mutual assimilation. Possibly Vedic ginga horn owes its difficult secondary suffix -ga- (cf. Lat. cornu, Goth. haurn, Runic horna) simply to Vedic dnga limb; the meanings are again peculiarly near each other. This explanation seems to me not less plausible than that advanced by Kluge, Festgruss an Böhtlingk, p. 60. The frequent parallelism in the form of words for eye and ear has been noted; see, e. g. Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, pp. 250, 389, 406. The same scholar, ibid. 250, note, assumes the influence of the Vedic dual akşyau 'the eyes' upon Vedic sakthyau 'the thighs'; the meanings are quite as far apart as those of ὁδούς and πούς, fōtus and tunpus.

7. Excursus on words for right and left.

À propos of Gothic handus a few remarks on words for right and left. The words for right from the root deks show an astonishing variety of suffixes, though the meaning is apparently the same. They may be grouped as follows:

Suffix -ino-. Suffix -yo-. Suffix -tero-. Suffix -jo-. Suffix -tmmo-. Sk. dákşiṇa-. Goth. tathsva-. Lat. dexter. Gr. δεξιός. Lat. dextimus. Ohg. zēso (gen. Gr. δεξιτερός. Obg. desīnŭ. zēswes).

Lith. deszinē 'right hand.' Cymr. dehou.

Some of these are secondary: Lat. dextimus presents the superlative suffix -mmo- in addition to the comparative -ero- in dexter, perhaps after such a proportional analogy as inferus: infimus = dexter: x, i. e. dextimus. Greek δεξιτερός right is certainly formed secondarily after its opposite ἀριστερός left. On the other hand, defios and dexter represent old comparative formations whose antiquity there is no reason to doubt. Most noticeable are the forms in -yo-: Brugmann, Rheinisches Museum, vol. lxiii 401 has suggested that these are imitations after the opposite words for 'left,' represented by Lat. $laevo-s = Gr. \lambda a \iota - (F) \delta - s = Obg. lev \check{u}$; Lat. scaevo-s = Gr. $\sigma_{\kappa\alpha\iota}(F)_{\acute{0}$ -s. I would suggest a somewhat broader basis which shall include both manifestations of the suffix -uo-: while -tero- and -jo- are original comparative suffixes, the suffix -40- is a broader suffix of direction. Comparison and direction (e. g. Sk. daksina- 'south'; Old Ir. dess 'south'; σκαιό-s 'western') are the two prominent phases of the function of the words of this category. In other words, I would see in the suffix -uo- in words for right and left the suffix which appears in I. E. rdh-uó-: Vedic ūrdhvá-s 'upright,' Gr. δρθ(F)ό-s 'upright,' Lat. In Vedic writings, e. g. AV. iv. 40; Kāuçikaarduo-s, etc. sūtra 116. 3 ūrdhvā is a designation of direction (ūrdhvā diç) by the side of prācī, dáksiņā, pratīcī, údīcī (díc); two more designations of direction in the same lists dhruva and vyadhva seem to exhibit the readiness with which this suffix adapted itself to words of direction. This point of view accounts also, we believe, for the appearance of the suffix -uo- in the two oldest I. E. words for all, soluo-: Gr. οδλο-s and δλο-s, Lat. salvo-s, Sk. sarva-, Zd. haurva-, etc.; and uikuo-: Sk. vícva-, Zd. vīspa-. These also were words

of direction. Only it may be questioned whether Sk. viçva- and Zd. vīspa- are not themselves secondary assimilations to solvo-undertaken by these two languages independently, since Achemenidan visa- and Obg. vīsī exhibit no trace of the u. In the earliest Indian writings viçva- and sarva- jostle each other: the RV. has viçva- more frequently than sarva-; later sarva- gains the upper hand.

Brugmann, ibid. p. 399 fg., observes that a large number of words for 'left' are derived from roots expressing the idea of 'good, favorable, desirable, of good omen.' Upon this I would base the etymology of Vedic savyá- = Zend havya- 'left.' The older identification with scaevo-s, oracó-s, Fick i. 228 (cf. Curtius, Etym. 166) is not tenable; sk does not become s in Vedic or h in Zend, nor does Greek σκαιό-s exhibit epenthesis of i. I regard savyá- as a derivative with comparative suffix -jo- from sū 'good,' just as návya- 'new, recent' is made with the same suffix from nữ 'now.' Cf. Vedic vāmá- 'good' and vāma 'left.' Is Gothic hleid-uma- 'lest' to be compared with a supposable Sk. *cri-tama-'best'? The d(8) in hleiduma- before the ordinary superlative suffix -uma- (-mmo-) would then perhaps be due to adaptation from other superlative words of direction, e. g. Ags. sīð-em-(est). Or is it simply a word of direction, containing the root I. E. klej 'to lean,' and connected with Ohg. (h)lī-ta 'inclined plane'; cf. κλτ-τύ-ς 'hill'? cf. Brugmann, Grundriss ii. p. 159. In that case also the connection between hleiduma and words like sidem-(est) seems very likely.1

8. Assimilation of opposites, and assimilation of congeners.

If it shall turn out that the explanations offered in the preceding pages are true, we may allow ourselves to dwell for a moment upon the principle which they involve. In our opinion, this method of investigation is of great importance. It has been known for a long time that words of opposite value exert an attractive influ-

¹Wackernagel, KZ. xxix. 234 has rendered likely that χερσ- is the stem for 'hand' in Greek. Can a bridge be built between this and Aryan *żhasta-, Sk. hdsta-, Zd. zasta-, Achemenidan dasta-? I. E. *ĝhérs-to-, relieved of its r, one of three consecutive consonants, would yield ĝhes-to-. Such is the treatment of the group rst in Latin: to(r)stus: torreo, etc., Stolz, Lat. Gr.º §65. 3d, p. 313. Possibly, Vedic kīstd- 'singer, poet' may come from kī(r)std- in some pre-Aryan period of speech, cf. kīrtl- 'praise,' thus illustrating the same phonetic process.

ence upon one another,1 and this knowledge has been used to good purpose, growingly year by year. The reason for this has, however, largely escaped notice: opposites attract each other because they belong semasiologically to the same class. Now, just as it is a sound mode of procedure while watching the historical change or development of a certain word, to keep an eye constantly upon the parallel development of its opposite or its opposites, so it is true method to consider all the members of that broader class of which the word and its opposite form are but single representatives. Let me illustrate by a new example which seems to me especially well calculated to place this point into the right light. The ordinary word for white in the Veda is cvetá-, a prehistoric word, comparable with Obg. světů 'light,' and less directly with Goth. hveit(a)-s 'white.' By its side stands a rarer word with a slightly differentiated meaning gyetá- white, reddish-white, which looks altogether as though it were merely a modification of cvetá-; certainly no independent etymology for the word can be found. I would suggest that cyetá- is a modification of cvetá- after it had fallen under the influence of its opposites cyāmá- and cyāvá- black, dark. Thus much for the influence of these opposites upon one another. Now, this secondary word cyetá- white, duly takes a place among words of color in general, and forms a feminine eyéni in accordance with the far-reaching fact-itself no doubt due to adaptationthat color-words ending in -ta- make feminines in -ni; see below under paragraph 11, p. 26. But once more, the regular feminine of cvetá- is cvetá: the word in this form has not obeyed

¹ Of the literature on this subject, which is constantly growing, I will point out only the following: Osthoff, Morphologische Untersuchungen, ii. 35; Wackernagel, KZ. xxv. 289 fg.; Brugmann, Berichte der Kgl. Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, 1883, p. 191 fg.; Stolz, Wiener Studien, ix. 305; Wheeler, Analogy (Ithaca, 1887), p. 19; Brugmann, Grundriss, ii. 110, 292, 453 note 2, 465; Joh. Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, 207, 212 note. To the scant list of cases of syntactical analogy of opposites I would add Eng. differ with, which is a modification of differ from made in deference to the form and the meaning of agree with; to differ with means not to agree with; it never means to differ in form, character, etc. Does Greek ἀλυκός owe its ν to the influence of the stem ἡδύ-?

² It looks as though Proto-Germ. *hveita-s from I. E. kueito-s owed its t instead of p (*hveipa-s) to the analogy of its opposite *svarta-s, I. E. suordo-s. Note Goth. hveits and svarts; Old Norse hvitr and svartr; Old Saxon hvit and svart; Middle English hwit and swart, etc.

the call of the adaptive law of the feminines just now alluded to. Yet Vopadeva iv. 27 reports a feminine çvenī, which is likely enough to have been formed somewhere or other in deference to the special inducement offered by that fem. cyeni, which itself sprung from the loins of cvetá-: truly a clear illustration of the influence of an opposite blending with the influence of a congeneric word into one composite result. I believe that the presence of this principle in the minds of those who investigate the history of words will be found more and more a means of saving much acute but futile phonetics, and I hope to add a few more illustrations of this point in the sequel of this article. All this is of especial importance in the study of the history of noun-suffixes; the suffix must be considered from two leading points of view: first, in the light of the entire mass of material which has the same and related suffixes; secondly, in the light of the semasiological category to which the word belongs. Kluge, in his Nominale Stammbildungslehre der Altgermanischen Dialecte, has emphasized the latter point of view perhaps a little too much at the expense of the former; Brugmann, in the second volume of his Grundriss, has emphasized the first at the expense of the second; only an appendix (ii, pp. 419 fg.) deals with the semasiological categories. Even this, however, is full of suggestion, as is everything which comes from the pen of this gifted scholar.

9. Designations of birds, animals, and plants in Greek.

In Brugmann's discussion of the Greek suffix $-\kappa_0$, $-\kappa$ (nom. $-\xi$), ibid. pp. 243, 255, 257, there is no indication of the fact that this suffix is largely pre-empted by designations of animals, especially birds and plants. Thus:

I. Birds: γλαῦξ owl; ἱέραξ, ἴρηξ hawk; ἴυγξ (ἴβυξ) wryneck; καύαξ, κηῦξ, κήξ sea-gull; κόκκυξ cuckoo; κόραξ crow; κρέξ = Lat. crex; ὅρτυξ quail; πέρδιξ partridge; ψήληξ combless cock.

2. Other animals: αἴξ goat; ἀλώπηξ fox; ἀσπάλαξ, σπάλαξ mole; βόαξ a fish; βόμβυξ silk-worm; δέλφαξ pig; λάβραξ sea-wolf (fish); λάλαξ croaking frog; λεῖμαξ snail; λύγξ lynx; μύρμηξ ant; πόρταξ calf; πρόξ roe; πτώξ, πτάξ hare; σκύλαξ puppy; σκώληξ worm; σφήξ wasp; τέττιξ grasshopper; ὕστριξ hedgehog; φοῖνιξ phoenix. Persian spaka- dog promptly becomes *σπάξ, acc. σπάκα, Hd. i. 110.

3. Plants and the like: γλώξ beard of corn; δόναξ, δοῦναξ reed; ἀνθέριξ beard of corn; θρίδαξ lettuce; λάριξ lark-tree; νάρθηξ ferula; ὅμφαξ unripe grape; ὅρπηξ shoot; ῥάξ, ῥώξ berry, grape; σμίλαξ yew; φοῦνιξ palm. Cf. also κάλυξ bud.

Even a superficial survey of these lists shows that there must have existed in the speech-sense of the Greeks the feeling that the suffix - (nominative) was especially fit for designations of animals and plants, in other words that the suffix had adapted itself definitely to such use. Further, a glance at Kluge, Stammbildung, §61, p. 29, shows that the germs of this adaptation are Ohg. chranuh kranich; Goth. ahaks dove; pre-Hellenic. Ohg. habuh habicht, hawk; Ags. ruddoc; Middle Engl. puttock: Engl. pinnock exhibit the same suffix adapted to the same function, well established in German.1 In all likelihood the adaptation of this suffix to the designation of birds began in proethnic times, and was emphasized anew in Greek and German; cf. Armenian krunk = Ohg. chranuh; $\delta \rho r v \xi = Sk.$ vártikā, vartaka-. A survey of the Greek list of names of birds suggests furthermore one or two interesting details and questions. Brugmann, Grundriss ii. 243, suggests that v of dorve may be due to the υ of κόκκυξ, ίβυξ, etc., the Sk. having a before the k (vartaka-). Very likely, and I would suggest the same explanation for the υ of πτέρυξ wing. Joh. Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 176 note, assumes that πτέρυγ- is a cumulative analogical formation (syncretic analogy), the result of two prehistoric stems, one having the final I. E. 3 without preceding u (Zd. *pterej-, Old Netherl. fetherac); the other ending in u without the guttural (represented by Vedic pataru- 'flying'). Far simpler and quite as likely seems to me the assumption that some word for 'wing,' either πτερό-ν or a stem ending in a guttural not preceded by u, fell under the influence of bird-names in vg, borrowing from them either the entire suffix -v f or at least the v. Bird and wing approximate one another about as closely in meaning, e.g. as night and sleep, whose similarity in meaning Joh. Schmidt, ibid. p. 212 note, employs to account for the formation of Vedic svapnayā in sleep, after the pattern of naktayā by night. Again, the v of nom. ove claw, nail may in its turn have been influenced by mreput and the names of birds in -ut: the v is in some

¹ For other designations of animals in German by definite suffixes which have no doubt spread by adaptation, see Kluge, ibid. &&3, 6, 18, 28, 34, 84, 100. Cf. also in general below, p. 24 fg.

way or other secondary; cf. Sk. nakhá-s, Ohg. nagal, Lat. unguis, Lith. naga-s, etc. Similarly the word for egg, Ohg. ei, plur. eigir (Nhg. ei, eier) follows the adaptation of the I. E. suffix -os, -es, Proto-Germanic -az, -iz, which begins to play the role of a plur. suffix, at first largely in designations of animals, e. g. Ohg. kalb, plur. kalbir calf; see Kluge, ibid. §84; Brugmann, Grundriss, p. 395.

10. Designations of divisions of time.

That a chain of adaptation started to bind together in some early period of I. E. history the designations of seasons and divisions of time has, as far as I know, hitherto not been observed, or distinctly stated. The metaplastic declension in -r and -n, the same which proved so active in bringing together the designations of members of the body, has gained quite a considerable footing within this semasiological category. An old declension *vėser or vėsr (casus recti), *vėsnės seems to lie at the base of the multiform representatives of the I. E. word for spring. The r-stem appears in Greek ĕap, ¾p, Lat. vėr (Brugmann, Grundriss i. 430), Old Norse vār (Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 201); Zend vanhr-i (Zend-Pahlavi glossary); Lith. vasar-à 'summer'; perhaps also Vedic vasar-hā (Ludwig, RV. vol. iv. 191; Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. xv. 15). The n-stem is at the base of Obg. vesn-a and Vedic vasan-tá-spring.

The word for winter has developed early, though possibly secondarily, the same double suffix: -r in Greek χειμερ-ινό-s, Lat. hībernus (cf. above, p. 11), Armenian jmer-n, gen. jmer-an; the n-suffix in Vedic hemán-, heman-tá-, Gr. χείμα, χειμών, Arm. jiun from *jivan = *jiman; see Hübschmann, Armenische Studien. p. 18, Nr. 12; p. 40, Nr. 178. The additional suffix with n in Arm. jmer-n, jmer-an may represent the blending of the oblique n-cases with the casus recti in -r. The r-n suffix appears also in Arm. amar-n, gen. amar-an summer, the stem amar-being = Ohg. sumar (cf. Ved. sámā 'year,' Zend hama 'summer'); -r and -n are blended in this Armenian word just as in the word for winter. The word for night exhibits the r-stem in νύκτωρ, νυκτερ-ίς, νυκτερ-ινό-ς, Lat. noctur-nu-s, Zend nahtare in nahtourušu (Bartholomae in Bezz. Beitr. xv. 19); the n-stem in the solitary Vedic naktá-bhis (RV. vii. 104, 8 = AV. viii. 4, 18) which Joh. Schmidt, KZ. xxvi. 18, and Pluralbildungen, p. 212, identifies with Goth. nahtam (n-stem). It has occurred to me that naktábhis by night might be the analogical opposite of áhabhis by

day (see below, p. 22), but the difference in the accent renders this unlikely; the accent of naktábhis is the old accent of the oblique cases, that of åhabhis, as well as the entire stem dhan, seems to have followed the analogy of ahar and ahas (åhobhis). Goth. nahtam could also be imagined as the opposite of the ostem dagam, but for Goth. nahta-mats 'supper,' which, like Goth. auga-daurō 'window' (cf. dat. plur. augam), has propagated the inorganic representatives of the n-stem: the n-stem seems therefore to be old.

The heteroclitic declension appears most clearly in Gr. ημαρ (ἡμέρα), ήματος day; Vedic áhar, áhn-as (Zend loc. asni) day; Zd. hšapare, gen. hšafno night, Vedic úsar dawn (extended to the oblique cases, gen. usrás); cf. also vāsará- early; Lith. auszrà, Gr. ηέρ-ιο-s, αυρ-ιο-ν, ηρι in the morning: a trace of the n-stem perhaps in Zend $wh š\bar{a}n\bar{o}$ (=* $u š\bar{a}n\bar{o}$), according to Geldner, Bezz. Beitr. xiv. 1. The r-stem without the n-stem is found in Zd. ayare day; Zd. yāre, yāra- year, Goth. jēr, Gr. ωρα, Obg. jaru, jara; further in the German stem for winter in Ohg. wintar, Ags. vinter, Goth. vintr-us; and for summer: Ohg. sumar, Ags. sumer; see Kahle, ibid. p. 18; Kluge, Stammbildung, p. 2; Schmidt, Pluralbildung, p. 207. The r-stem appears also in Vedic vatsará-year, by the side of vatsá- 'yearling calf' and Gr. Féros: cf. Cu. Etym. p. 208; Hübschmann, Likewise in Latin vesper, vespera, Gr. έσπέρα evening, parallel but not identical with which are Lith. vákara-s, Obg. večerů 'evening': the suffix is the same as that of the Greek and Latin words. Cf. Schmidt, ibid. p. 18 note. Further, Ohg. demar 'crepusculum,' demer-ungō 'crepusculum' seems to hold the same relation to Ved. tamas as usar-: usas, above; see Schmidt, ibid. p. 206. Here also belong Ohg. wetar, Ags. weder weather; cf. Obg. vedro hot weather; perhaps likewise the adverbially employed stems, Vedic múhur quickly (cf. muhūr-tá moment); sabar- at once, in sabar-dhúk (nom.), sabar-dúgha-'giving milk at once'; Ved. púnar again; Zd. işare at once; Gr. apap immediately, of which the corresponding n-stem may be contained in ἄφν-ω of a sudden.2

¹ Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 216 note, assumes that this word is the Zend representative of Vedic *dhar*, having changed its true form *azar (cf. loc. asni) perhaps under the influence of yāre year. Cf. also ayara- 'genius of the day.'

² Very different etymological views in reference to this word have been advanced by Froehde, Bezz. Beitr. x. 294; Bartholomae, ibid. xv. 17; Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 516 note. Cf. also Kretschmer in KZ. xxxi. 351.

The process of adaptation of the suffix to words for division of time obtained an additional impetus from their secondary adjective derivatives in -ino-; this, by clipping the final r of the stem, yielded -rino-, and seems to have become independently productive in proethnic times. The representatives of this formation are restricted to Greek and Latin: ἐαρινό-s, χειμερινό-s (cf. θερινό-s), νυκτερινό-s, ἡμερινό-s, ἐσπερινό-s; ¹ Lat. νὲrnu-s, nocturnu-s, diurnu-s, vesperna, hībernu-s.²

¹ Suff. -ινο- also exhibits in Greek signs of independent productivity as a means of making derivatives from words for divisions of time: δειλινό-ς, περυσινό-ς, θερινό-ς, ὁπωρινό-ς, ἐωθινό-ς.

² Of recent years the view has been expressed with growing confidence that the r-stems in the heteroclitic declension in r-n, and elsewhere, are in reality case-forms with original locative value, which have been made the basis of a more or less complete declensional system. Thus recently Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. xv. 14 fg.; Johansson, Gött. Gel. Anz. 1890, Nr. 19, p. 774. At the base of this view seems to lie the consideration that designations of time, space, and parts of the body are peculiarly addicted to such declensional methods (Johansson, ibid.). In the case of words for time and space which are a priori very liable to be employed in the locative, a certain degree of plausibility attaches to this view. But how about designations of parts of the body? Is it at all likely that the I. E. form, represented by $\eta \pi a \rho = \text{Lat. } j \bar{e} cur = \text{Sk.}$ yakrt, etc., ever meant in proethnic times 'in the liver,' though no such case value is ever attached to it in any period of I. E. speech? And why should the declension of the casus recti of the word for water $\[var{l}\delta\omega\rho = Ohg.\]$ wazar have developed paradoxically out of the locative, the casus obliquus par excellence? There are a plenty of other words in -p which have nothing to do with time, space, and parts of the body. I will mention from the Greek, without aiming at exhaustiveness: ἄλειαρ, -ατος 'wheaten flour'; ἄλειφαρ, -ατος 'unguent'; ἀλκαρ indecl. 'bulwark'; δέλεαρ, -ατος 'bait'; εἶλαρ, -αρος 'covering'; ἐελδωρ, ἐλδωρ 'desire'; ἐλωρ, -ωρος 'booty'; κέλωρ, -ωρος 'son'; κτέαρ, -ατος 'possessions'; λύμαρ 'filth'; μάκαρ, -αρος 'blessed'; μῆχαρ 'expedient'; μῶμαρ, μῦμαρ 'blame'; νέκταρ, -αρος 'nectar'; δαρ (ὧρ), δαρος 'consort'; ὄναρ 'dream'; δνειαρ, -ατος 'food'; πείραρ, -ατος 'end'; πέλωρ 'monster'; πίαρ, indecl. 'fat'; σάκχαρ 'sugar ' στέαρ, -ατος 'tallow'; τέκμαρ, τέκμωρ 'boundary, aim'; ἕπαρ 'waking vision'; φρέαρ, -ατος 'well.' Cf. also e. g. Lat. iter, itineris 'way'; cicer, ciceris 'pulse'; Ags. tiber, tifer, Ohg. zebar, Nhg. ziefer, ge-ziefer, un-geziefer, as specimens from languages outside of Greek. These words, many of them old, exhibit the greatest variety of meanings, and the association of their r-forms with the locative can be undertaken only with utter disregard of their face-value. Bartholomae, in another little article entitled 'Arische lokative mit n,' ibid. p. 25 fg., goes still farther and assumes that the n-cases of the heteroclitic declensions in r-n also grew up on the basis of a locative in n, and he does not hesitate to take very sturdily the consequences of this view: they may be stated by saying that the entire declension of the words for 'liver,' ήπαρ, -ατος, etc., or 'blood,' Vedic dsrj, asnds, etc., has grown up on the basis

Words for seasons and divisions of time also present here and there minor adaptive groups or instances of assimilation of single forms. It is certainly not accidental that vasantá- spring and hemantá- winter are formed exactly alike; as far as the secondary -tá- is concerned one may mention muhūrtá- moment in the same connection. The suffix -īna- occurs in Vedic samvatsarina- yearly and prāvrsinam (sc. ahar) belonging to the rainy season, varsa. The identity of the endings in au(c)tumnus and vertumnus may not be altogether accidental. The suffix d of Sk. carad autumn is repeated—not accidentally it may be supposed-in Ohg. stem lengiz-, Ags. lenct-en, spring, lent; it is to be noted that to our conception these two words for season are opposites, though they were probably not felt to be so in the region of the earth in which they were formed. The assimilation of opposites is especially frequent in names for divisions of time: Armenian jmern and amarn winter and summer (cf. above) palpably exhibit similarities of structure too close to be accidental. Brugmann, Grundriss ii. p. 453, note 2, even assumes that the m in the words oldest I. E. stem for winter, Lat. hiem-s, etc., has come in the place of n owing to the m of the I. E. stem sem-summer. The German words for summer and winter often influence the gender and declension of one another; see Kahle, ibid. p. 20; Schmidt, ibid. p. 207. It is now well known that Lat. diurnus is patterned after nocturnus, while on the other hand noctū is patterned after diū; that Obg. dinija by day is formed after noštija by night; that both ending and gender of Ohg. tages have passed over to Ohg. nahtes; that the stem Vedic dosas- evening is a transformation of dosa after the analogy of usas-morning, at AV. xvi. 4, 6; see Brugmann, Berichte der Königl. Sächs. Gesellsch. 1883, p. 192; Schmidt, ibid. p. 207. The solitary form naktábhis, RV. vii. 104, 18 = AV. viii. 4, 18, may possibly, though

of original locatives. One may ask whimsically how often the ancient Indo-Europeans, who were scarcely advanced bacteriologists, had occasion to employ the expression 'in the blood.' The verisimilitude of this entire line of theories seems to lie solely in the fact that the suffixes r-n adapted themselves very early to 'designations of time and space; here locative function prevails. When winter, or summer, day or night are mentioned in simple non-reflective language it is naturally and almost always 'in winter,' 'in summer,' 'by day,' 'by night,' and the investigator may be led to seek the cause of this preponderating, but accidental locative function in any marked peculiarity of form, although this peculiarity may be due to a totally different line of historical causes.

not probably, turn out to be an analogical opposite of dhabhis (see above, p. 19).

11. Adaptation in other substantival categories.

In the following we shall assemble a few additional cases of the adaptation of suffixes from various quarters of I. E. speech. No attempt to exhaust the subject in any direction is intended; my object is rather to put into stronger relief the explanations suggested in the preceding pages, and, what is more important, to push forward to a more prominent place this mode of inquiry, whose ideal outcome is to decide in investigation the fate of no word without having first surveyed the whole line of its lexical relatives.

The authors of the Sanskrit lexicon of the Petersburg Academy were first to indicate that adaptation was at work very early in establishing the prevailing forms of the earliest I. E. category of nouns of relationship. In Vol. iv. p. 690a, note (s. v. mātar), they say: 'pitar and mātar sind zwar urindogermanisch, aber schwerlich die ältesten namen für vater und mutter. Diese werden pa und mā oder ähnlich (vgl. tata und nanā) gelautet haben, und diese naturlaute mögen in einer späteren schon reflectierenden periode der sprache bei der bildung von pitar und matar maassgebend gewesen sein.' From proethnic times come the words of relationship poter- father, mater- mother, bhrater-, bhraterbrother, dhughater- daughter, suesor- sister; dainer- (8ano, Lat. levir) husband's brother; the stem corresponding to Vedic yātar-, Gr. είνατέρ-ες or είνάτερ-ες, Lat. janitr-īc-ēs brothers' wives (strong stem *einster*-; weak stem *inter*-); and the stem corresponding to Vedic jāmatar- (vijāmātār-) son-in-law (cf. γαμβρός).

We may regard it as certain that the spread of the suffixes -ter-, -er- over this category began with some one or two words of relationship, in which the suffix had the ordinary function of agency, without, of course, any implication of relationship. The word for father seems to have the best claim to be considered the originator of the category: pəter- seems to contain the same root as $p\phi$ -ti-s (Sk. $p\phi$ ti-s, $\pi\phi\sigma$ -s) husband and $n\phi$ - $p\phi$ t nephew, grandchild (cf. Leumann in Festgruss an Böhtlingk, p. 77). By the side of pəter- 'protector,' used as a frozen epithet of father, stood $p\phi$, the I. E. 'lallwort' for father. By proportional analogy the 'lallwort' for mother would give rise to $m\phi$ ter- ($p\phi$: $p\phi$ ter =

 $m\bar{a}:x$, i. e. $m\bar{a}t\dot{e}r$ -). The propagation of the suffix after that would be a natural consequence.

Especially interesting and corroborative are the cases in which the suffixes or case-endings of the nouns of relationship in -ter-, -er- make inroads on other nouns of relationship within the history of the individual languages. Thus the Vedic stem nánāndar-husband's sister, which occurs but a single time at RV. x. 85, 46, is in all probability a tentative formation according to this

type.

The stem I. E. népôt nephew, grandchild partially passes over into the r-declension in Indo-Iranian times: e. g. Vedic dat. sg. náptre, somewhat later (TS. i. 3, 11, 1) acc. sg. náptāram; Zend gen. sg. nafeðrö-, acc. sg. naptarem. Similarly Vedic páti-s in the sense of husband-not in the sense of 'lord'-has in various cases assumed case-endings like the nouns of relationship, e. g. gen. patyur(-us) like pitur(-us): in this it is followed in a single case by the stem jáni- wife, which also makes the gen. jányus in the Veda. These again are followed by sakhi- friend, which makes gen. sákhyus. The anomalous dat. plur. viáou (for *υίέσι) unquestionably follows πατράσι, θυγατράσι; cf. Wackernagel in KZ. xxv. 289. Possibly the Germanic forms corresponding to I. E. daiuer- brother-in-law which exhibit a guttural, Ags. tācor and especially Ohg. zeihhur, are indebted to forms of I. E. svekuro- father-in-law for its appearance. Cf. especially Ohg. svehur.3

An I. E. secondary suffix -bho- is employed extensively in Sanskrit and Greek for the formation of names of animals. Thus, Vedic or Sanskrit vṛṣabhá- and ṛṣabhá- bull, çarabhá- a fabulous animal, gardabhá- and rásabha- ass, çerabha- snake, and a list of eight others offered by Whitney, Sk. Gr. 2 1199a. In

¹Cf. the somewhat different view advanced by Delbrück, Die Indogermanischen Verwandtschaftsnamen, p. 68 fg. Delbrück records the interesting observation that the word *pitár*-never means 'progenitor' in the Rig-Veda.

² Note in this connection the little Germanic category formed with a suffix containing gutturals to express collectives from nouns of relationship: Goth. broprahans brothers; Old Norse fedgar, plur. tant. masc. father and on; moedgur, plur. tant. fem. mother and daughter, and the neuter pluralia tant. fedgin father and mother; moedgin mother and son; systkin brother and sister, fripgen pair of lovers. See Kluge, Stammbildung, §68a; Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 16. No one seems to be able to point out the exact source of this adaptation. For other designations of relatives, etc., see also Kluge, ibid. §§25, 26.

Greek έλαφο-s deer; έριφο-s young goat; κίραφο-s fox; κόραφο-s raven; κάλαφο-s, ἀσκάλαφο-s owl, and a few others cited by Brugmann, Grundriss ii. p. 204. Here also it is safe to judge that the ending -bho- turned up accidentally in some one or a few designations of animals, and was propagated either in I. E. times or by Sanskrit and Greek, each on its own account. We are not in the position to suggest the starting-point for the development. In Kluge's Stammbildung groups of animals formed with one and the same suffix are mentioned in §§3, 6, 18, 28, 34, 84, 100. The most interesting of these are those which are felt to be imbued with such force in the consciousness of living dialects, e. g. -chs in Nhg. ochs, fuchs, luchs, dachs, lachs (cf. K. 34); -er in Nhg. kater, biber, hamster, tieger, panther, gänser-ich (late Mhg.ganzer, Engl. gander), täuber-ich, adler, geier, sperber, etc. (cf. K. 34); -ling in sperling, hänfling, hering, gründling, gressling, saibling, bücklinge (cf. K. 100). Unquestionably these suffixes would be put into requisition in modern German if the call for new designations of animals became sufficiently imperative.3

Words for color are especially prone to adaptive influence. In Latin and German the I. E. suffix -uo-, intrinsically one of the most nondescript formative elements, develops this special function: helvu-s yellow, Ohg. gelo; flavus e viridi et rufo et albo concretus (Fronto, in Gellius ii. 26, 11), perhaps identical with

¹ A patent case of assimilation to this class: adler = Ohg. adal-ar 'edel-aar.'

² Possibly another case of assimilation to this class: a variant form of the

word is bücking.

⁸ In Vedic sūkard- hog, boar I would also see the influence of incipient adaptation. The word is best explained upon the basis of a stem *sū-ka (cf. Old Welsh hucc, Cornish hoch: whence is borrowed English hog), i. e. stem sū- with the quasi-diminutive suffix -ko-, frequent in designations of animals (cf. Persian σπάκα above). This *sūka- came under the influence of Ved. vyūghrd-tiger (cf. also Sk. mūrjūrá- cat): the adaptation may have been promoted by the claims of popular etymology which would gladly seize upon a word sūkard-, since it suggests sū-kard- 'making the sound sū.' My colleague, Dr. H. A. Todd, kindly draws my attention to the following very parallel cases of assimilation in names of animals from the Italian: n appears for l in licorno from unicorno unicorn after the pattern of lifante = elefante elephant: Meyer-Lübke, Italienische Grammatik (Leipzig, 1890), \$167, end. Also, the two designations of fishes sargus and pagrus frequently assimilate: Tuscan parago to suit sarago, and conversely Genoese sagau after pagau: ibid. \$295, end.

Ohg. blāo blue; 'gilvu-s light yellow; fulvu-s reddish yellow (Fronto, ibid.); rāvu-s grey; furvu-s dark. For the Germanic forms, Ohg. faro colored; ëlo, gëlo yellow, salo black, blāo blue, grāo grey; Low Germ. falo fallow; Ags. baso purple; see Kluge, Stammbildung, §186 b.² In Sanskrit the suffix -ta (-ita-) is adapted to the same use: harita-yellow, asita- black, palita- grey, róhita-, lóhita- red, çveta- white, çveta- reddish-white, éta-, vy-éta- variegated, pīta yellow. These again prevailingly and quite irregularly form feminines in -nī: hariknī, asiknī, paliknī, róhinī, and lóhinī, enī and vy-énī, çvenī (the last reported only by Vopadeva): they also have arrived at this uniformity by processes of assimilation, which can be in part traced with considerable certainty; see especially Schmidt, Pluralbildungen, p. 398 fg.³

The following additional categories may be mentioned: Words for office in Latin: The denominative verb jūdicāre, from jūdex, naturally forms an abstract in -tu-, jūdicātu-s office of judge. Of the same sort are senātu-s, principātu-s, ducātus, pontificātus, none of which have a verb corresponding to jūdicāre by their side. The suffix -ātu- has adapted itself to independent use as a suffix designating office, carrying with it the lexical value inherent in one or two stems with which it happened originally to be fused. Cf. with this the little groups designating officers, made with the suffixes -ila- and -ana- in German (Kluge, ibid. §\$18, 20), of which Eng. beadle, Germ. büttel and Germ. schöffe (gen. schöffen) are modern representatives. A movement in a similar direction is at the base of the Latin group dominus, decanus, patronus, tribunus: the primary formation dominus (=Sk. damana-'conquering') may have started the category.

¹ I. E. bhluo-s.

² Cf. Engl. yellow, sallow, fallow.

³ It is not at all rare for feminines to enter upon processes of adaptation from which the males are left out. Thus the Vedic, Latin, and Greek suffixes designating female divinities: Vedic $-\bar{a}y\bar{i}$ and $-\bar{a}n\bar{i}$ ($agn\bar{a}y\bar{i}$, $indr\bar{a}n\bar{i}$, Whitney, Sk. Gr.² 1220, 1223b); Lat. $-\bar{o}na$ in Bellōna, Pomōna, etc., $-\bar{o}nia$ in Feronia, Pellonia, etc.; Greek $-\omega\nu\eta$ in $\Delta\iota\omega\nu\eta$, $\Sigma\iota\mu\omega\nu\eta$, etc. Cf. Göttinger Gelehrte Anzeigen, 1890, Nr. 19, p. 774. The originators of these lines of adaptation are difficult to point out, but we may regard it as certain that there is no intrinsic value in the suffixes which fits them especially for this function. $\Delta\iota\omega\nu\eta$ can be directly compared with Italic $J\bar{a}nu$ -s: the n here at least has nothing to do with fem. value. This may have been the originator of its entire class.

Designations of dwelling-places and repositories for various substances: In Greek the suffix -ών has adapted itself to such use: οἰνών, οἰνεών wine-cellar; παρθενών, παρθενεών maiden's chamber; ἀνδρών men's chamber; ἱππών stable; λασιών place covered with shrubbery; κενεών empty room. Cf. with this the Germanic designations of places in which plants grow, formed with the ending -ahi, Kluge, ibid. §67.

Professor Gildersleeve observes acutely that the suffix -ye in σύριγξ pipe, σάλπιγξ trumpet, φάρυγξ wind-pipe, λάρυγξ throat, σπηλυγέ cave owes its considerable scope to adaptation. The notion of hollowness is common to all of them. Cf. the English expressions mouth of a cave, and mouth of a trumpet. This category is of especial interest on account of the comparative remoteness of the conception which binds it together. The Italian builds up on a similarly far-fetched motif a considerable class of nouns in -ime to designate varieties of ordure, fodder for animals, etc.; see Meyer-Lubke, §509 (I am again indebted to Dr. Todd for the reference): coacime, governime, grassime and marcime dung; fondime dregs, yeast, lettime straw, mangime fodder for domestic animals, pastime pasture, becchime fodder for birds. The link which binds these together is evidently that they are all of them materials handled by the peasant. The particular form which originated the category is again unknown. In this connection I would express my own surmise that the 'secondary' suffix -ma of *dacruma, dacrima, lacruma tear is borrowed from spuma foam: -ma as a secondary suffix is otherwise unknown in Latin, and the related words (δάκρυ, etc.) show no trace of it anywhere.

Of especial interest is the occasional appearance to a greater or lesser degree of the notion of contempt or disparagement in substantives formed with the same suffix. Such value attaches either altogether or at times to suffix -ulo- in Lat. crēdulu-s, bibulu-s, gemulu-s, tremulu-s, querulu-s, pendulu-s, sēdulu-s; it is perfectly evident that the notion of contempt did not dwell originally in the harmless suffix (I. E. -llo-), but that it was read into it from one or the other instance in which the root itself expressed contempt or disparagement.² A similarly contemptuous value

¹Cf. Vedic púrīṣa- and karīṣa- dung, and Çat. Br. ii. 1. 1. 7: samānam vāi purīṣam ca karīṣam ca 'p. and k. are the same.'

³ Note the full continuance of this special function of the suffix in the English version of the Latin words: e. g. querulous, bibulous, etc.

seems to have gained something of a start in certain Lat. formations in -aster, e. g. oleaster wild olive, pyriaster wild pear, porcaster dirty hog, filiaster stepson, Antoniaster, Fulviaster, proper names with contempt attached; see Archiv für Lateinische Lexicographie i. 390.1 In Lithuanian also a small group of nouns with suffix -ėli- show signs of having started that suffix on the road of development to a suffix of contempt: nethkėli-s goodfor-nothing, paklydėli-s crack-brain, padūkėli-s maniac, etc.; see Brugmann, Grundriss ii. p. 199. A touch of a similar development seems to crop out also with the Lith. suffix -ju-, ibid. p. 301. Cf. also the Germanic names for contemptible persons in -(h)-ard mentioned by Kluge 32, of which Engl. dullard, sluggard, bastard, German bankert are modern representatives. I would finally index briefly a small number of German suffixal categories which are scattered through Kluge's Stammbildung: designations of relatives and persons pertaining to the house, K. §§25, 26; bastards and the like, 25; names of dynasties, 26; names of divinities and mock-words, 29; designations of male persons in -ulf, 32; of female persons in -hildi, 52; collectives of human beings, 69, 70; designations of utensils, 81, 85, 89, 90, 91; designations of coins, 100.

Lexical adaptation is by no means restricted to substantival categories: it has, however, its greatest opportunities in that quarter. The κ of οὖκ-έτι has passed over to μη-κ-έτι, thus starting, as it were, an element - KETE in words with negative adverbial value. But it is limited by the small variety of negative stems at the disposal of the language. There is no reason why assimilation should not operate in all kinds of classes of verbs: verba sentiendi et declarandi, verbs of motion, verbs of carrying and fetching, verbs for eating and drinking, etc., etc. But the material is evidently less pliable; the number of available present suffixes is too small to permit the endowment of them with any too special value; these exercise a dominating influence on the forms of verbs, so as to render the adaptation of other final sound-groups almost impossible. At least the writer happens to be acquainted only with sporadic instances of assimilation which have not developed into formal categories by sufficiently extensive adaptation. The verb ήττασθαι to be worsted, in Sophocles, Thucydides, etc., is a modification of *ήττοῦσθαι (cf. ἐσσοῦσθαι in Hdt.) after the pattern

¹ This force of the suffix is still alive in Engl. poetaster, etc.

of its synonym νικάσθαι to be conquered; see KZ. xxx. 299. The verb δύσγω in the gloss of Hesychius, δύσγω · ἀποδύω is, perhaps, due to the influence of μέσγω (Od. σ 49: ἔσω μίσγεσθαι 'to enter a house'); see Morph. Unters. iv. 34, note. One of the best cases of this sort seems to me to be the following: the present system δατέφμαι to assign a portion is formed from the root δα after the pattern of πατέομαι = Goth. fōdjan feed; cf. KZ. xxvii. 267, note. In Lat. versūtus dexterous, crafty, a modification of versātus, whenever its meaning touches upon that of astūtus cunning, artful, this assimilation is restricted to the participle; cf. KZ. xxx. 300. We have recently, in the English of the United States, formed humorously the causative verb to wine, i. e. to entertain with wine, after the pattern of the causative to dine to entertain at dinner, itself a formation of no great antiquity. The vulgar pronunciation of catch is ketch, a type of pronunciation which is not extended to the closely parallel forms hatch, latch, match, etc. Possibly ketch is due to the influence of fetch, although it may be the residue of a form with umlaut = South English ketch, etc.; see Trautmann, Anglia iv., Anzeiger, p. 52. No doubt others can be added to this small list, but this is at any rate a rare process in the verb; as far as we know it has led to no adaptation of accidental sound-groups to the expression of definite verbal categories in any older period of I. E. speech.

MAURICE BLOOMFIELD.

II.-ANALOGY IN THE SEMITIC LANGUAGES.

PART II.

The following are the principal instances of analogical formation in the Semitic languages.

CLASS I.

Analogical formations in the inflection of the verb, or in the formation of verbal derivatives with reference to the different classes of verb-stems.

In this class are comprised only such cases as have reference to the different classes of verb-stems. All other cases are placed under their proper heads (Classes II and III).

Division A.

Confusion of the different classes of stems, either in the inflection of the verb or in the formation of verbal derivatives.

In Hebrew the two classes of verbs and k''s are frequently confounded. Sometimes, however, this confusion is due simply to the Massoretic pointing.

after the analogy of ל"ה.

ענָא 2 Kings 25. 29 'he changed' for שׁנָה. So also from the same stem יִשׁנָא Lam. 4. 1 and יִשׁנָא Ecc. 8. 1.

יפריא 'he is fruitful' Hos. 13. 15.

'to consume' Dan. 9. 24 for בְּלֵה (inf. constr. Piel).

שרפא Jer. 38. 4 'relaxing' for מרפה (prtcp. Piel).

מרה לכה for מֹרָה Zeph. 3. ו 'rebellious' (prtcp. Qal) st. מרה.

מסלאים Lam. 4. 2 'weighed' as if from סלאים.

Deut. 28. 66 'hanging' pass. prtcpl. Qal as if from אָלְאִים. So also אַלְאוֹם 2 Sam. 21. 12 הַלְאוֹם.

2 Chron. 16. 12 'and he was sick' for מיחלא.

יְחַפְּאוּ 2 Kings 17. 9 'and they covered' as if from a stem אַבּה, for הַפָּה.

מרא 2 Kings 17. 21 בחיב 'and he removed' as if from כרים, for ניה from ניק.

אלהבא Prov. 1. 10 'be not willing' as if from a stem אבא

2 Chron. 26. 15 'to shoot' as if from a stem ירה for ירה, similarly 2 Sam. 11. 24 and Prov. 11. 25.

verbs after the analogy of ל"ה verbs.

Several forms from בְּלְא 'to withhold,' 'to restrain,' are formed as if from a stem בָּלְאַתִי os. בלה Ps. 119. 101 (this, however, may be simply due to the Massorites), בְּלִתְנִי I Sam. 25. 33, בּלָה ו Sam. 6. 10, בְּלָה Gen. 23. 6.

So also many forms from אָּבְּקְ 'to heal' are formed as if from רָפָּה, as רְפָּה Ps. 6o. 4. Imperative Qal with vowels as if from רַבּא

קרְפֶּינָה Job 5. 18 'they heal.'

וֹרְפְּתְּה Jer. 51. 9. אֶבֶּפוּ 2 Kgs. 2. 22. Perfect and imperfect Niphal. אָבָּוּ Jer. 8. 11. Piel.

So also בּוֹטֶה Prov. 12. 18 'babbler' from stem במא (cf. מָבְטָא).

אָמָת Ruth 2. 9 from אָמָא 'to thirst.'

קלו Ezek. 28. 16 and יְמֵלֵה Job 8. 21 from לָלְא 'to be full' as if the stem were מלה.

Compare also such infinitive forms as ירא Jud. 8. 1 from קרא 'to call.'

קלאת Numb. 6. 5 from מְלֹאות 'to be full,' also מְלֹאות Jer. 25. 12, בּנֹאות Ex. 31. 5.

ישנאת Prov. 8. ו from אָנא 'to hate.'

אָרָק Ez. 33. 12 from לְּנֵאֹת 'to sin,' קְנָאֹת Sam. 21. 2 from אָּרָּ? 'to be jealous.'

These forms as compared with מְצֵא, etc., clearly show the influence of the analogy of בְּלְוֹת.

The example of אָטְאָח, moreover, leads us to suspect that in אַטְאָח Is. 65. 20, אַטֶּח Ecc. 8. 12, and in other similar cases we have something more than a "confusion due to the Massorites." ¹

יִפְלֵיתִי Ps. 139. 14 and נְפְלֵיתִי Ex. 33. 16 are from לפ 'to separate, distinguish,' as if the stem were פלה

נְמְמִינוּ Job 18. 3 from מְמֵא 'to be unclean' as if the stem were

So קּמְצִיתּף 2 Sam. 3. 8 from מָצָא 'to find ' as if the stem were

"It is well known that the verbs " are in the Mishna regularly inflected as verbs "; see Geiger, Lehrb. zur Sprache der Mishna, p. 46; Strack-Siegfried, Lehrb. der neuhebr. Sprache (1884), §§98c and 105; cf. Wright, Koheleth (1883), p. 488.

קּהְבָּה Jer. 49. 10 from הְבָּא 'to hide' as if the stem were הָהְבָּה also הַּהְבָּה נבּיִּח Jer. 26. 9 and הַּהְבָּה Zech. 13. 4 from נבּי vaticinatus est' as if the stem were נבּי (for נבר).

ז הַתְנַבּוֹת ו Sam. 10. 13 and הַתְנַבּוֹת ו Sam. 10. 6.

Again, a similar confusion is observed between "" stems and "" stems.

The following forms from v'v stems are formed as if from a stem 'v'v:

ירון Prov. 29. 6 'he will sing' (st. רנן).

ירוץ Is. 42. 4 'he shall be bruised' (stem רצץ). [Cf. Eccl. 12. 6.]

ישור Ps. gr. 6 'he shall waste' (st. ישור).

בור Ecc. g. I 'to explore' (st. ברר).

אווו Nah. 1. 12 'they shall be cut down' (st. מוו Nah. 1. 12 'they shall be cut down' (st. מוו

וֹבּוּז Is. 24. 3 'she shall be plundered' (st. מַבוּז).

וֹתְבּוֹק Is. 24. 3 'she shall be emptied' (st. בַּקָּק).

ימישון Ps. 115. 7 'they handle' (st. משש).

קיף Jud. 3. 24 'covering' (st. סכך).

השור Hab. 3. 9 'she was made bare' (st. שרר).

יְחִיתוֹ Hab. 2. 17 'it terrifies them' (st. חתת).

רוע Prov. 11. 15 'he shall be broken' (st. רעם).

So also in Syriac these two classes of verb-stems, viz. "" and v"" are frequently confused. See Nöldeke's Syriac Grammar, §§ 58, 105, 126 B, 174 E, 175, 176, 177 A for these and similar instances in Syriac.

The following forms from v'v stems are formed as if their stem were v'v.

שׁב Josh. 2. 16, inf. of שׁב 'to return'; לְמָר Jer. 48. 11, Pause, 'he is changed,' st. מוּר; and מוֹר Ezek. 48. 14, Hiph. from the same stem.

In Syriac all the verbs v'v form their forms with prefixes after the analogy of verbs 'v's. See Nöldeke, Syriac Grammar, §178C.

This is also the explanation of all those forms with prefixes from verbs "" in Hebrew, in which the first stem-consonant is doubled. They are based on the analogy of verbs "D.

Instances are ידם from לינס 'to be silent.'

לקר stem קדר 'to bow the knee.'

החי stem ממם 'to finish.'

'to be slack, feeble.'

ושׁי stem אושׁי 'to be low.'

לפר מכך stem מכן 'to tumble, fall.'

ישב stem כבב 'to turn' and ישב, Hiphil from the same stem.

The same formation is observed in the case of some forms from y''p stems.

שני stem אם 'to draw back, depart.'

'stem ימל to cut off.'

'to shake.' וול Hiphil stem הַנִּיל

יִםית Hiphil stem מות 'to move.'

'to be long.' מול Hoph. stem יָפֵל

מַנְּיִח and חַנְּיִח 'to lay down,' 'to cause to rest' Hiph. stem מַנָּה and יַנְּיִח וּלִים 'to lay down,'

According to Stade, §535b, the form אַּלְבֶּינָה, impft. 3d person fem. plur. stem מבב 'to turn,' with the insertion of the diphthong ai (ייִּ) between the stem and the ending, rests on the analogy of the same form from stems הַּגְּלֵינָה (לִיץ or לִיץ or לִיץ or לִיץ.

In Mandean (Nöldeke, Mandean Grammar, page 83) and in Amharic (Praetorius, Amharische Sprache, p. 141) confusion between stems "" and " and " is especially frequent. The examples are all given in the places cited, so that it is needless to give them here.

According to Praetorius (loc. cit.), this is also the explanation of the form "ID", i. e. the stem DD is treated as if it were DD for (sabawa). On DD see also Wright, Arab. Gramm. §120 rem. c. and Spitta, Dialect of Cairo, §101, 3.

Sometimes stems "D and "D are confused. As is well known, many of the stems "D in Hebrew are originally "D, the original initial waw reappearing in the Niphal, Hiphil, and in verbal derivatives with D-preformative. Not all such formations with waw are organic, however. Thus, as is pointed out by Haupt (S. F. G. p. 22, note 1) the verb "T," 'to know' is a verb cum yodh originario, as appears from the Assyrian idi, tidi, and Ethiopic 'aydë'a notum fecit. Hence the Hiphil "T," Syriac 'awda' and shawda' are analogical formations.

Some forms from stems "" show the influence of "D analogy to which they bear an external resemblance.

So צרר Job 18. 7, impft. stem צרר 'to be narrow.'

The verb לָּכִי 'to go' has the impft. Qal. אוֹלִי and Hiph. אוֹלִים as if the stem were איִלְכָּה while the form איִלְכָּה Mic. 1. 8 points to a form יכווי ישווי ישוו

So also the form מוב , impft. from מוב 'to be good,' as if the stem were מים.

In Assyrian the stems "D and a"D are also confused. Thus ušėbila, ušėšib, ušėsi from stems "D are formed as if from stems "D.

So also atlašab, itlašūni, mutlabil are formed after the analogy of atlalak, etc., and this again shows the influence of stems |"D. izzazū, present of nazūzu 'to stand,' is based in its vocalization on the analogy of 'illakū from 'alūku 'to go.' See Haupt, S. F. G., p. 52, note 10.

So also iddan stem nadanu 'to give,' by the same analogy. illika 'he came' is j"D analogy. See Haupt, S. F. G., p. 66, also

Hebraica, Vol. I, p. 255.

So also the stems ורק and ורק form their preterites as if from and ירק (Del. Ass. Gram. §112).

Dr. Rosenberg, Das Aramäische Verbum im Babylonischen Talmud, gives the following instances of transfer from one weak class to another in that idiom.

P. 40. יצר) 'er ward gebildet' Joma 85a, Sota 45b analogy of "ער).

P. 44. Most verbs 1"D form their Afel after the analogy of verbs 15.

P. 45. Verbs v''v with object-suffixes are sometimes treated after the analogy of v''v.

P. 46. Ethpeel of verbs ""v, formed, partially at least, after the analogy of verbs א"v. So שלל forms its Pael and Ethpaal after the analogy of verbs א"v.

P. 63. Verbs " form with pronominal suffixes after the analogy

of the strong verb (cf. Nöld. Mand. Gram. §204).

In modern Syriac פֿרָהָא, fem. לּנְתָא 'lukewarm,' whose stem is really הים, is based on the analogy of יכוא 'cold,' ישרא 'warm' (Nöld. Neusyrische Sprache, §43).

Further, שמשיא 'heard' and מרשיא 'sick' are formed after the analogy of other ל"י forms, such as דניא 'pure,' הווא 'seen,' etc.

(Nöld. Neus. S. §44, p. 91).

Cases of analogical formation in the confusion of different classes of weak verbs are specially frequent in modern Syriac. See Nöld. Modern Syriac Grammar, p. 188, §95.

Verbs "D and "D are confused. See Nöld. op. cit., p. 228, §108,

p. 230.

Verbs אמר, such as אסר 'to ascend,' אסר 'to catch, to be cold,' are treated after the analogy of verbs י"ם.

Many verbs originally ש"ע are treated after the analogy of verbs א"ע, e. g. הימא האם לכפף 'to bend, stoop' from המם, במף, etc. 'to finish' from תמם (Nöld. Neusyr. Sprache, p. 231, §109).

. מאב 'to be worth' has some forms from מאב (ל"י).

ראק 'to spit' has in the inf. בארקא after the analogy of "ש" (Nöld. §110, p. 233).

m' and " are confused (p. 239, §111). See also p. 248, §114.

The verb הב (p. 254, §117) forms its conjugation from several different though correlated stems.

There are many instances of such confusion of the different classes of weak verbs in Mandean. The instances are too numerous to be mentioned here in detail. See Nöld. Mandean Grammar, p. 24, §22.

Verbs tertiae y after the analogy of verbs tertiae v.

P. 82, §74. Verbs 1"v after the analogy of v"v and conversely v"v after the analogy of "v.".

Verbs mediae & and v after the analogy of w.

Verbs י"ל after the analogy of ע"ט, and so conversely verbs ש"ט, after the analogy of verbs ל"ל.

Nominal formations from different stems showing the influence of "> stems are given on p. 104, §90.

P. 111, §94. (Mand.) forms from ל"ל, ז'יל treated after the analogy of forms from stems ש"ש.

P. 236, §177a. Verbs tertiae gutturalis after the analogy of stems 1".

P. 243, §180. Verbs N'D are treated after the analogy of verbs

Verbs Y'V and V'V are habitually confounded (Mand. Gram. p. 247, §183).

So p. 255, §189. Verbs mediae v or x are treated after the analogy of verbs Y'v.

Cases of the influence of one class of weak stems upon another in Amharic are given in Praetorius, Amharische Sprache (Halle, 1879), p. 141, \$103. As they are all fully cited there and discussed at some length, it is needless to mention them here in detail.

Other cases of the confusion of different weak stems in Arabic are given by Barth, Nominalbildung, p. 45, §30b.

The adjective-form qătil from stems v'v, preserves the w in a few cases such as tawîl 'long,' hawid 'zealous.' But in most cases they follow the analogy of stems v'v, with the usual change of ajê to ajjî (aiii). Examples are maiiit 'dead,' 'aiiid, jaiid 'good,' haiiin 'light, easy.'

Other instances are given p. 188, §127c, qaiiim, haiiit, saiiid.

CLASS I.

Division B.

Stems with weak stem-consonants after the analogy of stems with strong stem-consonants. Also original bi-consonantal stems after the analogy of tri-consonantal stems.

As was pointed out in the first part of this article, many stems in the Semitic languages which seem to have three stem-consonants were probably bi-consonantal in their original condition, and assumed an additional stem-consonant in order to make them tri-consonantal by the force of the prevailing analogy. Other stems, instead of becoming completely tri-consonantal remained more or less imperfect and preserved some traces of the original bi-consonantal condition. In different languages also they attained to different stages of completeness. Thus, from the original biconsonantal stem DP we have in Hebrew DIP and DP (with a long vowel), and in Arabic gama. The Hebrew intensive is Dapp, and the Arabic gauyama, and Aramaic אַבָּילָהוּ Dan. 6. 8). Here it will be seen that the original bi-consonantal stem is more conspicuous in Hebrew, and the form of the tri-consonantal stem is more completely attained in Arabic and in Aramaic, gauuama standing on exactly the same plane as qattala.

Finally, taking the inflection as it now stands, where the peculiarity or feebleness of one or more of the stem-consonants, or the originally bi-consonantal nature of the stem gives rise to different classes of verbs, each with its own special paradigm (according to the current denomination "", "", "", "", ", ", etc.), we find many individual cases which, disregarding these peculiarities, leave the paradigm to which they ought normally to conform and follow the analogy of the strong or perfect verb throughout.

We find, then, that we can distinguish three closely related cases:

- (1). Stems in which all traces of the original bi-consonantal nature have disappeared, and which have three stem-consonants in all of their forms.
- (2). Stems in which the analogy of the tri-consonantal stems is established as part of the regular paradigm in some of the forms.

Compare also Nöldeke, Modern Syriac Gramm. §42, where it

is observed that those nouns which in the earlier language were bi-consonantal, such as dim 'blood' and shim 'name,' have become tri-consonantal in modern Syriac, dimmå and shimmå.

Under this head may also be placed the forms treated by Barth, Vergleichende Studien, Z. D. M. G., Vol. 41, p. 603 fg.

Because of the reluctance of the Semitic languages to have nouns with only two stem-consonants, masculine nouns of this class of forms of which he is treating (viz. shortened derivatives of stems איי) and ייי) are but seldom found in the northern Semitic languages, and in Arabic hardly ever. In consequence of the prevalence of the law requiring three stem-consonants, the greater number of such shortened nouns assumed the feminine ending, not to denote sex, but simply to compensate the loss sustained by the disappearance of the third stem-consonant. Cf. Hebrew של 'drink' from the stem של 'body.' In Arabic hize from the stem hazija 'to obtain' and many others.

So also the "feminine ending" is added in those cases where the first stem-consonant has disappeared. מֶלֶת and Arabic lidatur from the stem ולד (walada), ילר 'to bear. 'This "feminine ending" has become so entirely a part of the stem as to remain in the plural. Compare אָלֶתוֹת 'doors,' הְלֶתוֹת 'bows,' שְׁלֵתוֹת 'watering-troughs,' הְלֶתוֹת Ez. 13. 18 'bands, pillows.'

(3). Individual cases of single forms where the normal paradigm or type of the class to which the stem belongs is disregarded and the form is based directly on the analogy of the strong or regular verb.

In אָבָּתְי Ex. 23. 22 'I was an enemy' and in אָבָּתְי 'weary,' we evidently have a later development of a consonantal yodh between the two original consonants of the stem.'

With regard to A.W., however, we must note that Barth, Nominalbildung, \$10b, regards it as transposed for A.Y.

The assimilation of a vowelless is a familiar fact in Hebrew morphology. In cases where it is retained, the analogy of other consonants not subject to such assimilation doubtless has its influence. So אַנְנְילֵּי Is. 58. 3 'ye exact,' יְנְעֵּרְי Jer. 3. 5 'He will keep," לַנְעָרִי Deut. 33. 9 'They will guard,' יְנָעִרְי Job 40. 24 from בְּלְב 'to bore through,' יְנָעִרְי Is. 29. 1 from נְקְּעָרְ 'to come round,' said of feasts.

¹ Cf. Haupt, Zeitschrift für Assyriologie II 276, and Beiträge zur Assyriologie I 296 below.

לְהַנְתִּיךְ Ezek. 22. 20 'to melt,' Hiph. inf. of אָהָיִ Ezek. 21. 10 'to melt,' Hiph. inf. of אָהָיִי Jud. 20. 31 Hophal from אָהָי 'to cut off.'

In Assyrian n is not assimilated to the following dentals: ênzu 'goat,' bintu 'daughter,' enšu 'weak.' See Haupt in Hebraica I 227.

In a few cases we find, instead of the usual form of the construct infinitive from ל"ל stems (גְלְלוֹת), a form which is based on the analogy of the same form from the strong stem כְּלֵלְתוּ; such forms are יָּלְתוֹי 'to do,' בְּלִר 'to see,' בְּלֵלְ 'to get,' יָּלָה 'to drink.'

Such forms as שְּלֵּים 'boves,' חֲיָבִּים 'thickets,' חֲיָבִים 'forces,' שְּלֵים 'bucks,' שֵׁיבִים 'cities,' when compared with the more organic form of such stems as seen in שׁוֹטִים 'whips,' קּיִצִּים 'thorns,' אַיִּבִים 'terebinth-trees,' show the influence of the analogy of the form בַּבָרִים

In the different forms of the verba mediae geminatae (or v''v verbs) there are many forms which seem to point to an original bi-consonantal stem, e. g. ID, while others are formed as if there were three original firm and equally legitimate stem-consonants, IDD. The former are the more organic, more in accordance with Hebrew phonetic law; the latter are analogical.

Thus by the side of such forms as אַבּ 'he has beaten small,' שַּבּ 'he is warm,' and יוֹנ 'it is bitter,' we have other forms with the second stem-consonant repeated. יַּבְּי 'he has plundered,' בַּיִּסְי 'he is warm,' מָבָר 'he has measured,' יְּבָר 'he has surrounded.'

After the same analogy we have substantives such as בָּטֶּט 'terror,' the plural יְשַׁמְטִים 'nations' by the side of the more organic form שַּׁמִים.

So also the imperfect יְּהֵלֵין (stem נדר) 'to flee from ' and יְהֵלֵין from the stem יהנן 'to be gracious,' by the side of the more organic יְהַיָּן.

¹ Cf. Nöldeke, Mand. Gramm. §132; Syr. Gramm. §§21 D and 93.

In Hebrew all forms with the (so-called) Dagesh forte implicitum, especially in the Piel, Pual, and Hithpael of verbs whose second stem-consonant is a guttural are analogical. The phonetic law is that the guttural cannot be doubled. Then the short vowel preceding the guttural must be lengthened because it stands in an open unaccented syllable. Cases where this is not done, e. g. We he has consumed, ' Me has despised,' Me has rejected' are based on the analogy of here.

The "virtual doubling" of the gutturals is a fiction of the grammarians.

CLASS I.

Division C.

Forms of the strong stem after the analogy of forms from weak stems.

One of the most remarkable cases where the form of the strong verb has been influenced by the weak verb in Hebrew is the long accented i-vowel in the final syllable of the Hiphil or causative. So Bickell, §47: "The remarkable transition of i, which has arisen from a to i in the Hiphil, has perhaps originated according to an erroneous analogy from the conjugation of the verbs mediae v. y., where this i is phonetically legitimate." Compare also Stade, Hebrew Grammar, §91. König's criticism (Lehrgebäude, §27. 4), that the basis is too narrow, and that it is too bold to explain a form of the regular verb by a single form of the irregular verb, is hardly borne out when we consider the prevalence and power See Delbrück, Einleitung in das Sprachstudium, p. 108, where the case is cited that four Old Slavonic verbs, jesmi, věmi, dami, jami, have effected that in New Slovenian and New Servian all the verbs of all classes of conjugation end in m in the first person singular number.

In some forms of the intensive from tri-consonantal stems, where it is formed by the repetition of the third stem-consonant, we may have the influence of the analogy of originally bi-consonantal stems where such forms are organic. Such are אַמְלֵיל Job 3. 18 'they are at ease,' אָמְלֵיל 'they languish.'

Under this head may be placed also those plural forms of modern Syriac in which the stem of the singular is enlarged by the repetition of the final stem-consonant (Nöld. Neus. Sprache, p. 143, §72). These are probably, as Nöldeke remarks, based on

such plural forms as 'amamin, Hebrew מַּלְשָׁי. The instances of this kind are given by Nöldeke loc. cit. Among them are מללא (milâlê) 'words,' ברכבא (birkakê) 'knees,' מלפפא (tilpapê) 'eyelashes.'

Under this head may also be placed those cases where a phonetic process, starting under certain fixed conditions, was afterwards applied to stems where these conditions did not exist. In such cases the strong or regular verb leaves its normal paradigm or type and follows the analogy, not exactly of a weak stem, but of a stem whose stem-consonants, although strong and firm, still have some peculiarity which causes a change in the inflection.

The Semitic verb forms its reflexive by a prefixed it or ta. In Ethiopic it is ta. In Hebrew the form of the reflexive prefix is In stems beginning with a sibilant the n of this reflexive prefix is transposed so as to come after the sibilant instead of before it. Thus, from ישָׁמֵר 'to keep,' 'to watch,' the imperfect reflexive is ישׁתְּמֵּר 'he will observe (for himself).' This mode of forming the reflexive from stems beginning with a sibilant by means of an infixed instead of prefixed t is found in nearly all the Semitic languages. In Arabic and Assyrian, however, this mode of forming the reflexive by means of infixed t (ta or tau) is not confined to stems beginning with a sibilant, but the reflexive particle is universally infixed.1 In this case the strong probability is that it was not so in the primitive form of the language, but that it started, as in Hebrew, with the stems beginning with a sibilant, and then the influence of these formations gradually spread until it included all stems.

Only two other explanations are possible; either the primitive Semitic form was indifferently prefixed or infixed t, or else it was universally infixed, and became prefixed in Hebrew, Aramaic, etc., except in the case of stems beginning with a sibilant. Praetorius, in his article "Äthiopische Grammatik und Etymologie," in the first number of the Beiträge zur Assyriologie, edited by Delitzsch and Haupt (p. 25 and note), says that it is not unlikely that the reflexive t was originally infixed in Ethiopic. The proof of this which he cites, however, in the case of kadána 'texit' seems very weak.

¹ In Assyrian the t is infixed not only in the reflexive derived from the Pal (iptalix), but also in the reflexive derived from the intensive stem (uptallix). In the latter formation the t is prefixed in Arabic (V and VI), not infixed as in the eighth form.

Delitzsch, on the other hand (Assyrian Grammar, §83), remarks that the reflexive prefix was originally prefixed and not infixed, and that traces of this prefixing are still found in Assyrian. This, too, favors the position that the change from prefix to infix first took place in the case of stems beginning with a sibilant, to avoid cacophony, and was then, by the force of analogy, extended to all stems.

A similar case of the extension of a phonetic change beyond its organic limits by the process of analogy is found in Amharic, in the linguistic phenomenon known as 'palatalization' (German, Mouillirung), in which the pronunciation of a consonant is affected by a certain liquid modification occasioned sometimes by a following i, j, or ℓ , and sometimes also taking place without the influence of these sounds. In the cases where the palatalization is occasioned by the sounds mentioned, certain modifications in vocalization are phonetically legitimate. In some cases, however, these changes in vocalization take place where they are not organic, but analogical. There is no i, j, or ℓ -sound to occasion them, yet the language acts as though there were, simply because the phenomenon of palatalization is usually accompanied by these sounds.

In the pronominal suffix of the 3d person plural, masculine or feminine, $\bar{a}tj\bar{a}w$, for instance, the liquid modification of the t is not occasioned by a following i, j, or ℓ sound. Yet the language acts as though there must be a latent ℓ -sound, and in some cases places it there; so in the form $bilatj\ell w$ 'when he told them.'

So also it often happens that a stem which originally ended in w or j lost its final stem-consonant in the modern Amharic by a process of phonetic decay. The theme thus shortened is simply inflected as though it never had another stem-consonant, or else a trace of the vanished final j is left in the palatalization of the preceding stem-consonant. In some cases, however, where this palatalization has taken place without the influence of a final j the language proceeds on the presumption that there must have existed such a final stem-consonant. Thus the verb manatja never existed in the form manataja, yet it forms its imperfect, not imantj, as it should do, but imanatj, as though there were a final stem-consonant j. See this whole matter fully treated and illustrated in Praetorius, Amharische Sprache, p. 45, §23b, and pp. 237-240, §200.

¹ Cf. Beitr. z. Assyr. I 257, n. 9.

CLASS II.

Analogical formations involving changes and confusion in vocalization.

In Semitic we distinguish in the nominal formations between substantives of *internal formation* (Stade: Nomina innerer Bildung; Barth: Schlichte Nomina) and substantives of external formation (N. äusserer Bildung). Substantives of external formation are formed either by *prefixes* or by *suffixes*.

Substantives formed directly from the stem without consonantal additions are divided into different classes according to differences in their vocalization.

According to Barth, Nominalbildung, these different nominal formations in Semitic exhibit many instances of the analogical influence of one form or class of forms upon another in the matter of vocalization.

The following is a brief and summary statement of the fundamental positions of his book:

The nominal formations of Semitic are formed either from the perfect or from the imperfect verb-stems.

There are three classes of perfect verb-stems, distinguished by three characteristic vowels, \check{a} , \check{i} , \check{u} . Forms with a are transitive. Forms with i and u are intransitive. Each of these classes of perfect-stems gives rise to a corresponding class of nominal formations likewise distinguished by the three characteristic vowels, a for the transitive, and i and u for the intransitive.

There are also three classes of imperfect stems, distinguished likewise by the three characteristic vowels u and i for the transitive, a for the intransitive. Each of these three classes, again, gives rise to a corresponding class of nominal formations.

In many of these nominal formations we observe that the characteristic vowel of the class to which it belongs has been correctly maintained. These call for no further attention. In other cases, however, we find that a change has taken place. That is to say, the verbal stem, either perfect or imperfect, as it now exists, has a different vowel from that of the corresponding nominal formation. In cases such as these there are two possible explanations. Either, in the original form of the language the verbal stem existed in two forms with two different characteristic vowels, one of which subsequently ceased to be used, surviving, however, in the corresponding nominal formation; or else the anomalous characteristic vowel of nominal formation is the result of analogy.

I have noted the following cases as cited by Barth:

§17\(\beta\). Intransitive nominal forms of the form q\(\textit{utull}\), legitimate when formed from u-perfects, but sometimes also found derived from i-perfect stems. Thus 'umudd (perf. i) 'full of youthful strength.'

Sometimes intransitive adjectives of this form are formed from transitive stems. Thus *şumull* 'hard, firm,' *kubunn* 'avaricious.'

P. 36, \S 24. Intransitive nominal forms of the form $q\check{u}tl$ derived from i-perfects.

In Arabic:

zuhd from zahida 'to be white, glossy.'

husr 'loss' from hasira 'to lose.'

'ujb from 'ajiba 'to be astonished.'

ruhb from rahiba 'to fear.'

rugb 'avidity, voracity' from ragiba 'to wish, desire.'

In Hebrew:

'faithfulness,' from אָמָן (?) 'to be faithful.'

יחֹקר 'want' from יחֹקר 'to want.'

In Syriac:

'anger' from יְנְוֹא 'to be angry.'

ישׁנְא from ישִׁישׁן 'to be strong, heavy.'

שמנא from שמנא

'laughter' from לְּחַבְּ 'to laugh.'

'to be whole.' שׁלְמָא from שׁלְמָא 'to be whole.'

יוחקא 'length' from רחקא.

Barth, p. 43, §28c. Instances of the form qattl intransitive from u-perfect stems.

sarî 'rapid' from saru'a 'to hasten.'

jalid 'hard' from jaluda 'to be hard, firm.'

karîm 'noble' from karuma.

kabîr 'old ' from kabura.

kabira, fut, a, 'to be advanced in age,' is, however, also given in the dictionaries.

katîr 'many' from katura.

'azîm 'great, large, immense, huge' from 'azuma.

In the Targums:

נגיב 'dry,' שדיך 'quiet,' מין 'sleeping,' אשיך 'dark,' from stems having u in the perfect.

The same phenomenon is observed in nominal derivatives from imperfect stems (see page 96, §64d,e). Thus the Hebrew infinitive be is formed not only from u-imperfect stems, where it is

organic, but also from intransitive verbs with a-imperfect, e. g. אַרָּי 'to sleep,' אַרְּב' 'to love,' יַלְיּטְ 'to cease,' אַיִּרְי 'to hate,' יִלְי 'to fear.' These are clearly the result of analogy. In other cases, however, we must consider the possibility that in a previous period of the language the two forms with two different characteristic vowels existed side by side, the one having survived in the present form of the verb-stem, the other in the corresponding nominal formation.

Thus in Hebrew imperfect \check{a} is the regular imperfect of stems having a guttural as second or third stem-consonant, while the corresponding nominal forms often point to an original u or i form. Such are $\[\underline{v} \] \[\underline{v} \]$ 'to strike,' $\[\underline{v} \] \]$ 'to be angry,' $\[\underline{v} \] \[\underline{v} \]$ 'to send.' So also transitive $\[\underline{qitl} \]$ forms in Arabic from verbs $\[\underline{mediae} \]$ gutturalis which now have only imperfect $\[\underline{a} \]$, $\[\underline{fi} \]$ 'act,' $\[\underline{sikn} \]$ 'charm,' $\[\underline{rikle} \]$ 'passage, journey.' Here it is more probable that the uniform $\[\underline{a} \]$ -imperfects are themselves the result of a later modification under the influence of analogy.

So also in the case of transitive nominal forms of the form

găttl or gittl derived from the imperfect stems.

The imperfect i itself has been mostly crowded out by the prevailing analogy of the u-imperfects, while the original vowel has often been preserved in the corresponding nominal formation, especially the infinitive. (See Barth in ZDMG 43, 177-91.)

The following instances are given. Infinitives with suffixes:

ישָׁבְרִי ,נִפְּלֶם ,(to sell'), מַבְּרָם.

Infinitives without suffixes: לְשְׁשֵׂר Deut. 26. 12 'to tithe'; also the noun 'לשויר 'to help' 2 Sam. 18. 3 (Kĕthîbh), 'to receive a portion.'

Sometimes even when the *i*-imperfect was preserved, and not crowded out by the prevailing analogy of the *u*-imperfect, its nature was misunderstood and this misunderstanding led to further analogical formations.

In many cases the language mistakenly assumed them to be Hiphil-imperfects, with which they completely coincided in torm, and then by analogy produced other Hiphil forms to correspond with them.

So especially in the case of stems """.

From יָרִיב יְבִין ,יָשִׁים etc., were formed the Hiphil-forms הַבִּין, מָשִים, מְבִין מְבִיבְיוּ ,מֵשִּׁים, etc.

The same thing took place in case of the other stems. According to Barth (op. cit. p. 119, §78a): Whenever a transi-

As, however, this *i*-imperfect has disappeared from the *Qal* in Hebrew, it is probable that it was retained as a Hiphil, and then the other Hiphil forms were formed as a later analogical development.

Arab. ḥaḍana 'to take in the arms' has at present only the u-imperfect. From this we have Hebrew 'אָנוֹ 'arm, bosom,' and Arab. ḥuḍne 'embrace.' But the Hebrew מְּלָנוֹ and Arab. hiḍn point to an original i-imperfect.

From אָרָהָ 'reverse, contrary,' and אָרָהְ 'overthrow,' we infer the former existence of a form אַרָּהְי as Qal-imperfect. This is not found now in north-Semitic. But Syriac has Aphēl in the same sense as Hebrew and Syriac Qal. Compare also Hebrew אַרָּהְ Job 30. 15, which presupposes the Hiphil. In the same manner the noun siqi (watering) and the imperfect iasqî explain the formation of the later Hiphil, אַרָּיָה and Aram. אַרָּיָּא, resulting in crowding out the Qal which must have existed at a former period; cf. אָרָיִה, Syriac אַיָּיִרָּיָּ etc.

So also the Hebrew לְּכִיל 'libation,' form qattl, Deut. 32. 28, points to an original i-imperfect. Hence אַפּר Ps. 16. 4, אַפּר Gen. 35. 14 are regarded by Barth as originally i-imperfects Qal. Being erroneously regarded as Hiphil-imperfects they furnished the basis for further analogical Hiphil-formations. Another case is for further analogical Hiphil-imperfect it furnished the basis for further analogical Hiphil-formations, but אַפָּהָלוֹת point to an original i-imperfect of the Qal.

P. 116, §77a, 3. In Arabic the transitive form *qitle* is formed organically from *i*-imperfect verb-stems to express the mode or manner of the action expressed by the verb. After this meaning had become established for this form it was extended by the force of analogy to verbs of every class.

P. 126, §82. Transitive nominal formations qutul, qutul formed from the imperfect.

Where such formations exist from stems which at present do not have u as the characteristic vowel of the imperfect we must

again, as elsewhere, distinguish two cases; either at an earlier period the u-imperfect existed side by side with an i- or a-imperfect, and was gradually displaced, or else the u-nominal formations are the result of analogy. Instances of the former case are seen where the Arabic has only i-imperfect while Hebrew and Syriac have u-imperfect; so Arabic hullk; $\exists i$ and gufler. But many such cases are probably the effect of analogy.

The following are instances of infinitives of this class where there existed no corresponding u-imperfect:

uutûb from uataba 'to leap.'
uurûd from uarada 'to arrive.'
uujûd from uajada 'to find.'
uujûb from uajaba 'to be necessary.'
uu'ûl from ua'ala 'to seek refuge in.'
uujûz from uajaza 'to be brief.'

P. 135, §85d. The infinitive qatil is used to form collectives. Organically it belongs, of course, to *i*-imperfects, but it is often found where there is no corresponding *i*-imperfect, especially to denote collectives of the names of animals. So kalib 'dogs,' da'in 'sheep,' ma'iz 'goats,' naqid 'cattle.'

e. In Ethiopic the infinitive qătil has become the regular verbal infinitive for every class of verbs, and as such is formed from all the different conjugations. This analogical extension of the infinitive form qătil has completely crowded out the old parallel form qătil.

f. So also in later Hebrew (Mishna) the feminine קטילה, used to denote abstract notions is formed without regard to the character of the stem. The instances given are, הסימה, הסימה, אמירה, אמירה איניאה.

P. 144, §92. Transitive qattlat. In the case of the words מָבְּפָּה and שִׁבְּפָּה we are unable to determine whether we have the evidence of a former *i*-imperfect of these stems, or analogical formations induced by the signification of the same form from other stems.

P. 174, §122c. Speaking of the active participial form qătâl (or qâtâl) he says that a genetical connection with the infinitive qătâl is beyond question, and both go back in their origin to the u-imperfect. But just as it was shown (in paragraph 82 B of this same work) that the infinitive qătâl was often formed analogically when there appears no u-imperfect, so also the participial form qătâl is used to form an active participle from a number of stems which show only the i-imperfect; so darâb (by the side of darâb)

'striking,' hatuf 'buzzing, sounding, clanging,' ġašum 'violent' (but ġašama is given with u-imperfect), kasub 'gaining, acquiring.'

P. 175, §122a, note 1. Instances of active participles of the form qătâl formed from stems having i-perfects, through the influence

of analogy.

In Arabic: daḥāk 'laughing,' 'amāl 'doing,' ia'ās 'despairing.'
In Hebrew: אַהוּבַת רֵשָׁ Sam. 5. 8 and אַהוּבַת רֵשָּׁ Hosea 3. 1, are cited as instances of this form.

From the Mishna, העסוקין 'busying themselves,' Para 4. 4, 'dripping olive,' Pēā 7. ז הנטופה 'be watchful,' Ab. 2. 14 שרוי 'dwelling,' etc.

P. 178, §123a. The form qătul as passive participle is formed in Hebrew from all stems indiscriminately.

P. 186, §126a. The participial form qătil organically connected with *i*-imperfects is formed in Arabic from verbal stems of every kind.

d. In Aramaic this form has become the regular passive participle, and as such is formed from every transitive verb.

P. 201, §136b. Participle qâtil. This form arises from the transitive *i*-imperfect. The form from the *i*-imperfect, rather than that formed from the *u*-imperfect, became the prevailing one through the influence of the forms of the participle in the derived conjugations, which show an *i*-vowel after the second stem-consonant.

The only difference between the participle of the Qal and that of the derived conjugations lies in the fact that the latter prefix an m, while the former, not taking the prefix, lengthen the vowel of the first syllable.

After this form was established as the participle of the transitive i-imperfect, it was extended to all transitive imperfect stems, and also to those stems which are intransitive in structure, but transitive in meaning. In a few cases it is extended to verb-stems intransitive in meaning.

Arabic, âmin 'sure,' sâlim 'safe,' bâ'id 'far.'

Eth. rate 'true.'

Aram. לְחֵל 'fearing,' חָרֵא 'rejoicing.'

Heb. ווֹלְלָה 'base, abject.'

I have stated these principles and given these illustrations just as they are given by Barth. I must say, however, that to my mind his whole position and the value of all his discussions are extremely doubtful. For, first, as he himself admits in many places, we do not know how far these forms, which apparently show a different vowel from that of the form from which he derives them under the influence of analogy, may go back to other forms which no longer exist, but which, when existing, had the same vowel as the nominal formation derived from them.

The whole of \$100, for instance, on the imperfect infinitives of the derived conjugation is made extremely uncertain by the admission which he rightly makes, that formerly these imperfects may have shown an u as well as an i-vowel. This but shows how uncertain the whole matter is, far too uncertain, in fact, to form the basis of scientific investigations and conclusions.

But again, I do not see that he establishes with any degree of certainty the fundamental position of his whole book, viz. that all the nominal formations must be referred either to the perfect or imperfect verb-stems, whose characteristic vowel will normally be the characteristic vowel of the corresponding nominal formation, and that all deviations therefrom are the result of analogy. Why should all nominal formations necessarily come through the channels of the perfect and imperfect verb-stems? Why can they not be referred directly to the simple ground-stem, without the intervention of the perfect or imperfect verb-stems? These are questions not satisfactorily answered by Barth, while they are suggested by the many deviations from the principle which he seeks to establish.

ABEL H. HUIZINGA.

III.—ON PAROXYTONE ACCENT IN TRIBRACH AND DACTYLIC ENDINGS.

Dr. B. I. Wheeler's most suggestive dissertation, 'Der Nominal-accent' (Strassburg, 1885), has been already discussed in part in this Journal.

Only casual mention, however, has thus far been made of some forty pages, covering about one-third of the whole dissertation.

As "Rule IV" Wheeler maintains that words with dactylic ending, which were originally oxytone, change into paroxytones as a compromise between the original (I. E.) oxytonesis and the special Greek accent. The proof adduced in support of this law offers an explanation for much hitherto unexplained, and demands a respectful investigation on account of the approval with which it has been received. Brugmann, for example, in his Vergl. Gram. (I, pp. 545, 546) uses this 'dactylic law' as a well established principle.

A critical examination, however, of all the material for the compounds affected, and of words in κ_{OS} , λ_{OS} , μ_{OS} , ν_{OS} , ρ_{OS} , τ_{OS} , throws a strong burden of proof upon the rest of the material, already doubtful, which has been brought forward to prove this 'law.'

The objections to the explanation of paroxytonesis as given by Wheeler, may be summarized briefly in advance:

I. The categories of words where the supposed law would be free to act (that is, free from considerations such as may have affected compounds) are few in number (as κos , λos , μos , νos , ρos , τos and the ordinals), and some of the examples claimed as 'simplicia' are really compounds. In examining this body of material we find that so far from oxytones becoming paroxytones with any regularity, in reality but few do so.

II. Some examples claimed in proof of the dactylic rule may be explained as diminutives. Of words in -10-, some are of oxytone pedigree and some are not, and in general diminutives have so great an affinity for paroxytonesis, or for accenting the

¹ Regel IV, Dactylisch ausgehende Oxytona werden zu Paroxytona.

first syllable of the suffix, that even the *trochaic* ending $-i\sigma\kappa os$ is regularly paroxytone.

III. The tribrach oxytones behave, under the same circum-

stances, precisely like the dactylic oxytones.

IV. In compounds, where the phenomenon in question chiefly occurs, the accent is regularly oxytone if the word is trochaic and active; paroxytone if pyrrhic (whether tribrach or dactylic) and active; if the word is passive (whether dactylic, tribrach or trochaic) the accent is regularly recessive.

V. In anastrophe, tribrach combinations, as intimated above,

are treated just as are dactylic combinations.

VI. The argument from the relative antiquity of words is difficult in application. Dr. Wheeler is not rigid in his differentiation, using words from Homer and Euripides, or even much later, without distinction. That the earlier language was 'bekanntlich' fuller of dactylic than of tribrach endings may be questioned. Certainly a superficial verdict would be against it, e. g. forms like $\lambda\bar{a}o-\phi\delta\rho\sigma s$, $\lambda\bar{a}o-\delta\delta\kappa\sigma s$, would be as easily managed in the gen. and dat., etc. $(\lambda\bar{a}o\phi\delta\rho\sigma v)$ as $\lambda\epsilon\omega\phi\delta\rho\sigma s$ $(\lambda\epsilon\omega\phi\delta\rho\sigma v)$, i. e. $-\sim -$), to say nothing of the fact that $\lambda\bar{a}o+$ etc. is the Ionic form.

VII. If the above objections be established the whole burden will be thrown upon certain miscellaneous words, some like $\pi a \rho$ - $\theta \epsilon_{ros}$ of obscure derivation, upon certain adverbs, and upon the

partic. in -µévos.

From all this it would appear that the Greeks modified the "recessive" accent in the following way: The accent in compounds was drawn back provided that it still remained on the last member in active compounds, and provided that the accent did not fall on a long syllable immediately before a short one, e.g. as in trochaic endings or in words like $\delta\eta\mu\eta\gamma\delta\rho\sigma$. Dr. Wheeler himself, in concluding his remarks on this part of his subject, seems to feel this succession of long and short as the most clearly pertinent; he says (p. 104) that the only phonetic explanation which he can give of his law is "die Neigung der Sprache, dem Hochton eine lange Silbe immer vorauszuschicken," and he alludes (p. 61) to Prof. Brugmann's explanation of paroxytonesis

¹ In connection with this, it would seem, is to be explained the chief exception to the "Three Morae Law," i. e. the ignoring of the long penult in words like ἀνθρωπος. An evident disinclination to paroxytonesis of trochaic endings is also clear in ἔγωγε (ἐγώ) (cf. ἔμοιγε from ἐμοῖ, but ἐμοῦγε and ἐμέγε—only "neuere Grammatike". . . schreiben ἔμεγε," Kühner, Gr. I, §180).

"als bloss an die Kürze der vorletzten Silbe sich anknüpfend." It is worth while, in this connection, to note that Bopp does not say (see Wheeler, p. 60) 'dass das Griechische eine besondere Neigung zeigt, Wörter von pyrrhischen Ausgang zu paroxytonieren," but (see Vergl. Acc. p. 280) "Man vergleiche den Einfluss den eine lange Penultima auf Herabdrückung des Accents hat in Compositen wie κυνηγός, μελοποιός, im Gegensatze zu solchen wie πολυφάγος, δικογράφος."

Precisely here it would seem that the question is obscured, and the following investigation was undertaken to establish by reasonably exact statistics the actual facts. From them it appears, as above implied:

1. That trochaic oxytones either remain oxytone or become proparoxytone.

2. That in general, tribrachs and dactylics fare alike even in anastrophe.

3. That in *active* compounds, pyrrhics (whether dactylic or tribrach) are paroxytone because the tendency to 'recession' was arrested midway by the desire to accent the last member.

Dactylic oxytones do not become paroxytone.

The most prominent categories of uncompounded words which were originally oxytone, are those in $\kappa \delta s$, $\lambda \delta s$, $\mu \delta s$, $\nu \delta s$, $\rho \delta s$, $\tau \delta s$ and the ordinals.

Those in λ_{05} and ρ_{05} will be first considered, as Wheeler lays great stress upon some paroxytones ending with this suffix.

Substantives in hos.

These words are probably all diminutive in character. There is one other word strangely enough cited here, i. e. al- $\pi \delta \lambda os$; this is to be classed among the regular active compounds.

This, then, is the paroxytone material for substantives in $-\lambda_{05}$. But, on the other hand, there are two dactylics which remain oxytone, i. e. $\mu\bar{\nu}\epsilon\lambda\delta s$ and $\delta\mu\phi\alpha\lambda\delta s$ (also the adj. substantive $\Theta\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha\lambda\delta s$), and a number of dactylics which are proparoxytone, i. e. $\delta\delta\kappa\tau\nu\lambda\delta s$,

πύελος, ἄγκαλος, αἴθαλος, κόνδυλος (probably σφόνδυλος), κονίσαλος, πασσαλος, σάνδαλον, βάκχυλος and other words more or less rare, some 19 in all. The paroxytone accent may be referred to the diminutive idea, and in support of this may be cited 'die Kosennamen' (Brugmann, Vergl. Gram. II, p. 191), Θρασύλος, Σωίλος, 'Ονησίλος, Ταξίλος, 'Αγύλος, 'Ηγύλος (also Χρεμύλος, written Χρέμυλος in L. and S.).

Adjectives in hos.

ποικίλος ($\sqrt{\pi \iota \kappa} = ?$ inclined to be) mottled.

οργίλος, inclined to anger.

κωτίλος, inclined to talk.

ἀγκύλος, curved.

αίμύλος, glozing.

γογγύλος, round = (στρογγύλος, not cited by Wheeler).

δρτμύλος, piercing (δριμύς).

ήδύλος, dim. ήδύς.

καμπύλος, bent.

μικκύλος (μικρός).

στωμύλος, mouthy.

(ὀγκύλος, swollen, not cited by Wheeler.)

The form *πἄχελός, of which the adv. παχυλῶς occurs, is not mentioned by Wheeler, although an incidental support to his theory.

For the word *alδύλοs, given neither in Pape nor L. and S., Chandler quotes two authorities which accent it $a\"{i}δυλοs$, one only as paroxytone. All of these words in -υλοs and -ιλοs may be considered as diminutive in character. There remains one word in -υλοs, i. e. al-όλοs. As the substantive al-πόλοs this also is probably a compound (\sqrt{al} ? = very and Fολ; cf. volvo).

As among the substantives so among the adjectives, a number of dactylic proparoxytones in aλos, ελos, etc., occur, e. g. αἴθαλοs, ἔξαλοs.

Substantives in pos.

No paroxytones, many proparoxytone dactylics, and one oxytone dactylic, $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \delta s$. (The dactylic $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \delta s$, censured by Lucian, Soloec. 7, it would not be fair to cite.)

Adjectives in pos.

In this large oxytone category no (clear) changes to paroxytone occur, and we find the following dactylic oxytones: ἀλμυρός, ἀριστερός (ref. to by Wheeler, pp. 66 and 112), καρτερός (χλταρός), πτερός (mentioned by Wheeler). Attic οἰζῦρός (for H. οἰζῦρός).

Substantives in Kos.

There is no paroxytone material unless the comparatively late diminutives in $l\sigma\kappa\sigma$ be included. These are all paroxytone, but with *trochaic* endings, to which combination the language shows elsewhere a constant repugnance. Does this not indicate that the language sought to accent the first syllable of the diminutive suffix, even to the ignoring of quantity? (See below.)

One dactylic oxytone occurs, φαρμακός; also two proparoxytone dactylics, θύλακος and ψίττακος (ψιττακός in L. and S.), but no paroxytone.

Adjectives in kos.

Out of 443 adjectives composing this large category, 305 are dactylic oxytones, the remainder tribrach. There are no paroxytones except the pronominal adjectives $\pi\eta$ - λi - κos , $\tau \eta$ - λi - κos , η - λi - κos , and $\delta \pi \eta$ - λi - κos . These with the adverbs $\tau \eta \nu i \kappa a$, etc., would find an easy solution under Wheeler's law. Brugmann, II, p. 250, compares $\pi \eta \lambda i \kappa os$, $\tau \eta \lambda i \kappa os$ to qualis and talis. Perhaps if it were possible to resolve them into their original elements the explanation of the accent might be clear.

Substantives in mos.

There is no paroxytone material, but amongst many recessives occur two dactylic oxytones, οὐλαμός and χηραμός (both in use in the Iliad).

Adjectives in µos.

No paroxytone material; two dactylic oxytones occur, μηδαμός and οὐδαμός (Hdt.).

In connection with εβδομος it is convenient here to refer to the other ordinals, as εγδοος and τέτρατος. Especial emphasis is to be laid upon this category, which in no instance availed itself of the supposed law in changing from the original oxytonesis (cf. εἰκοστός, etc., and see Whitney, Sans. Gr. §487).

ήδυμος and others become proparoxytone.

Substantives in vos.

Wheeler explains the two puzzling words παρθένος and καρκίνος by his law. When the derivation of παρθένος is more clearly made out (see Curtius) the accent may perhaps explain itself. With καρκίνος Curtius compares έχῦνος and ἰκτῦνος. The suffix he says occurs frequently in names of animals; cf. also the list given by Brugmann. If καρκίνος is a mutilated reduplication for *καρκά-

¹ Not in Homer; see Brugmann, Vergl. Gram. II, p. 259.

ρινος (see Brugmann, V. G. II, p. 90, who suggests *κυκύλος for κύκλος), perhaps the accent may have been thus obscured.

Along with a number of recessives occurs one dactylic oxytone,

Adjectives in vos.

No paroxytone material. Among the oxytones (denoting 'in time of,' 'full of,' etc.) occur the dactylics δειλινός, ἐλᾶινός (L. and S. recessive), ὀπωρινός and ὀρφανός.

Substantives in Tos.

No paroxytone material. Dactylic oxytones are: ἀετός (αλετός), τνετός, συρφετός.

Adjectives in Tos.

No paroxytones. Dactylic oxytones are : αίρετός, αἰνετός, άμαξιτός (cp.), έρπετός (έρπετόν), εὐρετός, ἀρκετός (late).

Substantives in 105.

In considering this suffix it must be remembered that only in some instances does it represent an original oxytone suffix. The material, though striking, will not offer much support to the 'dactylic law.'

"With the exception of the proper names, all paroxytones are of dactylic ending" (see Wheeler, p. 95). These nouns are four: σκορπίος, κωβίος and νυμφίος, γομφίος. Of these *κωβίος was generally oxytone (see Chandler, §246) and so only in L. and S.; νυμφίος is probably of a diminutive character (in the extended sense), cf. ἱστός and ἱστίον; the same might be said of *γομφίος, unless it be considered as an adjective (cf. πρόσθιος and ὀπίσθιος, sc. ὀδούς); cf. Brugmann, p. 121. Moreover, the weight of authority is in favor of the proparoxytone accent; see Göttling, p. 172, and Chandler, §246, and, finally, the Skt. gámbhyas points to original proparoxytone accent.

Dactylic oxytones in ιος are ἀνεψιός, ἐρωδιός (ρωδιός), χαραδριός. Other dactyls retract the accent, as ἥλιος, κάπριος, κύριος. Trisyllabic proper names, if tribrachs, are paroxytone, e. g. Βαλίος, Δολίος, Όδίος, Σχεδίος, Τυχίος, Χρομίος, etc. (see Chandler, §247). Of these Βαλίος is from the oxytone adj. βαλιός. Of two exceptions to recession of the accent in polysyllabic proper names, ᾿Ασκληπιός is dactylic, the other is Ἰλλῦριός.

Adjectives in 10s.

As a rule these retract their accent, but there are two which, if original oxytones, would find their explanation in the dactylic law,

i. e. $\mu\bar{\nu}\rho ios$, $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma ios$. $d\nu\tau ios$ ($\ell\nu a\nu\tau ios$) may be compared with the noun $\tau \delta$ $d\mu\phi io\nu$ (not noticed by Wheeler), and with the adj. $\pi\rho\omega ios$. If these three are made with the suffix -os directly from $d\nu\tau i$, $d\mu\phi i$ and $\pi\rho\omega i$ (or $\pi\rho\omega i$) respectively, they would belong elsewhere; the paroxytone accent might be involved in the uniform oxytonesis of the prepositions $d\nu\tau i$ and $d\mu\phi i$, which, as trochees (see Wheeler, p. 100), do not permit anastrophe.

Diminutives in 10v, etc.

But few words among these can be claimed as original oxytones. They are treated of, however, in this connection both because they are used in support of the 'dactylic law,' and because the whole subject of diminutives has a bearing upon the paroxytones in vlos, etc.

The term 'diminutive' is unsatisfactory. In addition to the three meanings of 'something small,' 'pretty,' or 'contemptible' (see Kühner), the term is here used as including the idea of 'pertaining to,' 'made of,' or 'connected with.' Such words as $i\sigma\tau io\nu$ (sail), $\chi\rho\nu\sigma io\nu$ (gold coin or plate), $\pi\epsilon\delta io\nu$ (plain, Fussboden), seem clearly to belong to the same category, whatever it be called, as $\sigma\phi\eta\kappa io\nu$ (a cell in a wasp's nest), cited by Wheeler as a dactylic dim., or $\kappa\eta\rho io\nu$ (honeycomb), and they all must be regarded as bearing a similar relation to a real dim. like $\pi\iota\iota\iota\delta io\nu$, as $\sigma\phi\eta\kappa i\sigma\kappa os$ (a piece of wood shaped like a wasp's tail, sometimes meaning large timbers) bears to $\kappa\iota\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa os$ (a ballot-box).

Chandler recognizes this difficulty, he says (p. 106): "θηρίον may be applied either to an elephant or to a bee; χρυσίον may mean a little bit of gold or merely a gold piece without any implication of smallness."

The rule is laid down that trisyllabic dim. of dactylic measure are paroxytone. As a matter of fact Chandler (p. 104) cites 29 tribrach diminutives with more or less authority for paroxytone accent, and in addition we find $\pi\epsilon\delta lo\nu$ (~ 0) always, $\sigma\kappa a\phi lo\nu$ commonly, and $\beta\iota\beta\lambda lo\nu$ and $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu lo\nu$ have mute and liquid in the antepenult.

It seems probable that the language strove to accent the first syllable of the diminutive suffix. The only prominent exceptions to this would be some few trisyllables in $\iota_{0\nu}$ and polysyllables in $\iota_{0\nu}$ and $-\delta_{\iota_{0\nu}}$. These, however, offer no help to the 'dactylic law,' as tribrachs and dactyls fare alike.

e. g. ΔΟ ἀνθρώπιον, ὀρντθιον, ἐλάδιον, κρεάδιον, γεφύριον, γαλάκτιον, κώδιον.

To explain this recessive accent it may be urged that the diminutives in ίδιον were so numerous that they might easily have affected words ending with the same sound, e. g. ἀσπίδ-ιον, while many neuter adjectives in ιον were used as diminutives retaining the recessive accent of the adjective.

The other suffixes in ι_{OV} (those in ι_{OKOS} , ι_{NOS} and ι_{NOS} are treated of elsewhere) are polysyllabic, so that the accent cannot get off of the suffix. They are so numerous (nearly 30 extensions of ι_{OV}) that they, with ι_{NOS} at the head of the list (see above), may well have influenced the others in ι_{OV} and $\delta\iota_{OV}$.

The strongest argument for believing that the language had a tendency to accent the first syllable of the diminutive suffix is to be found in the paroxytone accent of trochaics in $\iota_{\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma}$. This goes directly against the usage of the Greek language elsewhere, which, for example, changes, in composition with $-\gamma\epsilon$, the accent of $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ to $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\gamma\epsilon$, apparently to avoid this objectionable accent. See also the great mass of trochaic polysyllables, compound (passive) and simple.

In connection with neuters in -ιον may be considered such words as μαλακτων ('darling,' from oxytone μαλακός), δειλακρτων ('coward' or 'poor fellow,' adj. δείλακρος), 'Αττικτων ('little Athenian,' adj. 'Αττικός). These may serve as collateral evidence in favor of accenting the first rather than the last syllable of the suffix.

Compounds.

The following statistics are offered to show that there is no necessary connection between the paroxytone accent and the dactylic ending. No categories of words have been intentionally omitted except those in which no active compounds were noticed. 56 categories are given, of which 24 categories are necessarily dactylic, i. e. -ηγορος, -δρομος, -κλοπος, -κτονος, -πλοκος, -(σ)σοος

¹ From a list made by Mr. F. R. Fraser it appears that out of 452 diminutives in Aristophanes and other Comic poets, the Tragedians and Plato, 212 have the simple termination ιον, 106 ίδιον οι άδιον and 50 άριον οι ήμιον.

² Cf. Brugmann (Vergl. Gram. II, p. 121). In nachhomerischen Zeit nahmen solche Neutra vielfach verkleinernde Bedeutung an, wie ὁρνίθιον, ἐταιρίδιον 'kleine Freundin,' ἀσπίδιον 'kleiner Schild,' etc.

 $(\sigma \acute{a}\omega)$, $-(\sigma)\sigma oos$ $(\sigma \epsilon \acute{\nu}\omega)$, $-\sigma κοπος$, $-\sigma τολος$, $-\sigma τροφος$, -στονος, -τροφος, -τροπος, -φθορος, -φθονος, -γλυφος, -γραφος, -ξοος, -προπος, -σκαφος, -(ρ)ροφος, -κτυπος, -(ρ)ραφος.

The other categories which may or may not have a long antepenult are: $-\pi o \lambda o s$, $-\beta o \rho o s$, $-\mu a \chi o s$, $-\mu o \rho o s$, $-\tau o \mu o s$, $-\kappa o \pi o s$, $-\mu o \nu o s$, $-\beta o \lambda o s$, $-\gamma o \nu o s$, $-\lambda o \chi o s$, $-\pi o \kappa o s$, $-\tau o \mu o s$, $-\kappa o \rho o s$, $-\tau o \mu o s$, $-\tau o \nu o s$, $-\pi o \nu o s$, $-\pi o \rho o s$, $-\phi o \rho o s$, $-\phi o \nu o s$, $-\tau o \nu o s$, $-\phi o \rho o s$, $-\kappa o \rho o s$,

The total number of active compounds was 516. Of these 232 were dactylic; 284 were tribrach.

If the *necessary* dactylics in the first 24 classes were excluded (i. e. 138) there would remain: dactylic, 94; tribrach, 284.

In this case there would be more than three times the number of tribrachs, but in any case there are many more tribrachs than dactyls. It is, therefore, hard to believe that the dactylics could have forced the accent of the more numerous tribrachs. We, therefore, are thrown back upon the old statement: "In active compounds the accent is regularly oxytone if the end of the word is trochaic; paroxytone if pyrrhic (whether tribrach or dactylic); if the word is passive (with the compounds of à-, the prepositions, ev-, dus, etc.) the accent is recessive whether trochaic, dactylic or tribrach." In other words, tribrach and dactylic endings fare exactly alike. In trochaic endings the tendency to recession, usual in compounds, was entirely stifled by the negative dislike of the combination $\angle \circ$, or possibly by the tendency, above cited, 'dem Hochton eine lange Silbe immer vorauszuschicken.' When the last member was a dissyllable with a short penult the accent receded as far as it could without leaving the last member; in the case of the trisyllabic -nyopos the accent avoided the antepenult just as it avoided the penult in trochaics.

In the above statistics some compounds, possibly some categories, may have been omitted, but it is unlikely that any appreciable change from the above proportion would be found to exist.

Anastrophe of Prepositions.

As the second member of some compounds necessarily gives a dactylic ending, so do a number of combinations of prepositions in anastrophe. But the number of possible tribrach combinations is greater than might be supposed, e. g. νηνοὶν ἔπι, νῆας ἔπι, χεροὶν ὅπο. In six books of the Iliad, i. e. Bks. VII-XII, selected at

random, all the instances of anastrophe were examined. There are in all 41 examples (leaving out the doubtful verse 531, Bk. X, and the example with elision, v. 264, Bk. XII, viz. βάλλον ἄπ'); of these 20 are dactylic, 21 tribrach (or 22 tribrach if the doubtful verse, 531, were included). It might also be urged that several of the dactylics really shorten the first syllable before the vowel, e. g. VIII 279, τόξον ἄπο, and could not have been felt as dactylic combinations. Apparently dactylic and tribrach combinations fare alike. If Wheeler's account (p. 100) of the trochaic prepositions and his dismissal of ἀνά and διά be correct, it is an interesting coincidence, but it would only tend to strengthen what is claimed above, that the Greeks objected to paroxytone trochaic, but not to paroxytone pyrrhic endings when, for any reason, the recession of the accent was checked half-way and the accent fell upon the penult.

Miscellaneous forms.

No attempt will be made here to examine in detail the accent of the ptc. in $-\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ and the paroxytone adverbs adduced by Wheeler in applying his law. It is probable that a more certain explanation of the genesis of the perf. pass. ptc. would throw light upon its peculiar accent.

In regard to the adverbs in άκις it may be argued that forms like ἐνάκις (also ἐννάκις), all those from δεκάκις up to εἰκοσάκις, διακοσιάκις, χιλιάκις, μυριάκις, in addition to those mentioned by Wheeler, may have had equal weight with the dactylic. One other category may be adduced for comparison: if those in -αδις were originally oxytone the law might have been expected to apply here. Of 9 examples quoted by Chandler (most of them late Greek) 7 are paroxytone; of these 5 are tribrachs, including the Homeric χαμάδις; two only of these paroxytones are dactylic, i. e. ἐσχάδις and ὀκλάδις (not in L. and S. and Pape). The only two which are not paroxytone are dactylic, i. e. ἀμαδίς and οἴκαδις.

In conclusion it may be claimed that each category of paroxytone words should be examined separately before deciding that all tribrach paroxytones were so accented by analogy with the dactylic. When in addition to words in τέος (e. g. ποτέος) and the Homeric words like ἀρπαλέος, ἀξαλέος, θαρσαλέος, σμερδαλέος, all the paroxytone material is taken together, it will be seen that there is no inconsiderable number of tribrach forms.

F. G. ALLINSON.

IV.—THE EVOLUTION OF THE LORD'S PRAYER IN ENGLISH.

In order to trace the successive steps by which the Lord's Prayer attained to its present form in English, it is necessary to begin with the oldest versions. Besides one semi-poetical and three poetical paraphrases, there are at least five Old English renderings of the prayer as given in Matthew, and three of that in Luke. Two of the renderings of the form in Matthew are by Ælfric, one is represented by a manuscript of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, as printed in Skeat's edition of The Gospel according to Saint Matthew, one is the Northumbrian gloss, and the other the (probably) Mercian gloss, both printed in Skeat's The versions of the Lucan form correspond to the last three mentioned. It is to be observed that the first three are West Saxon, and that these alone are translations, the Lindisfarne and Rushworth renderings being only interlinear glosses. All are comparatively late, in their present forms not earlier than 950 to 1000 A. D., as nearly as can be ascertained.

The typical or standard Old English form, obtained from a comparison of all the prose versions, would not greatly differ from that which is here subjoined.¹

Úre Fæder, bú be eart on heofonum, sí bín nama gehálgod. Tócume bín ríce. Geweorbe bín willa on eorban swá swá on heofonum. Sele ús tó-dæg úrne dæghwamlican hláf. And forgif ús úre gyltas, swá swá wé forgifab úrum gyltendum. And ne læd bú ús on costnunge. Ac álýs ús fram yfele. Sí hit swá.

A literal translation, adhering to the order of the words, would be:

Our Father, thou that art in heavens, be thy name hallowed. Come (Tocome) thy kingdom. Become thy will in earth as (so as) in heavens. Give us (to us) to-day our daily (daywhomly, the second syllable being distributive) bread (loaf). And forgive us (to us) our guilts, as (so as) we forgive our (to our) offenders (guilting ones). And not lead thou us into temptation. But release us from evil. Be it so.

¹ See Appendix I.

If this be compared with the Authorized Version, and allowance be made for the difference between the ancient and the modern forms of the same words, it will be seen that only the following words are obsolete: de (A. V. which), si (A. V. be), rice (A. V. kingdom), geweorde (A. V. be done; weorde still survives in 'Woe worth the day!'), sele (A. V. give; sele now has the meaning 'sell'), -hwam- (in dæghwamlican), gyltendum (A. V. debtors), ne (A. V. not), costnunge (A. V. temptation), ac (A. V. but), álýs (A. V. deliver; the second syllable preserved in release). Besides, the A. V. substitutes this day for to-day, bread for loaf (hlaf), debts for guilts (gyltas), the Amen of the original for Si hit swa, and inserts it is before the second in heaven. It further omits thou (twice), places the subject before the optative of the second and third sentences, transposes the negative, reduces the plural heavens to the singular, and as as (or so as) to as. To these changes must be added the insertion of the doxology, For thine, etc. Let us see at what periods these changes were effected.

The next English translation was by Wyclif (1380 or thereabouts). His text of the Lord's Prayer reads:

Oure fadir that art in hevenes, halewid be thi name. Thi kyngdoom come to. Be thi wille don in erthe as in hevene.⁹ 3yve to us this dai oure breed over othir substaunce. And for3yve to us oure dettis, as we for3yven to oure dettouris. And lede us not in to temptacioun. But delyvere us fro yvel.³ Amen.

The Wycliffite version, like the Old English, was made after the Vulgate. This will account for the phrase 'over othir substaunce,' which is an attempt at rendering the unmeaning Latin supersubstantialem. Only the Lindisfarne glossator had attempted this before, the other translators having invariably recurred to the quotidianum of Luke (or of the Old Latin). In Lind. the gloss is oferwistlic, the ofer translating super, and wistlic, substantialem. Wyclif has, for the quotidianum of Luke, the phrase ech daies.

We observe that already the indeclinable relative δe (the, not to be confounded with our article the) has given place to that (the

¹ The doxology is found in the Gothic version of Ulfilas. See Appendix II.

² First version, As in heven and (one MS., so) in erthe.

³ First version adds, that is, so be it. Otherwise the differences between the two versions are merely in spelling, except for the variations of particular manuscripts. These are sometimes important; thus, 'Thi wille be don,' and again, 'In erthe as it is in hevene,' 'Ech dayes breed.'

O. E. &æt), rice (Germ. Reich) to kingdom, geweorde to be . . . done, sele to give, hlaf to bread, gyltas to debts, gyltendum to debtors, ne to not, costnunge to temptation, aligs to deliver, and Si hit swá to Amen. The plural heavens is retained at its first occurrence, but becomes the singular at its second. The new words introduced are all derived from Old English, with the exception of debts, debtors, temptation, deliver, and Amen. Of these the first three are the Latin words of the Pater Noster, merely Anglicized, in the fourth the Latin word (libera) with the prefix de, and the fifth the original Latin (Hebrew) unchanged. The order of words in the phrase 'hallowed be' is that of the Lindisfarne and Rushworth glosses to the Lucan text (see Appendix I), and hence appears to be of Northern (Anglian) origin. In the next clause the subject is now first, but in the third it is enclosed by the auxiliary and past participle of the passive, be . . . done. The sign of the dative, to, is expressed, whereas in the Old English it is understood from the case-form. Not is now in its modern place, after the object of the verb lead, instead of before that verb. The come to after kingdom is found in the Rushworth gloss to the prayer in Matthew, though it there precedes the subject (see Appendix I).

There accordingly remain these changes to be made in later versions, in order to conform the Wycliffite to the Authorized Version: that to which, heavens to heaven, come to (adveniat) to come [be thy will to thy will be, as to as it is], to-day to this day, over other substance (supersubstantialem) to daily, the omission

of the dative sign, and the addition of the doxology.

The version by Tyndale (1534) goes a little further. It substitutes which for that, heaven for heavens, as it is 1 for as, this day for to-day, daily for over other substance (thus going back to the O. E.), omits the dative sign, and adds the doxology. On the other hand, it retrogrades in some respects, reading, Let thy kingdom come, fulfilled for done, as well in earth, trespasses and trespassers. With the latter we have no particular concern. The Cranmer Matthew (1539) goes back to debt and debtors, but is otherwise unchanged from Tyndale, except that O our becomes

The Geneva Bible (1557) is the first that reads Thy will be done.2 On the other hand, it inserts even after done and debts. Otherwise it is like the Cranmer. The Rheims version (1582)

¹ Already in one or more copies of the Wyclif version.

⁹ If we except the variant reading of Wyclif.

recurs to the Latin, and is less modern than the last two. Finally, the A. V. makes the last change necessary, abandoning the Let thy kingdom come of Tyndale and his successors, and returning to the Thy kingdom come of Wyclif, only dropping his appended to.

The Revised Version makes several innovations: 'As in heaven, so on earth';' 'also have forgiven'; 'bring' for 'lead' (like the Gothic); 'the evil *one*' for 'evil'; besides omitting the doxology, with the O. E. and Wycliffite versions (and the Rheims).

To return to the original O. E. version assumed as a standard, all but five of the thirty-five different words it contains exist as independent words to-day. Of these five, one, *rice*, is the last syllable of *bishopric*, and another, álýs, has exchanged its old prefix for a new. Of the thirty-eight different words in the A. V., exclusive of the doxology, only five are other than Old English.

APPENDIX I.

The versions by Ælfric, to which reference is made in the text, are contained in Thorpe's edition of Ælfric's Homilies, on pages 258 of the first volume and 596 of the second volume respectively. These are denoted by Ælf. I and Ælf. 2. In the homily which contains Ælf. I, the successive clauses of the prayer are again translated as they are commented upon, thus occasioning a number of variants from Ælf. I. The Cambridge manuscript of the Gospels (C. C. C. C. 140) is taken as the representative of the standard West Saxon version, and is denoted by Corp.; Lind. and Rush. stand for the Lindisfarne and Rushworth glosses respectively.

For the convenience of the student, the text of the Latin Vulgate and those of the various Old English renderings are here presented clause by clause, the accents of the MSS being disregarded. When Ælf. I and its variant are identical, the variant is not entered.

- Vulg. Pater noster, qui es in cœlis.
- Ælf. 1. Pu ure Fæder, pe eart on heofonum.
- Ælf. 1 var. Ure Fæder, pe eart on heofonum.
- Ælf. 2. Du ure Fæder, pe eart on heofenum.
- Corp. Fæder ure, bu be eart on heofonum.
- Lind. Fader urer, ou bist (var. aro) in heofnum (var. heof-
- Rush. Fæder ure, þu þe in heofunum earð.

¹A Wycliffite phrase.

Vulg. Sanctificetur nomen tuum.
Ælf. 1. Sy þin nama gehalgod.
Ælf. 2. Sy ðin nama gehalgod.
Corp. Si þin nama gehalgod.
Lind. Sie gehalgad noma ðin.
Rush. Beo gehalgad þin noma.

Vulg. Adveniat regnum tuum.

Ælf. 1. Cume öin rice.
Ælf. 2. Gecume þin rice.
Corp. Tobecume þin rice.
Lind. Tocymeð ric öin.
Rush. Cume to þin rice.

Vulg. Fiat voluntas tua. Ælf. 1. Sy ŏin wylla.

Ælf. I var. Geweorde din wylla.
Corp. Gewurpe din willa.
Lind. Sie willo din.
Rush. Weorpe pin willa.

Vulg. Sicut in cœlo, et in terra.

Ælf. 1. On eorðan swa swa on heofonum.

Ælf. 2. Swa swa on heofenum swa eac on eorðan.

Corp. On eoroan swa swa on heofonum.

Lind. Suæ is in heofne and in eoroo.

Rush. Swa swa on heofune swilce on eoroe.

Vulg. Panem nostrum supersubstantialem da nobis hodie.

Ælf. 1. Syle us todæg urne dæghwamlican hlaf.
Ælf. 1 var. Syle us nu todæg urne dæghwamlican hlaf.
Ælf. 2. Syle us todæg urne dæghwamlican hlaf.
Corp. Urne gedæghwamlican hlaf syle us todæg.

Lind. Hlaf userne oferwistlic sel us todæg.

Rush. Hlaf userne (var. ure) dæghwæmlicu (var. instondenlice, reading substantialem) sel us todæge.

Vulg. Et dimitte nobis debita nostra.

Ælf. 1. And forgyf us ure gyltas.

Ælf. 2. And forgif us ure gyltas.

Corp. And forgyf us ure gyltas.

Lind. And forgef us scylda usra.

Rush. And forlet (reading remitte) us ure scylde.

64 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY.

Vulg. Sicut et nos dimittimus. Ælf. 1. Swa swa we forgyfað. Ælf. 2. Swa swa we forgyfað. Corp. Swa swa we forgyfað. Lind. Suæ uoe forgefon.

Rush. Swa swa we ec forleten (reading remittimus).

Vulg. Debitoribus nostris. Ælf. 1. Dam þe wið us agyltað.

Ælf. 1 var. Dam mannum þe wið us agyltað.

Ælf. 2. pam ve wið us agyltað. Corp. Urum gyltendum. Lind. Scyldgum usum.

Rush. pæm þe scyldigat wið us.

Vulg. Et ne nos inducas in tentationem. Ælf. 1. And ne læd ðu na us on costnunge.

Ælf. I var. Ne geðafa, ðu God, þæt we beon gelædde on costnunge (see the Latin text of the Rushworth).

Ælf. 2. And ne læd þu na us on costnunge.

Corp. And ne gelæd þu us on costnunge.

Lind. And ne inlæd usih in costunge.

Rush. And ne gelaet us gelaede in constungae (reading Et ne patiaris nos induci in t.).

Vulg. Sed libera nos a malo.

Ælf. 1. Ac alys us fram yfele.

Ælf. 2. Ac alys us fram yfele.

Corp. Ac alys us of yfele.

Lind. Ah gefrig usich from yfle.

Rush. Ah gelese us of yfle.

Vulg. Amen.

Ælf. 1. Sy hit swa.

Ælf. 2. Sy hit swa.

Corp. Soblice.

Lind. (This and Rush. are lacking, both Latin and gloss.)

The text of the Lucan version is as follows:

Vulg. Pater, sanctificetur nomen tuum.

Corp. Ure fæder, pu de on heofone eart (from text of Matt.), si pin nama gehalgod.

Lind. Fader, gehalgad sie noma vin.

Rush. Fæder user, seðe is on heofnum (from text of Matt.), gihalgad bið noma ðin.

EVOLUTION OF THE LORD'S PRAYER IN ENGLISH. 65

Vulg. Adveniat regnum tuum.

Corp. Tocume pin rice.
Lind. Tocymæð ric ðin.
Rush. Tocymeð rice ðin.

Vulg. (Text of Matt. lacking.)

Corp. Gewurde din willa on heofone and on eorpan.

Lind. (Lacking.)

Rush. Sie willa öin sie swa on heofne and on eoroo (Latin from Matt.).

Vulg. Panem nostrum quotidianum da nobis hodie.

Corp. Syle us todæg urne dæghwamlican hlaf.

Lind. Hlaf userne dæghuæmlice sel us eghuelc dæge (reading cotidie).

Rush. Hlaf userne dæghwæmlice sel us todæge.

Vulg. Et dimitte nobis peccata nostra.

Corp. And forgyf us ure gyltas.
Lind. And forgef us synna usra.
Rush. And forgef us synne use.

Vulg. Siquidem et ipsi dimittimus.

Corp. Swa we forgyfað.

Lind. Gif fæstlice æc we forgefæs.

Rush. Swa (reading sicut) fæstlice and ec he (var. we) forgeofas.

Vulg. Omni debenti nobis.

Corp. Ælcum para pe wið us agyltað.

Lind. Eghuelc scyldge us.

Rush. Eghwelce scylde user (reading debitoribus nostris).

Vulg. Et ne nos inducas in tentationem.
Corp. And ne læd þu us on costnunge.
Lind. And ne usic onlæd ðu in costunge.
Rush. And ne usih onlæd ðu in costunge.

Vulg. (Text of Matt. lacking.)

Corp. Ac alys us fram yfele (from text of Matt.).

Lind. (Lacking.)

Rush. Ah afria usih from yfle (from text of Matt.).

APPENDIX II.

The earliest Teutonic translation is the Gothic (before 380 A. D.), which is here reproduced, with an interlinear translation, for comparison with the Old English. It will be noted that it has the doxology at the end.

Atta unsar, bu in himinam, weihnai namo bein. Father our, thou in heavens, be sanctified name thine.

Quimai piudinassus peins. Wairpai wilja peins swe in himina May come kingdom thine. Become will thine as in heaven

jah ana airthai. Hlaf unsarana pana sinteinan gif uns himma also on earth. Bread (loaf) our the daily give us this

daga. Jah aflet uns pata skulans sijaima, swaswe day. And forgive (let off) us that owing (we) may be, as

jah weis afletam paim skulam unsaraim. Jah ni also we forgive (let off) (to) the debtors our. And not

briggais uns in fraistubnjai, ak lausei uns of þamma ubilin. bring us into temptation, but loose us from the evil.

Unte peina ist piudangardi, jah mahts, jah wulpus, in aiwins. For thine is kingdom, and might, and glory, in eternity.

Amen.

Amen.

ALBERT S. COOK.

NOTES.

AVESTAN ETYMOLOGIES.—I.

1.—Av. võiždayantat-, võiždaţ.

The word aiwi.võiždayantahe Ys. 9. 31 has long been a troublesome one. The passage where it occurs contains an appeal to Haoma to ward off various enemies. The text reads:

> paiti mašyehe drvatō sāstarš aiwi.vōizdayantahe kamərədəm kəhrpəm nāšəmnāi ašaone haoma zāire vadarə jaidi. Ys. 9. 31.

'Against the body of the wicked man, the tyrant . . ., in behalf of the righteous man that perishes, O golden Haoma, hurl thy weapon.'

To explain the verse, kamərədəm has sometimes been considered a gloss (Geldner, Metrik, p. 134; de Harlez, Manuel, p. 186), but its genuineness is rightly now assured, and in Geldner's new edition of the texts the verse is given as one of ten syllables in accordance with his Metrik, p. 118, where the line is so treated. Neryosangh's Skt. version of the passage also shows mastakē. The word kamərədəm furnishes the objective accusative to aiwn.võiždayantahe.

For the uncertain participial form $v\bar{o}i\bar{z}dayant$ - an etymology may now be suggested. The stem-form $v\bar{o}i\bar{z}da$ - is to be identified with none other than the Skt. $\sqrt{v\bar{z}d}$ - 'to be strong, be mighty, firm.' Observe the Skt. cerebral d. The root in Av. will be $v\bar{z}d$ -.

$$\operatorname{Av.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v \bar{i} z d^{-} \\ v o i \bar{z} d a^{-} \end{array} : \operatorname{Skt.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v \bar{i} d^{-} \\ (v \bar{e} d a^{-}) \end{array} \right\} :: \operatorname{Av.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z \bar{i} z d^{-} \\ z \bar{o} i \bar{z} d a^{-} \end{array} : \operatorname{Skt.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h \bar{i} d \\ h \bar{e} d a \end{array} \right\} \right\}$$

Similarly Av. $m\tilde{\imath}\check{z}da$ = Skt. $m\tilde{\imath}dha$ and others. The strong form $v\tilde{o}i\check{z}dayant$, moreover, is to be compared with Skt. $h\tilde{\epsilon}dayant$. The prefix aiwi seems to be intensive as in aiwinasant, aiwi, aojah, $aiwy\bar{a}ma$, etc. Thus $aiwi.v\tilde{o}i\check{z}dayantahe\ kamərə\deltaəm$ will characterize the tyrant $s\bar{a}st\bar{a}r$ as 'making his head too mighty,' i. e. exalting it too high. It is an expression that

denotes overweening power; the head (as sometimes in the Bible the head of the wicked, Ezek. ix. 10) is put for the whole person. Observe of course that kamərəða- is an Ahrimanian word.

The passage Ys. 9. 31 may now be rendered: 'Against the body of the wicked man, the tyrant that too much exalteth himself (lit. making his head too mighty), O golden Haoma, hurl thy weapon.'

This etymology suits well in a passage in the Gāthās, Ys. 32. 10, where the same verb occurs:

hvõ mā nā sravað mõrendat yð acištem vaenanhe aogedā gam ašibyā hvarecā yascā dāpēng dregvatē dadāt yascā vāstrā vīvāpat yascā vadare vē iždat ašāune. Ys. 32. 10.

'That man destroyed my teachings who said it is the worst thing to look upon the cow and the sun, and who made the faithful wicked, who laid the pastures waste, and who made strong the weapon against the righteous.'

The identity of the root Av. $v\bar{\imath}zd$ -, $v\bar{o}izda$ -= Skt. $v\bar{\imath}d$ - in Av. $v\bar{o}izdayant$ -, $v\bar{o}izdat$ -, seems, therefore, sure; perhaps, however, the more original meaning of both was 'lift up, raise, raise into place, fit, strengthen.'

2.—Av. zōišnu-.

Examples of the euphonic change orig. dn = Av. n—as in Av. $gar \partial nu = \text{Skt. } gr dhn \hat{u}$ -; Av. $buna = \text{Skt. } budhn \hat{a}$ -; Av. sanat = *sadnat—have been given by the present writer in Am. Or. Soc. Proceedings, May, 1889, p. cxxv. A new instance to be added to the list is Av. $z\bar{o}i\check{s}nu$ -. This word is formed with the suffix -nu from the root Av. $\sqrt{z\bar{i}}\dot{z}d$ -, $z\bar{o}i\dot{z}d$ - which appears in $z\bar{o}i\dot{z}di\check{s}ta$ -. This latter adjective occurs in the familiar phrase $yapa \ z\bar{o}i\dot{z}di\check{s}$ - $t\bar{a}i\check{s}$ $hrafstrai\check{s}$ Vd. 7. 2, etc., 'as the most hateful (or malicious) Khrafstras.' Its etymology becomes clear when we identify its radical element with Skt. $\sqrt{h\bar{i}}d$ -, $h\bar{c}d$ - 'be hostile, malicious,' cf. Av. $\sqrt{v\bar{i}}\dot{z}d$ -, $v\bar{o}i\check{z}d$ -, = Skt. $\sqrt{v\bar{i}}d$ - above.' Thus $z\bar{o}i\check{s}nu$ - stands for $z\bar{o}iz^dnu$ -— $\check{s}n$ for zn, as $ra\check{s}nu$ -, $bara\check{s}nu$ -, etc. The word is both

¹ Like the Biblical expression ' exalting the horn.'

² The form *võizhdyāi* in Justi, Handbuch, should be *võizdyāi* (i. e. z); it does not, therefore, belong here, but is rightly given by Justi to *vid- 'find, acquire.'

³ The identification of Av. zõižd- with Skt. hēd- I since find has likewise been noted by von Bradke, in K. Z. xxviii, p. 295.

NOTES. 69

substantive and adjective. (1) As substantive zōišnu- means 'hatred, malice, enmity'; (2) as adjective it is 'hateful, hated.'

As adjective zōišnu- is found in Vd. 7. 70, which deals with the treatment of a woman whose body is defiled by a miscarriage, yezica hē ham tafnō jasāt avi tanuye zōišnuye, 'and if the fever come upon her hateful body.' The unclean woman's body is loathed as if she were impure or pəšō-tanuye.

The substantive use of $z\bar{o}i\check{s}nu$ - I find in the Gāthās, Ys. 51. 12. The form there is $z\bar{o}i\check{s}nu$ -, the (3) by anaptyxis. This difficult verse, Ys. 51. 12, was last treated, as far as I know, by Geldner in K. Z. xxx, p. 524. He made the whole tenor of the verse clear by an excellent identification of Av. aodar- 'cold, frost' with Skt. $\bar{u}dhdr$ -. The etymology of $z\bar{o}i\check{s}nu$ - now suggested, however, may lead to a remodelling of the construction of the last part of the verse. The text runs:

nõit tā īm hšnāuš vaēpyō kəvinō pərətō zimō zarapuštrəm spītāməm hyat ahmī urūraost aštō hyat hōi īm caratascā aodərəšcā zōišənū vāzā. Ys. 51. 12.

In zōišənā vāzā I see a dual, a dvandva, which forms the subject of caratas. The noun zōišənā then is 'malice, rancor, fierceness,' and Av. vāzā is to be identified, as Justi s. v., with Skt. vāja- 'strength.' On Skt. vāja- 'strength, force,' see also Pischel in Pischel-Geldner, Ved. Stud. p. 11 n. Thus aodərəšcā zōišənā vāzā will be 'the fierceness and strength of the cold,' or by hendiadys the 'malicious blast of the cold'; cf. pərətō zimō in the first line. The difficult verse Ys. 51. 12 may now perhaps mean: 'Not thereby did the heretic Vaepya delight Spitama Zarathushtra in the depth of winter when he hindered him (Zoroaster) from finding shelter with him even when the fierce strength of the cold also (lit. the malice and strength) were coming upon him.' The pronoun īm refers both times to Zarathushtra; hōi (Vaepya) is ethical dative; caratas (dual) is historical present.

The idea of the horror and dread of winter and cold is quite Zoroastrian, aodərəšca zōišnū vāzā is consistent with pərətō zīmō. The Pahlavi version of Ys. 51. 12 seems to contain a glimmer of this same idea, if we could but better understand the version. Mills, S. B. E. xxxi, p. 183 note ad loc. finds in the Phl. rendering of aodərəscā z. v. 'in the cold [of a winter] of accustomed sin (or in the cold iniquitous winter).' The phrase pərətō zimō, and perhaps even caratasca, needs further investigation.

A. V. WILLIAMS JACKSON.

On the Infinitive after Expressions of Fearing in Greek.

It is a well known fact that in Greek and Latin the infinitive and the subjunctive (or optative) are regularly used after verbs of fearing in two easily distinguishable senses: δέδοικα ἐλθεῖν, vereor ire = 'I am afraid to go, do not dare to go'; δέδοικα μὴ ἔλθω, vereor ne eam = 'I am afraid that I may (or shall) go.' It is well known also that the Latin poets occasionally neglect this distinction, using the infinitive after metuo and timeo, where ne with the subjunctive would be normal. Thus, when Horace writes (Ep. I 7, 4-5),

Quam mihi das aegro, dabis aegrotare timenti, Maecenas, veniam,

it is clear that aegrotare timenti is equivalent to timenti ne aegrotem. But careful scholars often ignore or deny the existence of a similar license in Greek.¹ The phenomenon does, however, present itself there, and, in one respect, has a wider range than in Latin. In reputable Latin, namely, so far as I am aware, we do not meet with expressions such as timeo eum aegrotare (or aegrotaturum esse) in the sense 'I fear that he will be sick';² whereas in Greek the verb of fearing and the dependent infinitive may have different subjects. Without attempting a systematic search, I have noted in the tragic dramatists several instances of the license in question. They are not all equally certain, but the weaker cases derive confirmation from the stronger. The two passages from the Septem have been variously construed, but I

¹ In the new edition of Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses, §372, the use of the *future* infinitive after verbs of fearing in the sense of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the subjunctive is recognized and one exemple is cited from Thucydides. Prof. Gildersleeve has kindly called my attention to the fuller recognition of my principle by Kühner, Gram. p. 1045 Anmerk., and Aken, Tempus und Modus, §168. Kühner supplies an example not noted by me in Eur. Hec. 768; Aken others in Lys. 23, 12 (this one I think should be omitted), Plut. Philop. [18], Plut. Crass. 34 (sic). Aken is wrong in denying the corresponding usage in Latin, as well as in denying that the infinitive may have a different subject from the verb of fearing.

² Madvig says (Lat. Gram. §376, Obs.): "Timeo and metuo are rarely found with the accusative and infinitive, with the signification, to expect with apprehension that something will happen." No examples are quoted, and I believe the statement should be amended by striking out the words "accusative and."

have not thought it necessary to discuss the different explana-

1. Aesch. Sept. 417-21:

τὸν ἀμόν νυν ἀντίπαλον εὖτυχεῖν θεοὶ δοῖεν, ὡς δικαίως πόλεως πρόμαχος ὅρνυται * τρέμω δ' αἰματηφόρους μόρους ὑπὲρ φίλων ὀλομένων ἰδέσθαι.

Considering the context, the translation of $\tau \rho \epsilon \mu \omega$ idea by 'I am afraid (do not dare) to see,' would be unsatisfactory. The sense evidently required is, 'but I fear that I shall behold the blood-stained corpses of men slain for their friends.'

2. Aesch. Sept. 720 ff.:

πέφρικα τὰν ὧλεσίοικον θεόν, οὐ θεοῖς όμοίαν, παναληθῆ, κακόμαντιν, πατρὸς εὐκταίαν Ἐρινὺν τελέσαι τὰς περιθύμους κατάρας Οἰδιπόδα βλαψίφρονος * παιδολέτωρ δ' ἔρις ἄδ' ὀτρύνει.

τελέσαι here is regarded by Prof. Goodwin (Moods and Tenses, §373) as an ordinary case of the infinitive after a verb of fearing. But if the construction is really analogous to that of Demosthenes's τίς οὐκ ἀν ἔφριξε ποιῆσαι (559, 8), it would seem that we are obliged to translate, 'I shrink from the Fury's fulfilling the curses,' rather than, with Prof. Goodwin and others, 'I shudder at the idea of the Fury fulfilling.' That would be a feeble utterance, while, 'I fear that the Fury may fulfill,' answers thoroughly to the situation.

3. Soph. Aj. 254-5:

πεφόβημαι λιθόλευστον "Αρη ξυναλγεῖν μετὰ τοῦδε τυπείς.

The thought immediately preceding is, 'It is time to be off, for the Atridae are threatening us,' and the quoted words probably mean, 'I fear that I shall be assaulted with Ajax and share the painful death by stoning.'

4. Eur. Ion, 1564-5:

θανείν σε δείσας μητρός εκ βουλευμάτων και τήνδε πρός σοῦ, μηχαναίς ερρύσατο.

'Fearing that you would perish by your mother's schemes and she by your hand, he contrived deliverance.'

5. Eur. Med. 1256-7:

θεοῦ δ' αίματι πίτνειν φόβος ὑπ' ἀνέρων.

aiµan is corrupt (pace Dr. Verrall), but, however it is emended, the sense must be, 'We fear that divine blood will be shed by man.' That this rendering does not ascribe to Euripides any extraordinary ignorance of his "moods and tenses" (see this Journal, Vol. III, p. 347 at bottom), seems to me certain.

F. B. TARBELL.

Two Sprüche of Walther von der Vogelweide.

31, 33.

In nomine dumme ich wil beginnen: sprechent âmen (daz ist guot für ungelücke und für des tievels sâmen), daz ich gesingen müeze in dirre wise alsô, swer höveschen sanc und fröide stære, daz der werde unfrô.

This is Lachmann's punctuation of the first four verses. All later editors, except von der Hagen, follow him, and thereby commit themselves to a complicated interpretation. Apart from the awkward parenthesis, Lachmann seems to prescribe a translation something like this: 'Say Amen to that, (and wish) that I may sing in this strain in such a manner that whoever hinders courtly song and joys may be rendered unhappy.'

Uhland's version of the passage (Schriften, V 60, published 1822) appears to have been neglected or forgotten:

'In nomine domini! ich will beginnen, sprechet: Amen!
Das ist gut für Ungelücke und für des Teufels Samen.
Das ich nun singen müsse in dieser Weise also,
Wer höfischen Sang und Freude störe, dass der werde unfroh!'

This rendering of the first two verses is more simple and natural in tone than is possible in any translation entangled in Lachmann's punctuation. But in the third and fourth verses the sense labors; and for this, Walther's usually perspicuous style can scarcely be made responsible. The difficulty appears to be due rather to the

σοὶ δ' οὐ δέος ἐστ' ἀπολέσθαι. Μ. 246. οὐδέ τί τοι παθέειν δέος οὐδ' ἀπολέσθαι. ε. 347.

¹ Homer furnishes two parallel cases:

NOTES. 73

supposition that the first verse contains a serious invocation. Assuming this latter to be, on the contrary, a parody, the following new punctuation is now offered:

In numme dumme ich wil beginnen: sprechent, âmen! daz ist guot für ungelücke und für des tievels sâmen, daz i ich gesingen müeze in dirre wise alsô. swer höveschen sanc und fröide stære, daz der werde unfrô!

The first verse is a taunting variation of the pious flourish with which dull epic singers at court 2 began their narrations. Wilmanns 181 has made an instructive collection of such phrases, citing the poems; but no editor appears to have noticed in this passage Walther's bitterly satirical use of the most characteristic turn of them all. There is ground for supposing that the epic poets and spilliute were among his best-hated and most persistent rivals (Wilmanns, Leben 286, and the authorities cited on p. 456); and they—and their like—appear to be the cause of our poet's lament (32, 1-4):

ich hân wol und hovelîchen her gesungen: mit der hövescheit bin ich nû verdrungen, daz die unhöveschen nû ze hove genæmer sint dann ich. daz mich êren solde, daz unêret mich.

It is, therefore, with a touch of comic pathos that Walther emphasizes his hatred by beginning the *spruch* in the droning style of these poetasters:

'In numme dumme I will begin: say, Amen! It is good

¹ For this construction with daz—daz, cf. 78, 35 daz ist uns ein trôst vor allem trôste, daz man dâ ze himel ir willen tuot.

⁹ I assume, with Lachmann (p. 199), that this *spruch* was sung in Austria. But cf. Wilmanns² 182, and Leben 57. For a synopsis of the views of earlier authorities cf. Menzel 158-161.

⁸ Cf. Uhland, III 329. Mr. B. J. Vos has kindly furnished the following additional parallel, from the invocation in Heinr. v. Veldeke's Servatius:

In Gods namen ende in synen vreden Soe beghennen wy deser reden Ende spreken inden beghinne aldus: Sancti Spiritus Assit nobis gracia!

⁴ Cf. 103, 37 'ich und ein ander tore, wir dænen in sin ore, daz nie kein münch ze kore so sere mê geschrei.' This self-criticism, which Walther puts in the mouth of one of the objectionable einer hande diet, occurs in a spruch which Rieger 15 connects with 31, 33.

against ill luck and the devil's seed [but not to the credit of art, or of my poetry] that I should have to sing in this strain, in such a fashion. Whoever brings courtly song and merriment into discredit, may he be joyless! The first three lines are entirely distinct from the rest of the spruch, both in idea and in expression. The scoffing invocation is followed by a real invective, thoroughly characteristic of the style and art of the minnesong. In the next verse (5) the tone rises to a dignified protest against these interlopers, as well as to a justification of his own art; and the poem ends with a personal appeal to Duke Leopold. In the translation, I have written (with Wackernagel and Bartsch) numme dumme for nomine domini, because B gives dumme, and because the verse demands such a contraction in this place (Wilmanns 180). The proposed reading heightens the sarcasm.

This spruch was formerly made much use of, in biographies of Walther, as the first written in this 'tone,' and as a 'Weihestrophe.' The name was bestowed on account of the 'förmliche und ausdrückliche Einweihung' (Rieger 13). Paul (PBB 8, 165-6) has rightly denied that the strophe has any such solemn significance; cf. also Wackernell, Zs. f. d. Ph. 14, 246. But the question still remains whether the first verse is not the mock-consecration of what the poet considers an unhövescher sanc (31, 36), begun in self-defence. Cf. Wilmanns, Leben 277: 'Mit dem Vortrag von Sprüchen hatte Walther sich über die Schranke gewagt, die bis dahin für den ritterlichen Sänger gegolten hatte.' Wilmanns² 180 calls the first lines 'komisch gefärbt.' But if only a laugh is to be raised, the cost of it would have to be borne in the end by the poet who debased his muse to travesty. If, on the contrary, while crossing himself with mock piety against the deviltries of his opponents, he takes off their style in bitter sarcasm, the loss is theirs, and his hearers are won.

¹ For this sense of müeze cf. Iwein 6557 nû vürht ich aber vil sêre daz ich dise grôz êre vil tiure gelten müeze (lest I should have to pay, etc.)

² For swer followed by an imprecation, cf. 11, 14 swer dir fluoche, st verfluochet mit fluoche volmezzen!

³ daz, introducing a wish, occurs: 52, 18; 64, 34; 95, 2; 100, 18; cf. Iwein 6660 daz ims doch got niht lône, der daz sô vlîzeclîchen tete!

⁴Cf. 'the land of Nummerdumen amen, that lies on the other side of Monday.' Uhland, III 228

⁵ Cf. Menzel 159.

108, 6.

This strophe, which Lachmann printed among Walther's poems, as 'not unworthy of him,' was reclaimed by Wackernagel-Rieger (xv) for Ulrich von Singenberg; and later editors have followed them (Wilmanns² 368). In Ulrich's poems it has usually found a place after 72-75 A (WR 246; Bartsch, Schweiz. Minnes. 43), which are in the same 'tone'; but no close connection between them has been noticed. Bartsch (xxxviii) says: 'ganz passend ist an dieses Lied [72-75 A] die in gleichem Tone gedichtete Trauerstrophe um Walthers Hingang angereiht.' But a comparison with Walther shows that the strophe in question is modelled after 100, 24-101, 22, and also that it formed in all

probability the last verse of Ulrich's song (72-75 A).

Walther 100, 24 fro Welt. Wackernagel-Rieger 245, 25 min vrô Welt. W 101, 5 (cf. 117,8) frô Welt, ich han ze vil gesogen: ich wil entwonen, des ist zit. din zart hat mich vil nach betrogen, wand er vil suezer fröiden git. WR 245, 6 dest ir site, der ich số holdez herze trage, daz si số süezer süeze wenet, daz man sich næte nåch der lieben liebe senet, waz din werde minneclicher, minne (fröide C) gît dem, der, etc. W 101, 3 sô dû mir rehte widersagest, so wirst dû niemer wol gemuot. WR 245, 13 wie kunde ich werden höhgemuot ane ir tröst. W 100, 35 gedenk waz ich dir êren bôt, waz ich dir dînes willen lie, als dû mich dicke sêre bæte. WR 245, 21 dem tuot si liep und êre schîn . . . swen si mit willen fröide wert, dem gît si fröiden swaz er ir zer welte gert. W 101, 9 do ich dich gesach reht under ougen (cf. 75, 3), dô was dîn schowen wunderlich . . . al sunder lougen. WR 245, 19 er muoz lachen, swer ir under ougen siht. This suggests for the lacuna after wunderlich a phrase like des muost ich lachen, in place of Lachmann's des muoz ich jehen. Cf. MSH 2, 332 dem argen under ougen daz gelücke suoze lachet. W 101, 21 got gebe dir, frowe, guote naht: ich wil ze herberge varn. WR 246, 7 uns ist unsers sanges meister an die vart, den man ê von der Vogelweide nande, diu uns nach im allen ist vil unverspart. waz frumt nû swaz er ê der welte erkande? The moralizing tone in this last is characteristic of Ulrich's attitude towards Walther's poetry. It occurs again in his adaptation (WR 211, 9) of Walther's spruch 28, 1.

HENRY WOOD.

THE CONSTRUCTION OF TYΓΧΑΝΩ.

In my Justin Martyr, Apol. I 12 (1877), I said touching λανθάνω, τυχάνω and φθάνω, 'The typical construction is identity of tenses so far as continuance, attainment and completion are concerned, but the rule is not closely observed except with φθάνω.' How closely it was observed with $\phi\theta d\nu\omega$, how strong the mechanical tradition was, I had long known. So in later Greek we find the rule observed in the rare perf. and pluperf., e. g. Plut. Galba 17: ἐφθάκει προειληφώς, Arr. An. 3, 20, 2: ἐφθάκει παρεληλυθώς, Luc. Philops. 6 (3, 34 R): ἐφθάκει προεξεληλυθώς. In (Dem.) 18, 39: οὐδὲν προτερήσετε ἔξω τοῦ ἐφθακέναι ἀδικοῦντες, there is no real violation of the rule, for ἀδικῶ is a familiar equivalent of the perfect. The observations of Weiske, Jahrb. 1884, p. 826, only confirmed the rule, which I did not hammer out into all its details. On any reasonable system of the tenses we must recognize an aoristic use of the present, an aoristic use of the future. The perfect is often a practical present, the pluperfect a practical imperfect and vice versa, and the agrist is often the shorthand of the perfect, and sometimes must be (A. J. P. IV 429 note). But, as a real present is needed in Thuc. 3, 83, 3, I change φθάσωσι into φθάνωσι and read without hesitation μή φθάνωσι προεπιβουλευόμενοι. Λ 45Ι: φθή σε τέλος θανάτοιο κιχήμενον is no exception, for κ. has a distinctly agristic meaning, and as to λ 58: ἔφθης πεζὸς ἰών, where Bekker with his fine sense reads ¿ών, something might be said about the metre, something about the colorlessness of the participles. If a present participle follows an agrist participle after an agrist, as in N 815: ἢ κε πολύ φθαίη ... πόλις ὑμὴ ... άλοῦσά τε περθομένη τε, no sober investigator will count the present as a real example of a violated rule. So we find πρὶν ἄγειν (A 98), but only after δόμεναι (see A. J. P. II 467 and III 516). φθώνω, I repeat, is very steady.

Next comes λανθάνω. But whoever studies λανθάνω will have to consider the negative element, for a negatived aor. of λανθάνω may well be treated as an imperfect, and the participle in that case becomes present. See my note on Pind. O. 6, 36: οὐκ ἔλαθε κλέπτοισα. Of this negatived agrist of λανθάνω with present participle Ebeling will furnish examples for Homer, Ast for Plato. famous λάθε βιώσας shows that the type of coincidence is strong in λανθάνω and is not lightly violated.

That is not true of the tricky τυχάνω, and we have to thank Professor J. R. Wheeler for his elaborate statistics of the 'Participial Construction with τυγχάνειν and κυρείν' in the new volume of the Harvard Studies (Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891), which, at least, enable us to see how tricky τυγχάνω is. In this article Professor Wheeler has arranged these participial constructions under no less than seventeen categories, in which he has followed the form simply and not the meaning, είδώς being reckoned as a perfect and ήκων as a present. If we apply the principles laid down above, these categories will shrink very much in number, but there will still remain phenomena enough for the grammarian to take to heart. The most striking fact is the very large number of the abnormal class & in which the agrist of the finite verb is combined with the present participle. There are, according to Professor Wheeler's count, 171 of these against 66 of the 'normal' construction—the agrist of the finite verb with the agrist of the participle. 'As a matter of fact,' he says, 'the examples do not show here that the distinction between imperf. and aorist was very distinctly observed,' and we are referred to the remarks in §§56 and 57 of the 'Moods and Tenses' for an adequate explanation of the looseness in the use of the tenses. But in these sections I see nothing more than the waiving of a peculiarly inconvenient responsibility; and that we cannot afford to waive this peculiarly inconvenient responsibility is shown by the example of such a veteran as Blass, who has resolutely gone to work on this very problem of the use of imperfect and agrist (see A. J. P. XI 107). Instead of contenting himself with the indifference of imperf. and aor. in the case of rvyxávo, it would have been more to the point, if Professor Wheeler had asked what makes φθάνω and τυγχάνω to differ in this respect for they most assuredly differ, as we have seen. But, though I cannot agree with the easy doctrine of the 'Moods and Tenses' in this point, it will not be expected that I should go through all the examples of this indifference as to imperfect and aorist, and I will merely say that the specimen which Professor Wheeler has himself selected does not seem to me very apt. He bids us compare Xen. Anab. 1, 5, 8: ὅπου ἔτυχεν ἔκαστος έστηκώς with 4, 8, 26: οὖπερ ἐστηκότες ἐτύγχανον. Does position mean nothing? Does the individuality of εκαστος mean nothing? But I am willing to accept all his abnormalities. Rectification here and rectification there will not right such a mass as he has collected, and we must seek for another explanation, and that is not to be found in the indifference of imperfect and aorist. We must go deeper and ask ourselves whether after all our categories are right, whether there

is any necessary association of the three verbs so commonly treated together. A little reflection will show that even theoretically the actions of τυγχάνω and its participle are not so necessarily coincident as is the case with φθάνω and its participle, with λανθάνω and its participle. In $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu\omega$ the actions must coincide; neither, to use a homely phrase, can get through the door before the other. λανθάνω we have the negative and the positive sides of one and the same action. But this is not true of τυγχάνω, and the language seems to say that it is not true. With φθάνω and λανθάνω the finite verb and the participle may be reversed. We have from the beginning φθάμενος with the finite verb, from the beginning λαθών with the finite verb. τυχών used in this sense is extremely rare (Kühner, §482, Anm. 14), though in the sense of 'hitting' it is not uncommon in Homer, e. g. N 371: βάλεν ΰψι βιβάντα τυχών. familiar τυχχάνω construction with the partic is cited only ξ 334, τ 291: τύχησε γὰρ ἐρχομένη νηθε, and there is no coincidence. In the one Pindaric example, N. 1, 49, we have the same lack of coincidence: ὅσαι τύχον ᾿Αλκμήνας ἀρήγοισαι λέχει, a fact which weighs heavily in view of the Homeric habits of the other two verbs. It may be, then, that we have in the constructions of τυγχάνω a mere analogy to the typical constructions of $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu\omega$, with which verb τυγχάνω has some affinity of sense. If this is so, there ought to be no trouble about the agrist of τυγχάνω with the present participle, which would represent the evolution of the $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta$, any more than there would be about the combination of the adverbial τυχόν with an imperfect, rare as that is. In Latin accidit ut with the imperf. is the invariable rule, and the conception is similar (see my L. G. §513, R. 2); and the behavior of συνέβη is not uninstructive. There the aorist inf. is typical, but the present is also found.

If this theory is correct, then we need not concern ourselves much about the other irregularities which Professor Wheeler undertakes to explain at length, and for the sake of which his article was written. When we have a present indicative or an imperfect indicative with the aorist participle we must have, Professor Wheeler thinks, a real priority of the participle, and this has passed, with due credit to Professor Wheeler, into the revised edition of the 'Moods and Tenses' as one of the new features of that monumental work (§146). But an inspection of the examples given reduces the number considerably. A large proportion of them—there are not many in all—are historical presents, and whatever theoretical shift the historical present may admit from

aorist to imperfect, the aorist participle shows what the Greek conception was in any particular case. One of the examples is not really a participle at all. Dem. 52, 9: δ Λύκων τυγχάνει ων καὶ ἄπαις καὶ κληρονόμον οὐδένα καταλιπών, where the position and the double καί show that κληρονόμον οὐδένα καταλιπών is virtually an adjective, there being no negative adj. of the kind in classical Greek. Add this to Alexander's examples, A. J. P. IV 306. In Isocr. 4, 103 τυγχάνουσι is generic and so may be aoristic. Under the imperfects Professor Wheeler cites Hdt. 6, 65: οἱ τότε ἐτύγχανον πάρεδροί τε ἐόντες καὶ ἀκούσαντες ταῦτα 'Αρίστωνος, the present comes first and it is not fair to count the example. And in some of the others the aorist participle is a shorthand perfect. But I am not trying to save the formula of τυγχάνω. Quite the contrary. My only object is to show that mere statistics will not solve problems, though such statistics as Professor Wheeler's are extremely serviceable in emphasizing the necessity of a thorough revision of an important chapter of Greek syntax, the temporal uses of the participle.

B. L. G.

REVIEWS AND BOOK NOTICES.

The Fravashis by Aerpat Meherjibhai Palanji Madan, to be read before the eighth International Oriental Congress. Bombay, printed at the "Times of India" Steam Press, 1889.

The author of this little treatise, who translated de Harlez's French version of the Avesta into Gujerati, and is just about to give to his countrymen a Gujerati version of Dr. Mills's translation of the Gâthâs, tries a new explanation of the nature of the Genii called Fravashis whose name so frequently occurs in the Avesta. Relying especially on Yasna LIV I and XXVI II, 21 (ed. Spiegel), he endeavors to prove that "urvan (the soul) is the progenitor of good or evil actions or things. Now the good actions that result from urvan are its Fravashi, which literally means protector. Urvan is protected from punishment and gets due rewards through its Fravashi or good actions" (page 6). The Fravashi of Ahuramazda, accordingly, is all his good creation. "People love him, venerate him, worship him for his creation and noble gifts, his Fravashi" (page 7). This is acceptable, but how can we explain the Fravashis of inanimate things, as earth, water, trees, etc., which have neither baodhang (intelligence) nor urvan (soul)? According to the author's opinion the explanation is simple: "The force or generative power in these inanimate things, which produces something useful or good, may be taken as baodhang and urvan combined; what they produce is their Fravashi. The earth, for instance, gives us habitation and produces all sorts of things, such as trees, plants, minerals, etc., for our use or profit. Thus the habitation, trees, plants are the Fravashi of the earth. Similarly, trees and plants produce fruits, food and healing medicines, which are the Fravashis of trees and plants. Water quenches our thirst, increases our health, and makes our food digestible. These properties in water, that are thus useful to us, are its Fravashi. The Fravashis of these inanimate objects support or protect them, which means that people take care of them on account of the good they derive from them" (pp. 7, 8). This explanation seems to me rather far-fetched. It is strange that the Fravashis are to be nothing more than the good actions of man, which are designated in the Avesta by hvarshta, a word which occurs in the formula Humata, Hukhta and Hvarshta, or good thoughts, good words and good deeds, a formula that contains the fundamental principle of Zoroastrian religion. And how can we presume that there are Fravashis, viz. good works of men who are not yet born? A passage in the hymn Yasna XXVI 6 runs as follows: "We worship the holy Fravashis of the deceased good men, of the living good men, and of those who are not yet born."

I will try to give my own opinion about the Fravashis. In two passages of the Avesta (Yasna LV I, LXIII 3) the Fravashis are conceived as a part of the human soul, intermediary between soul and body, being nevertheless an independent

personality, especially independent of the body. According to the more recent tradition, the Sadder-Bundehesh (see Spiegel's introduction to the traditional books of the Parsees, II 172, 173), when the body is given up to annihilation the remaining powers of the soul leave it, conscience goes straight to heaven, but the soul, consciousness and Fravashi remain together, to account for the actions of man and to be rewarded or punished. The Fravashis, we see, belong to the immortal parts of the soul, whose destiny they share to its ultimate fate on the day of judgment. But the existence of the Fravashis is not entirely included by that of the soul. They have already existed before, from the time when the spiritual world was created, and they are immortal like every creation of the good spirit Ahuramazda. They are the divine part of man, which, existing from all eternity, is only for a short time connected with the human body.

We see that, besides the Fravashis who stay on earth, according to the Avesta, there are others who dwell in heaven and will descend to earth in future times; and others who have returned already to heaven from their earthly life as souls of good men. These three are often invoked together, for example, in the above-quoted hymn, Yasna XXVI 6.

Every living creature has its tutelary spirit, not only in this, but also in the spiritual world. Even Ahuramazda, the creator and master of the world, forms no exception, and his Fravashi is mentioned as often as the Fravashis of the Ameshaspentas, who are assembled around the throne of Athuramazda, and the Fravashis of the other beings who are worthy of sacrifice (cf. Ys. 23, 2; Yt. 13, 82). So, in the Vendidad, XIX 14, Zarathustra is bidden invoke the genius of Ahuramazda, whose holy law is the principle of life, and in another passage (Yt. 13, 80) he is mentioned in the following terms: "we worship the genius of Ahuramazda, whose soul is the Holy Word." We find the Romans worshipping in a similar way the genius of Jupiter and the Dii geniales. But the Fravashis of the good men who lived before Zarathustra and his preaching of the law, were invoked most frequently, and generally together with them the Fravashis of the nearest relations of the invoker and the genius of his own soul (Ys. 1, 18; 22, 27; 23, 4; Yt. 13, 149), by which the Persian used to swear like the Roman. The Fravashis of these good men and those of the yet unborn are called more powerful than those of the living, and more powerful than those of the dead (cf. Yt. 13, 17; Ys. 26, 6). This also reminds us of the Roman cult of genii, in which the ancestors of the house, the Lares, were separated from the tutelary genii of single persons, and of Iran, where the cults of the Lares, Manes and heroes were blended. The Fravashis were worshipped as the ancestors of the family and tribe, giving help and protection to their family and race (cf. Ys. 26, 1; Yt. 13, 149-151; Ys. 23, 4; 26, 6, 7, 9). The antiquity of this creed is proved by its occurrence in the oldest remnants of Hindu literature. According to the belief of the ancient Hindus, the souls of the dead, the ancestors, are dwelling in heaven as associates of the gods, and by this intercourse are acquiring the wondrous powers ascribed to them by the Rig-Veda (cf. RV. I 164, 30; X 15, 2-6; X 16, 11; X 154, 3-4; VI 75, 9, 10), where it is said that they have adorned the sky with stars, given darkness to the night and light to the day, that they have found the hidden light and created the morning-red (see RV. VII 76, 4; X 68, 11, X 154, 5; Cat. Brahm. VI, 5,

4, 8; I 9, 3, 10). It was with gifts and powers like these that the piety and devotion of men wanted to see the piety of their ancestors recompensed.

In the Avesta the Fravashis are associates and assistants of Ahuramazda, who by their splendor and majesty protects the earth, but they stand in the closest relation to man, to whom they are given as friends and protectors (Yt. XIII 9-12, 15-16), and for whose bodily welfare they take care by the wise distribution of earthly goods (Yt. XIII 18, 22-24, 27, 30, 40-42, 51-55), and by preventing all the dangers and miseries occasioned by evil spirits (Yt. XIII 20, 33, 48, 70-72, 78, 131, 136-138). Therefore the countryman invokes them when the fruits of his field seem to be destroyed by want of rain (Yt. XIII 66, 68); kings and commanders ask for their help in the turmoil of the battle (Yt. XIII 17, 30, 31, 34, 37-38, 66-67). They are the protectors, they are the weapons and the support of those who call upon them (Yt. XIII 69-72). But they do not only aim at the bodily welfare of those whom they support, they are chiefly anxious to defend the souls from threatening dangers, and so in the prayers addressed to them they are praised for awakening pure thoughts and inclinations in the soul to whom they are giving the right and salutary nourishment it requires (Yt. XIII 25, 30, 36, 42, 88-94). When man is dead his protecting spirit approaches the throne of Ahuramazda as a mediator (see Minokhired II 3 following; Aogemadaêca ed. Geiger, 8-11; Yt. XXII). This belief of the Iranians in this influence of the Fravashis enables us to understand that, as powerful assistants of the divinity, they were deemed worthy of the highest worship, that a peculiar cult was consecrated to them, praise and honor given, and that sacrifices were offered to them as to the divinity. According to the precepts of Zarathustra it is highly important to worship the Fravashis in the right way (Yt. XIII 21 following, 49-52, 73), as their power and agency are depending on the offerings.

I believe that the cult of the Fravashis dates from a very early time, when the Aryans were not yet separated into different nations. True, traces of these cults may be found with all the nations of the Indo-European family, but nowhere was it so peculiarly developed as with the Persians and the Romans.

EUGEN WILHELM.

JENA, November 24, 1890.

Dr. ADOLF WAHRMUND, Professor: Praktisches Handbuch der neupersischen Sprache. I Teil: praktische Grammatik. II Teil: Gespräche und Wörtersammlung. III Teil: Schlüssel zur praktischen Grammatik. Giessen: Ricker, 1889.

MUHÆMMÆD (Áæfær Qaragadagī), Monsieur Jourdain, der Pariser Botaniker, im Qarabāg. Persischer Text mit wörtlicher deutscher Übersetzung, Anmerkungen und vollständigem Wörterverzeichniss, zum Gebrauche der K. K. öffentlichen Lehranstalt für orientalische Sprachen, herausgegeben von Dr. ADOLF WAHRMUND, Professor. Wien, 1889, Hölder. (viii, 34 u. 30 S. 8.)

Wahrmund's manual of the Neo-Persian language, which fourteen years after its first appearance comes out in a second and very well printed edition, is originally intended for the use of Oriental seminaries, but will be useful to everybody who wants to obtain a practical knowledge of Neo-Persian. The

first part (pp. 1-156) comprises grammar, which firstly treats systematically of the nouns, verbs, particles, as well as of the composition of words, and pays due attention to the Arabic nouns, pronouns, verbs, adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions, interjections occurring in Persian, and to the composition of Arabic words, and secondly gives practical exercises for making the student acquainted with inflections, furthermore a short outline of syntax and instructions for reading Persian verse. As for grammatical forms the author restricts himself to the condition of the language since the time of Firdausi. The practical exercises, of course, are taken from the Persian of the day, the examples for written language are borrowed from Firdausi's Shâhnâmeh, Sa'di's Gulistân and Bûstân, Gâmi's Baharistân, 'Attâr's Pandnâmeh, Hâfiz and Mîrchond.

The second volume contains conversations and a carefully adapted collection of the words most required in conversation. The reading exercises which follow the lessons are taken from Sa'dl's Gulistân and Bûstân. Newly added in the second edition are nine pages from Persian newspapers of the day, p. e. from the journals "Farhang (Advertiser) of Isfahân," "Ahtar" (the Star), and "Qafqâz" (Caucasus), the latter printed and edited at Tiflis.

The third part, the key, gives the Persian version of the German translationexercises, the German translation of the reading exercises with remarks, tran-

scription and metrical scansion of the poetical passages.

The undersigned, who instructed students by help of the first edition of this practical hand-book (not only those wishing to acquire a practical knowledge of Neo-Persian, but also those who desire to make an earnest scientific study of the Neo-Persian language and literature), may with entire conviction sum up his opinion as follows: the chief merit of Wahrmund's book in comparison with other books of the kind consists in the happy connection of theory and practice, in the clear systematical construction of grammar, together with practical exercises, in the consistent carrying through of the clearly conceived purpose to make the student really able to speak and write Persian.

For further studies of modern Persian conversation we refer to Wahrmund's edition of the comedy "Monsieur Jourdain," translated into Persian from the Turkish original by Mirzâ Ga'far. Mirzâ Fath 'Alt Âkhondzâde, a Tatar officer, of Caucasian origin (see Journal Asiatique, 1886, Vol. VII, p. 6), composed six Turkish plays in the dialect of Adarbaijan for the theatre at Tiflis, built in 1850. These were afterwards translated into Persian by Mirzâ Muhammed Ga'far Qaragadagt, lithographically published at Teherân in one volume, and known in Europe only in this way. The Persian translator wished to interest his countrymen in drama and theatre in European style, and at the same time believed his plays peculiarly fit for an easy agreeable entertainment of his own people and an excellent means of making Turks and all foreigners acquainted with the modern Persian conversation of every-day life.

One of these plays was published in English translation in Europe with the title: "The Vazir of Lankuran," a Persian play: a text-book of modern colloquial Persian for the use of European travellers, residents in Persia and students in India: edited with a grammatical introduction, copious notes and a vocabulary, giving the pronunciation of all the words, by W. H. D. Haggard and G. Le Strange, London, 1882.

Imitating this model, but in a more concise form and at a much cheaper

price, Professor Wahrmund edited for students and amateurs of Persian the comedy in question. The subject is attractive by sound humor, and the modern Persian the play is written in suggests of itself comparisons with the more ancient stock of the language by the peculiar terms and by new formations. In this respect we will only point out how much the number of the prepositions taken from the sphere of the nouns has increased in Neo-Persian, a fact which also may be traced in written modern German, especially in the official and law style. Very interesting is the list of about 36 new formations and significations of words which are still missing in the dictionaries. The book, therefore, may be well recommended to every friend of Persian literature, and connoisseurs as well as the less expert will read it with pleasure and advantage. We hope that the editor may soon be able to publish companion editions of other plays.

JENA, December 7, 1890.

EUGEN WILHELM.

T. Macci Plauti Rudens, edited, with critical and explanatory notes, by EDWARD A. Sonnenschein, M. A. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1891.

This is a good edition of a good play, in every way suited for use with advanced classes. The introduction discusses the relation of the play to the Vidularia, which had a similar subject, explains sufficiently the peculiar stage-setting of the Rudens, and gives a brief account of the MSS. Information in regard to the action of the play (especially important because there are so few commentaries on the Rudens) is given by a table of entrances and exits, and by summaries in the notes, a better arrangement of the material than that in Brix and Lorenz.

In the text the headings of scenes are given as in the Bembine MS of Terence—a slight but praiseworthy innovation in editions of Plautus. Another innovation is the disregard of Spengel's division into acts; it is not an important matter, but the five-fold grouping of cantica and diverbia, though it is not precisely a division into acts, deserves mention either in the text or in the introduction.

The text is sound and trustworthy, the best we have of the Rudens. It contains about 50 conjectures by O. Seyffert and some 20 by the editor; of the latter I should think 191, 321, 579, 766 sure; 253 also is good, but in 1152 the sense seems to demand & rather than tuom, and faxere, 376, is not found, I believe, in Pl. The method of the editor in emendation is thoroughly sound, and is in strong contrast to some attempts to restore the text of Pl. by introducing rare words.

The notes are largely upon the language, and meet fairly well the end which the editor had in mind, to "serve the purpose of a general introduction to the peculiarities of Plautine idiom" (Pref. ix). Errors or slips are extremely rare. Periphrastic forms occur also in direct questions (1419, cf. on 467); nam is not properly "interrogative" (687), but only associated with interrogative words; "quod = quoad" (287) might be misunderstood, and the statement in regard to en (p. 189, n.) seems to overlook en unquam. The excursus on interjections contains nothing that is not in Richter, Studemund's Studien, I 2, but the notes on conditions, on sequence of tenses, on the fut. indic., and on the subjunctive are real contributions to the syntax of Plautus. As a whole, the

commentary shows how much better work is done by an editor who is thoroughly familiar with his author than by one who takes up a play merely to edit it.

I venture to make two criticisms or suggestions: First, there are too many short notes like (243) "cedo, 'give me' (origin uncertain)"; (245) "ut, exclamatory"; (264) "ire, 'come,'" and 513, 548-553, etc. Second, notes like those on 138 and 611, on 342, 358, 207, 510, which consist of three or four references to parallel passages, are, even when the list of passages is fairly complete, rather materials for notes than actual notes. But I am aware that an editor has not unlimited space at his command.

E. P. MORRIS.

A Finnish Grammar, by C. N. E. ELIOT. Oxford, 1890.

Finnish and Hungarian are the two most important members of the Ugrian branch of the extensive Ural-Altaic family of languages. A Finnish grammar in English really marks a new epoch in the study of this important philological domain, as investigations have hitherto been confined almost exclusively to Russian, Swedish and Hungarian scholars.

The study of the Altaic group is especially interesting in view of its supposed connection with the non-Semitic language of the cuneiform inscriptions, the so-called Sumero-Akkadian. Various distinguished scholars have endeavored to establish an affinity; Lenormant, for example, fancied that he saw a resemblance between Sumero-Akkadian and the Ugro-Finnic family, even going so far as to draw a comparison between the great Finnish epic, Kalevala, and the Sumerian mythology; but this hypothesis was ably refuted by Dr. Donner in his Appendix to Haupt's "Akkadische Sprache," 1881. Hommel's attempt to identify Akkadian as a branch of the Turco-Tartaric group is, in spite of his confident tone, lacking in sober judgment. The immense difference in point of time between Akkadian and the modern Altaic languages must necessarily increase the difficulty of an accurate comparison, especially as we cannot know what changes the Altaic idioms may have undergone during that period.

Mr. Eliot certainly deserves the credit of having opened up an unexplored field to English-speaking philologists, as his work is, as far as I know, the only grammar of Finnish in our language.

In his introduction, pp. ix-xlvii, he gives a treatise on the language in general, taking up in order its chief peculiarities, such as vowel harmony, vocalic differentiation, etc., concluding with a few pages on the relation of Finnish to the cognate dialects, Turkish, Magyar and the Siberian idioms.

Mr. Bain, in his review of this work in the Academy, January 10th, 1891, quite rightly takes exception to the author's philology, in seeing resemblances between the Finnish and Latin declensions, and in considering that the language presents no great differences from the Aryan family. While it is undoubtedly wrong to consider Finnish as anything but a well-marked agglutinative type, still it is interesting to note that there exists in these dialects a decided tendency to true inflection, so much so as to obscure in certain endings the distinctive differences between inflection and agglutination. In other words, Finnish and Magyar have advanced considerably from the primitive monosyllabic type, but have by no means reached the inflectional stage.

Mr. Eliot, in his remarks on accent, p. xiii, has not stated the case as accurately as one could wish. He admits the rule of invariable accentuation of the first syllable, but states that his ear is inclined in many words to place the voice-stress on the ultimate, especially when it is long; for example, in the word revitään he considers the accent to be on the last syllable. The probability is that this long final syllable has a secondary accent, the chief tone resting on the first syllable, and as the difference between main and secondary accent is in all likelihood not strongly marked, and extremely difficult to be distinguished by a foreigner, the author is scarcely justified in doubting the truth of the regular rule.

In the grammatical statements, not only are the sections on the infinitives, which are considered the main difficulty of Finnish, especially lucid, but the paragraphs devoted to the use of the cases, of which the language has fifteen, are extremely clear and exhaustive.

In the chrestomathy the selections from Kalevala give an excellent idea of the general style and swing of the lines. The explanatory and grammatical foot-notes are very full, but it would be more convenient for reference if a small glossary had been appended.

An English version of Kalevala, by Mr. Crawford, appeared in New York in 1881, and the style of the epic has been made familiar by Longfellow's Hiawatha.

As Mr. Eliot's work does not pretend to be a comparative philological treatise it cannot be judged from this point of view; but regarding it as a whole, it must be admitted that the author has certainly succeeded in placing before the public a clear and concise handbook of the Finnish language.

J. DYNELEY PRINCE.

English Prose: its Elements, History, and Usage. By John Earle, M. A., Rawlinsonian Professor of Anglo-Saxon in the University of Oxford. New York, G. P. Putnam's Sons. London, Smith, Elder & Co., 1891 (pp. x, 530).

The history and development of English prose is a subject that has been heretofore greatly neglected. We have had works on the history of the English language, and numerous works, more or less valuable, on composition and rhetoric, devoted to a statement of what is conceived to be correct modern usage. But a critical study of English prose from the earliest times, directed to an analysis of its elements and their historical development, and an effort to ascertain how modern usage has come to be what it is, has heretofore been wanting, so that Prof. Earle is right in stating that, "whereas our poetry has called forth a succession of critical literature from the times of Elizabeth until now, no like attention has been paid to English prose"; and in claiming that "the present work is quite new, not merely in details and in treatment, but in its very conception." The importance of the work and its correct appreciation of the place of English philology in the study of English prose, justify a notice in this Journal.

The general plan of the work may be given in Professor Earle's own words: "First, there are four chapters in which the subject is treated analytically; then five chapters in which the treatment is synthetic, and progressively so,

from divided aspects of diction in chapters V and VI, down to the comprehensive effect of style in chapter IX. Thus far it might be called two books, analytic and synthetic. A third book traces the historical career of English prose, following the three great eras of its development, whence this book naturally falls into three chapters, X, XI, and XII. To these three books are [? is] appended a closing chapter of observations calculated to promote the culture and practice of what has been called the Art of the Nineteenth Century."

Prof. Earle laments that "much [sc. time] is spent by English people, and not without good reason, on elementary acquirements in the ancient languages of Greek and Latin, and on the modern languages, especially French, German, and Italian, but their life-long medium of communication is English, and the study of this is comparatively neglected." While this reproach is gradually being removed, in this country at least, a critical work like the present was greatly-needed that the general public may gain a clearer idea of the elements, history, and usage of English prose.

The subjects of the several chapters are briefly as follows: Choice of expression; the import of grammar; some mechanical appliances, i. e. punctuation marks, etc.; bearings of philology; the leading characteristics of prose diction; of idiom; of euphony; style; history of English prose to the first, second, and third culminations respectively; and finally, the pen of a ready writer.

These titles will give the reader an idea of the comprehensive nature of the work and of the combination of analysis, synthesis, and history in the treatment of English prose. The contents of the chapters require a closer examination. Under "choice of expression" we have an analysis of the English vocabulary into its component parts, native English, Norman French, and Classical, and a table given, occupying some thirty pages, of correspondent Saxon, Romanic, and Latin words, although sometimes the words appear in but two of these columns; it is not claimed that the words are exact equivalents of each other, but they are germane to the same matter and may often be used in alternative forms of expression. A short table, two pages, follows of Romanic and Latin words illustrating the choice between older and later forms, often from the same original root. These tables and the judicious remarks upon them, illustrate well the choice of expression at the service of the writer, and the importance of a thorough mastery of the English vocabulary. Prof. Earle notes with interest that "there has been in our day a very marked return towards 'Saxon English,' that is, towards the elder elements of English," and he charges the young writer "never to allow himself to use an unauthorized word."

Under "import of grammar" we have an account of the parts of speech distinguished as *Presentives* and *Symbolics*, a distinction familiar to those acquainted with Prof. Earle's "Philology of the English Tongue"; a consideration of phrases, clauses, and sentences, the last divided into simplex, composita, and evoluta; the structure of the paragraph, which Prof. Earle regards as "the triumph of the modern art in writing"; and some remarks on the logical analysis of sentences. Here we may thank the author for emphasizing the distinction between the verbal noun and the flexional infinitive in *-ing*, a distinction often overlooked by grammarians, and he would have done well to

caution the reader against the more elementary blunder, not, however, unknown to teachers of English, of confounding the participle in -ing with either of the above-mentioned forms. Lack of space will not permit illustrations.

The chapter on "some mechanical appliances" need not detain us, as it is merely a brief consideration of the ordinary punctuation-marks and other signs, and of their value to the writer, hence not too elementary for inclusion in this work. The following chapter on the "bearings of philology" is of more importance. The author thinks that "the claim to be independent of science, which has been put forward by some of those who speak in the name of literature, is a claim that cannot be admitted"; that the aversion shown to philology by those who take English literature for their province "is quite natural under the circumstances, and it is only part of the ordeal which every new science has to pass through," geology, for example; but that in this enlightened age it is remarkable that a writer, name not given, should use such language as the following: "Besides, in these days of ours, every one who cares for literature should resist the ambitious and mischievous encroachments of philology upon the domain of letters. It is clear that philology is not literature, because many excellent writers have been quite innocent of that, and of all other science; while the most learned philologists, like most other men of science, usually write an execrable style." Prof. Earle rightly makes merry with such a specimen of logical acumen on the part of the antiphilologer.

Philology is briefly considered in its four branches of phonetics, form-lore, etymology, and semantology, a term borrowed from the French, the tracing of the meanings of words, on which ground the author hopes "to conciliate the belletristic literary man," for "it really is not scientific enough to offend anybody." Grimm's law is duly stated, with illustrations from Greek, Latin, and English, but the High German step is omitted. Verner's law is also stated in the form: "Where Grimm's law might hold good under a normal placement of the accent, it fails to hold if the accent is displaced," true enough as far as it goes, but I think that one previously unacquainted with the law would not be much enlightened by this brief statement. A list of the strong verbs in English is given, with some archaic forms lost in modern English, but I should question the correctness of inserting dread and hide in this list on the ground of the mediaeval forms drad, ydrad, which are derived from the weak forms, and of the past participle hidden, which is a later formation. Prof. Earle adopts (p. 122 et al.) the form redd as the past participle of read, which will do very well even without the additional d, if the language will take it up, for there is no reason but inconsistent usage why we should say lead, led, led, and not read, red, red. But I shall not quarrel with such an ardent advocate of the study of philology by the writer of English.

The five chapters following are rhetorical in their character. "The leading characteristics of prose diction" are treated under the several heads of elevation, lucidity, variety, novelty, and figure, these rhetorical attributes of style being illustrated by numerous examples, which method is one of the chief excellences of the work. Every writer must agree with Prof. Earle in his desire to save the subjunctive mood, as contributing to both elevation and

lucidity of style. The matter is considered of such importance that, after mentioning it on p. 172, he recurs to it on p. 199. He says (p. 172): "Some people seem to think that the subjunctive mood is as good as lost, that it is doomed, and that its retention is hopeless. If its function were generally appreciated, it might even now be saved"; and "if we lose the subjunctive verb it will certainly be a grievous impoverishment to our literary language, were it only for its value in giving variation to diction"; and again (p. 199): "There is a traditional scholastic superstition that to apprehend the subtlety of the subjunctive we must resort to Latin. The fact is, that in its shades of relation the English subjunctive is as fine as the Latin; and when we consider how little the English subjunctive has of external and visible form, the action of mind in the English subjunctive will appear even more delicate than in Latin." O that our grammarians would give heed to these words of wisdom! An unfortunate erratum of 'printing' for 'painting' occurs on p. 180, and we might spare 'luxation' (p. 188) and 'refocillate' (p. 509), however justified by the columns of a dictionary, where the latter word is marked 'obsolete.' In respect to new-coining the author recommends to the writer "to make new words out of English material, where mother-wit may serve him, rather than to risk the ticklish construction of new Latin compounds," and he cites the word pontifacial as a ludicrous example of newspaper English. Prof. Earle suggests pontificial, but Webster gives a quotation from Milton where pontifical is used in its literal sense. On p. 220 dampen is pronounced to be new, and Mark Twain is cited as authority for it. It is certainly common enough in this country, but we should hesitate to use Prof. Bryce's quieten, having long since followed Shakspere in using the shortened form of the verb. But we may agree with Prof. Earle that "Our elder prose is a mine which remains yet to be explored."

The following chapter " of idiom " is one of great interest, but it must be hurriedly passed over. On the vexed question of "It is I" and "It is me," the author says, "both formulae are in use, but the latter is homely and familiar; the former alone is used in what is called correct writing"; and then he cites Chaucer for "the native English formula," "I am it," but does not Chaucer always say "it am I," from which "it is I" is easily deduced without the intervention of the Latin, even if "it is me" is formed from the analogy of c'est moi, which was once ce suis-je? "The Harrowing of Hell," 181, dating from 1310, preserves for us the still older form "ich it am," exactly analogous to the oldest English "ic hit eom" (cf. on this phrase Alford, Latham, Ellis, Bain, Mason, and Storm). Prof. Earle regards the preposition at the end of the sentence as an adverb, but it must certainly be construed with its case which precedes (even if it is closely connected with the verb), and hence it has a prepositional force, just as in the oldest English. This is a good English idiom, and our modern purists should not be allowed to banish it from English writing. Other matters for consideration suggest themselves, but space is wanting to do justice to them. Prof. Earle closes this chapter with the good advice that "all Englishmen who aspire to be authors should, if possible, write idiomatically"; and to this end they should read books in Old English, and especially become "acquainted with those poets in whose pages the genuine native aroma is stored up, such as Chaucer, Spenser, Shakspeare."

I must pass over the chapters on "euphony" and on "style," and, with particular regret, the three chapters on "the history of English prose" to its culmination respectively in the tenth, fifteenth, and nineteenth centuries, "epochs at which," thinks Prof. Earle, "the language has culminated into a standard—a standard which has retained its literary value for generations and for centuries." This is one of the most interesting and instructive sections of the book, but I have already prolonged this notice to greater length than I intended, and must bring it to a close. Enough has been said to show that this work is a book that cannot be neglected by any student of English prose. It does not follow that every reader will agree with all of Prof. Earle's views, but he should, at least, know what they are, and be able to give his reasons for disagreement. The final chapter contains some practical advice on the art of writing, and amongst much that is good the following sentence may serve as a fitting close to this notice: "The fact is that, next after rudimentary grammar and the perusal of good authors, philology is precisely the study that is required by him who would qualify himself in earnest for the practical art of writing English." JAMES M. GARNETT.

A Middle-English Dictionary, containing words used by English writers from the twelfth to the fifteenth century. By Francis Henry Stratmann. A new edition, re-arranged, revised, and enlarged. By Henry Bradley. Oxford, At the Clarendon Press, 1891 (pp. xxiii, 708).

Stratmann's "Dictionary of the Old-English Language," as it was formerly called, has been before the public for many years, the third edition having been published in 1878, and a supplement issued in 1881. After the death of Dr. Stratmann, in 1884, the copyright of the work was acquired by the Delegates of the Clarendon Press, and they entrusted to Mr. Bradley the preparation of a new edition of the dictionary, for which Dr. Stratmann left considerable materials. The present edition is not, however, a mere reprint of the old work, with the use of Dr. Stratmann's materials. Mr. Bradley has improved the work in several respects, which may be briefly summed up, after his own statements, as follows:

- 1. An intelligible meaning in Modern English has been supplied to every word, as Dr. S. had in many cases given only the Latin equivalent or the English cognate.
- 2. The arrangement of words has been greatly improved, having been made strictly alphabetical, and not by prefixes, as in the old book, which sometimes necessitated the looking in three or four places to find a word, unless the student was so well acquainted with the etymology of the word that he knew just where to look.
- 3. Where a foreign word has come into English by different channels and with different meanings, as from Norman-French and classical Latin, the words have been separated.
- 4. Words in primitive Teutonic beginning with hl-, hn-, hr-, where the h has been dropped in M. E., have been placed under l, n, and r respectively, and the h prefixed in italics.
- 5. A very important and useful change is the notation of the M. E. quantity. This defect in Morris and Skeat's "Specimens of Early English" has

been felt, I presume, by every student who has used those books. The quantity is marked in Sweet's Primers, but the specimens given in them are so brief that they cannot take the place of the fuller works.

6. The diacritic # has been used to mark a M. E. # descended from O. E. y, or a similar sound, and Mr. B. regrets that he has not made further use of diacritics.

7. In the etymologies direct derivation and collateral relationship of words have been distinguished, and some errors of detail rectified.

8. Another important improvement made by Mr. B. is the insertion of many Romance words omitted by Dr. S., who directed his chief attention to the Teutonic portion of the language. Surely the words of Romanic origin should also be included. Mr. B. states, however, that "in the selection of new words for insertion he does not profess to have followed any systematic method." He also says, that "while he has not expunged the proper names inserted by the author himself, he has not added any others." It seems to me that the insertion of proper names is desirable, if not in the body of a dictionary, at least in a special appendix. The student will frequently want information on the subject, and will have to consult some other work, with the chance of not finding what he wants.

The above-mentioned improvements all increase the value of the dictionary, and Mr. B. deserves the thanks of students for them. Testing the list of works referred to in order to see what additions have been made, I find under the letter A alone, thirty-four titles instead of twenty-two in the last edition. Similarly examining a single page (p. 20) under A, I find two Romance words added, ambler and ame. It is possible that these may be found in Dr. S.'s supplement of 1881, to which I have not access, my edition being the third (1878) without supplement. Examining some of these words for additional references, I find five instead of two under alure, sb. These entries may here be compared to illustrate the difference between the two editions.

Old edition: "alure, O. Fr. alure (allure), Prompt. 10; alur(e)s (pl.), Rob. 192."

New edition: "alure, sb., O. Fr. alure, aleure; place to walk in, passage, gallery, Alis. 7210; Guy, p. 85; Pr. P. 10: alur(e)s (pl.), Rob. 192; throu the aleris of his soler 'per cancellos coenaculi sui,' Wicl. 4 Kings i. 2."

The improvement in the new edition is here manifest. The passage from Wiclif is given in A. V., "through a lattice in his upper chamber," and R. V. merely substitutes 'the' for 'a.'

If we examine soler, we find the English meaning added, "upper chamber, summer room," and two references from Trevisa, making seven for the five of the old edition. In both appears "Wicl. Josh. ii. 6." which is in the Vulgate "in solarium domus suae," and in A. V., "up to the roof of the house," where R. V. omits "of the house."

The next word to alure, aluten, illustrates the improvement in arrangement, for here in the old edition we must look back to the list of words under the O. E. prefix a, which is distinct from the O. Fr. prefix a; and this improvement is still further shown by amaien, for which in the old edition we must look, not under the O. Fr. prefix a, although there is an O. Fr. form amaier, but under O. Fr. es- (Lat. ex), for O. F. esmaier is the older form; here, too, we find four

references for two in the old edition. Without pursuing this examination further, it is hoped that this is sufficient to show the decided improvements of

the present edition made by Mr. Bradley.

The editor prefixes a "Comparative Table of References to Chaucer's Canterbury Tales," showing the correspondences of the Chaucer Society's Six-Text edition, which is cited under the words, with Tyrwhitt's, Wright's, Morris's, and Bell and Skeat's editions, so that the possessor of any one of those editions has an easy means of reference to any passage cited. A "List of General Abbreviations and Signs" is also prefixed, and some five pages of "Additions and Corrections" appended. For these the editor makes due apology, and particularly for the errors of the press, but no one who has ever carried a book, or even an article, through the press will criticise these very severely. It is undoubtedly the duty of an editor to exercise all reasonable care against such errors, but when, after repeated re-reading of the proofsheets, especially such difficult proofs as those of a dictionary, errors will crop out, they must be leniently judged. I am sure that all scholars will be greatly indebted to Mr. Bradley for the labor he has bestowed upon this valuable work. Until the completion of Maetzner's greater dictionary, which, after many years of labor, has reached only the letter J, there is nothing to take its place. It may be confidently commended to all students of Middle-English.

JAMES M. GARNETT.

English Miracle Plays, Moralities and Interludes: Specimens of the Pre-Elizabethan Drama. Edited, with an Introduction, Notes, and Glossary, by ALFRED W. POLLARD, M.A. Oxford, At the Clarendon Press, 1890 (pp. lx, 250).

Mr. Pollard thinks that "the small attention devoted to the pre-Elizabethan drama in all histories of English literature is the best excuse for the appearance of the present volume of Specimens," and he is certainly right. Until the publication of Miss L. T. Smith's edition of the York Plays in 1885, the student was practically without the means of making himself acquainted with these interesting relics of the older English drama, for the old editions of the Chester, Towneley, and Coventry Plays were out of print, and virtually inaccessible to the private student. Here we have in convenient form a small volume with sufficient specimens to enable a student to acquaint himself practically with these plays. Mr. Pollard makes selections from each of the four series above-mentioned, and from eight other plays: Mary Magdalene, The Castell of Perseverance, Everyman, Interlude of the Four Elements, Skelton's Magnyfycence, Heywood's The Pardoner and the Frere, Thersytes, and Bale's King John. The introduction is sufficiently full, beginning with the Χριστός Πάσχων, attributed by all previous historians of the drama to the church father of the fourth century, Gregory of Nazianzus, but now denied to him, after the investigations of Dr. J. G. Brambs (1885), and assigned to the tenth century. The contemporary Latin plays, after Terence, of Hroswitha, the nun of Gandersheim, are duly noticed, but set aside as "exotics," "having nothing to do with the services of the Church."

The Miracle Plays, however, had their origin in the representations of scenes from the life of our Lord, made at the Easter services some time during the

thirteenth century, and an Orleans MS of that date contains ten of these Latin plays, although Mr. Pollard thinks that "its contents were probably composed before the year 1200, and may thus be reckoned as contemporaneous with those of Hilarius." This Hilarius, supposed to be an Englishman, was a pupil of Abelard, and has left us three Latin plays, one on the history of Daniel, another on the Raising of Lazarus, and a third on Saint Nicholas. Matthew Paris refers to the performance of a miracle play in honor of St. Katherine at Dunstable before 1119, perhaps before 1100, by a certain Geoffrey, later Abbot of St. Albans, and this is the first reference that we have to such a performance in England. But I must refer to the interesting Introduction of Mr. Pollard for a further account of the Miracle Plays, and their successors, the Moralities and Interludes. It bears out his statement that he has endeavored to make the best use of the labors of his predecessors. A few slight corrections may be noticed. In referring to ten Brink's second volume, not yet translated, the sign '§' should be 'p.'; on p. xxii, note 2, wherthorn should be wherthoru; p. xxxvii, line nine from end, 'third' should be 'fourth'; on p. xlii, Accidie is translated 'gluttony' instead of 'sloth.' The Introduction closes with a notice of Bale's King John, written probably in the reign of Edward VI, and a mention of the last performances of the Miracle Plays in the reign of Elizabeth, after the rise of the regular drama.

The text of the Specimens fills 176 pages, the notes 48, and the glossary 26. If a general criticism were made, it would be that the notes and glossary might have been fuller, especially to the Towneley Play in the northern dialect, Secunda Pastorum, the droll story of the stealing of the sheep by Mak and his effort to pass it off as his child, in which he is unsuccessful and gets tossed for his theft. The editor would have done well to append to the introduction, or notes, some account of the grammar and dialectic forms, as the student taking up the earlier plays without previous acquaintance with Middle English, will miss it.

Some omissions of words have been noticed in the glossary, e. g. apared, K. J. 1287, although there is a note on the passage; dewille, T. 342, et al., possibly regarded as not needing to be inserted; dowte, T. 64; fare, sb., Y. 613; feft, T. 631; lyppers, Sk. 1930, possibly like dewille; rouge, Ch. 290; scoured, P. F. 602; and a few passages where a different meaning would suit the context better; hyen, C. P. (239)? (238), is rather 'exalt' than 'hasten'; plye, K. J. 2164, 'enfold, wrap,' rather than 'apply oneself to'; under mament, M. M. 1545 is a wrong reference, and in 1557 mamentes = 'idols,' which meaning is omitted; in this line also pott is printed post in the glossary, and in 1540 M. M. 'pleyeaunttly' is in gl. 'plezeauntly,' where z = z; in M. M. 624, too, wytystsaff is in gl. wytystsauf. In note on M. M. 484 'Satan' should be 'Luxuria,' and I should have noted above that in T. 640 'Mak' seems to have dropped out at the beginning of the line. In note on T. 294 'He' seems to be erratum for 'i. e.,' and on S. K. 1909 (? 1911) 'substantive' should be 'verb'; in Ch. 296 oughte wher is questionable for 'anywhere,' except as very bad spelling; te may be error of the scribe; in note on P. F. 36 On should be in; and in note on K. J. 1292 is should be be-but these are mostly oversights easily corrected when attention is called to them.

In a few passages exception might be taken, I think, to some of Mr. Pol-

lard's translations, but it would prolong this notice to too great length to discuss them. The book is a useful addition to the valuable series of publications that have issued from the Clarendon Press, and a slight revision can be easily made. In a second edition I hope more attention will be paid to the grammar, especially of the earlier specimens. Much useful instruction in Middle English can be derived from a study of the dialectic forms. Several forms occur here which are not found in Stratmann's M. E. Dictionary, even as revised by Mr. Bradley, but by the aid of the latter this glossary may be improved. As showing dialectic pronunciation attention may be called to onste (once), Ch. 319, hunder (under), T. 24; and perhenuall, M. M. 637; also to what is regarded as a modern provincialism, I reken, K. J. 1315, although the glossary here gives reke, the older form. An appendix contains extracts from two Latin plays, one on the Resurrection and the other, by Hilarius, on St. Nicholas, from The Harrowing of Hell, ed. Mall, and from the play of Abraham and Isaac, Anglia VII, but without notes, and some words in the texts are omitted in the glossary, as yeyed, A. I. 332, perhaps = joied, and harly, A. I. 350; leere, H. H. 166 is erratum for leeve.

JAMES M. GARNETT.

Shelley, Adonais. Edited, with introduction and notes, by WILLIAM MICHAEL ROSSETTI. Oxford, At the Clarendon Press. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891.

Mr. Rossetti's edition of Shelley's Adonais belongs to the Clarendon Press Series, of which one is accustomed to hear good things said, but this time, to be frank, Shelley has been unfortunate in his editor. It is not an insignificant fact that of the two books found in the pocket of the drowned poet one was a Sophokles, and it is not going too far to say that the Greek element in Shelley is half of his soul, and he who would edit him aright must have Sophokles in his head as Shelley had Sophokles in his pocket. But the present commentator has not thought it necessary to trace the Greek threads in Shelley's diction beyond the pieces of Greek embroidery that the poet had openly wrought into his poem from Bion and from Moschos; and reminiscences of Aischylos and Pindar pass unheeded, as well as reminiscences of Catullus and Lucretius, who deserve to keep company with the Greeks. In lieu of such a study of the weft of Shelley's poetry we have heavy prose paraphrase, we have hopeless puzzlements over passages that are to be conceived poetically or not at all. Here the poet is taken to task for his grammar, and there he is charged with a miserable subservience to the needs of rhyme, as if a true poet's thought were not born singing. In the lines

Great and mean

Meet massed in death, who lends what life must borrow,

'borrow' is supposed to be due to 'morrow' and 'sorrow,' rather than to the vast wealth of Pluto's realm, the *Orci thesaurus*, familiar to every classical scholar. 'Clear sprite,' used of Milton, is said to be 'one of the least tolerable make-rhymes in the whole range of English poetry.' Assuredly any one that knows the history of 'spright' and 'sprite' will forgive the poet his bit of antiquarianism and rebel against Mr. Rossetti's hard sentence. 'Sprite' is better

than the monosyllabic 'spirit,' which is recognized in our older poetry—though in reading I should never treat 'spirit' otherwise than as a pyrrhic and should decline the charm of 'sp'rit' or 'spir't.' But however that may be, it is amusing to observe that in his righteous wrath Mr. Rossetti forgets to note that Shelley, while describing Milton, alludes to Milton's own words in Lycidas:

' Fame is the spur that the clear spirit doth raise.'

But Mr. Rossetti's commentary is too distasteful to me for further remark, and for fear of making myself equally distasteful to those who have a better right to Shelley than I have, I will suppress some observations I should like to make on the rhetoric of this wonderful θρήνος, which with all its depth of feeling, like 'Alph, the sacred river,' on its way 'to a sunless sea,' mirrors what seems to be a 'stately pleasure-dome' of rhetorical devices. But Shelley's 'incarnations of the stars' would doubtless 'mock the merry worm' that should batten on asyndeton, polysyndeton and chiasm, and, dismissing the theme of the parallelism between elegy and funeral oration I turn to another matter, the function of the recurrent word. Much has been made of the recurrent word in Pindar, much ought to be made of it but not too much. In Aischylos every one notices-who can help noticing?-not only the burdens of the choruses, but the emphatic repetition of key-words. In fact, echoes abound in all tragic poetry, and it was not reserved for the last decennium to mark the significance of recurrent words and recurrent phrases. Boeckh, for instance, insists on the importance of 'die wiederkehrenden Stellen' in his 'Encyclopaedie u. Methodologie,' p. 152. Yet these recurrences, as Boeckh says, are often enough left unnoticed by the commentators, and Mezger deserves, as he has received, due credit for his observation of the phenomena in Pindar. But, to quote my own review of Mezger (A. J. P. II 500), 'The recurrence of a poet in the cycle of his thought to the point from which he set out is natural enough. It is the poetic Q. E. D. Significant words may shine at intervals as brightly as the stelle with which Dante ends alike Inferno, Purgatorio, and Paradiso, but the art which we are called to admire here, if established, would not be much more elevated than that of an acrostic.' In my commentary I have again and again made use of Mezger's observations. I have myself noted the repetitions of words and synonyms as showing the thoughts that were dominant in the poet's mind. 'The keynote,' I say (I. E. p. lxxiv), 'is struck at the very beginning' of the Paionian odes (O. 2 and P. 5). 'In O. 2 θεός, ήρως, ἀνήρ recur with a persistency that cannot escape the most careless observer, and in P. 5 we have really nothing but a series of variations on πλοῦτος, ἀρετά, πότμος, another trinity.' But for all that, I maintain that 'no high poetry is exhausted by its recurrent burdens, its catch-words, its key-verses,' and the danger of insisting too much on these matters is only too evident. If the recurrent word is to be a norm of composition it must be put where it will be felt; but Bulle demolished the recurrent word as a norm of composition, on the ground that there were too many recurrent words; and so there was nothing left for the original mind of Mr. Bury, the latest editor of Pindar, except to maintain that for the seeker after Pindar's

¹ Ripugna prestare al poeta siffatte intenzioni, perchè allora le odi Pindariche si risolverebbero in altrettanti logogrifi o sciarade. — Cerrato, La tecnica compozione delle odi Pindariche, p. 90.

inner meaning the more recurrent words, the merrier. Now, in the Adonais of Shelley we have a poem that is full of recurrent words, and a concordance to the Adonais would enable us to juggle with recurrent words so as to swell considerably the bulk of Mr. Rossetti's swollen edition. Let us make just one experiment.

The key-words of the poem are clearly to be found in 1, 8. 9:

His fate and fame shall be An ECHO and a LIGHT unto ETERNITY.

'Eternity' is the last word of the first stanza, 'eternal are' the last words of the last stanza. Here the poet evidently intended to indicate by this recurrence the consecrated symbol of eternity—the circling serpent. 'Eternity' is found 30, 3 so as to keep the poem from breaking in half, a danger which is barred, to be sure, by the odd number of the stanzas, fifty-five. 'Eternal' recurs also 8, 6. 24, 9. 38, 7, and it would be easy to see a special significance in the sequence of these two words:

Eternity
Eternal
Eternal
Eternity
Eternal
Eternal

'Echo' being a fugitive thing, cannot be expected to last, in spite of the poet's promise, and so the echo-note soon dies out. We have 'echoes' 2, 5 and 22, 6 and that is all. But if we take the second word, 'light,' and trace it throughout the poem, including, as our hobby-riders would have us include, the sound as well as the sense, 'delight' as well as 'light,' 'light,' 'not heavy,' as well as 'light,' 'luminous,' then there streams over the poem a dazzling radiance which those eyes must be holden that do not see. There are about twenty 'lights' in the fifty-five stanzas, and while 'light' glitters in every place of the tuneful nine verses, from the first to the last, it is in the last and the most emphatic verse of the stanza that it has its special home':

Yet reigns o'er earth, the third among the Sons of Light (4, 9).

And bursting in its beauty and its might
From trees and beasts and men into the heaven's light (43, 9).

And move like wings of light on dark and stormy air (44, 9).

A light of laughing flowers along the grass is spread (49, 9).

So we have repeated throughout the poem the keynote which reveals the meaning of Adonais and prepares us for the conclusion:

The soul of Adonais like a star Beacons from the abode where the Eternal are.

Very good results can be got from 'death' or 'life,' but I forbear. The trouble about the matter is, as Bulle said in his criticism of Mezger, if one begins playing with these things one is in danger of losing one's sanity and believing in them.

B. L. G.

AθΗΝΑΙΩΝ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΑ. Aristotle on the Constitution of Athens, edited by F. G. KENYON, M. A. London, The British Museum, 1891.

In the Revue Critique of March 9, B. Haussoullier gives the following advice in regard to the 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία: 'Empressez-vous d'acheter l'édition de Kenyon et de la lire. Demain vous serez débordés par des commentaires: de tous côtés viendront des ciceroni qui se proposeront à vous comme guides et dont vous ne pourrez vous débarrasser: il en viendra d'Angleterre, de France (j'en suis sur), d'Allemagne, de Grèce peut-être. Ne les attendez pas. Enfermez-vous avec les trois livres suivants: l'édition de Kenyon, les fragments d'Aristote publiés par V. Rose dans la collection Teubner (1886), enfin la Politique d'Aristote (édition Susemihl)—et n'ouvrez votre porte qu'après avoir achevé votre lecture. Je vous promets un jour ou deux de pleine jouissance et d'enchantement.' Sound advice in my judgment, but too late for anything more than registry in this tardy number of the Journal.

The day after Haussoullier's advice was published the press copy of the second edition reached the office of this Journal. 'Quelle découverte, quelle surprise, quel trésor,' says the venerable Barthélemy Saint-Hilaire in a summary of the book published a few weeks ago in the Revue Bleue (March 21, 28), but I am sorry to say that little is to be gained from the summary except the exclamation that I have cited, for M. Saint-Hilaire has followed the guidance of Mr. Kenyon much too closely-and, in fact, any guidance at this stage is not to be trusted. The most important conclusions depend on the restoration of a lacuna here and the assumption of an interpolation there, and the attainment of the ultimate text has not been forwarded by the scores of emendations that have flooded the press since the 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία first became common property. French and German scholars are, so far as I have seen, unanimous in their gratitude to Mr. Kenyon. Mr. Kenyon's own countrymen have shown him scant courtesy, and have counted too lightly the merit of deciphering the MS, which a scholar like Blass regards as 'no trifle' (was bei der grösstentheils cursiven Schrift keine Kleinigkeit war. Lit. Centralbl. 28 Febr.). And Blass's judgment is echoed by the German translators, Kaibel and Kiessling, who speak of 'the incomparable skill with which Kenyon has deciphered the difficult papyrus.' For one I am too grateful to Mr. Kenyon to join the chorus of depreciators; and when the hurly-burly is done, it may be worth while to ask whether all that abuse of the careless accentuation of the first edition comes with a good grace from a region that is full of accentual sinners, and whether some of the restorations and emendations are not bad enough to console Mr. Kenyon for the harshest strictures of his English critics. One scholar, in order to save formal grammar, insists on διασώσαι for διασώσειν (p. 93, I) and effaces a bit of Thukydidean syntax (Goodwin: M. and T., \$113) in favor of a bad hiatus. Something must be done with συμμιγείεν τι (p. 109, 13), but as -ειεν, according to Kenyon, is certain, συμμιγῶσι is a brutal emendation, only fit for a novice in the art. συμμιγεῖς ὧσι would be at least comprehensible. What could be more heedless than to read των ἐσθλων, a rank poetic word, instead of των ἐτέρων (p. 77, 15 and p. 78, 5)? And if the editor of the American Journal of Philology had not been so often guilty of oscitancy, he might have asked the editors of the Classical Review what they were thinking of when they allowed a grammatical hero to emend the innocent airiārai (p. 142, 18) by clapping an impossible iota subscript under its harmless penult. Another scholar, puzzled by the intransitive use of $\dot{a}\dot{a}\sigma a\tau e$ (p. 15, 5) in a fragment of Solon, calmly writes $\dot{\eta}\dot{\iota}\sigma a\tau e$, a manufactured 2 p. pl. from $\dot{\eta}a$, as is shown by his translation, 'who have arrived.' 'Should we correct $\dot{\eta}\dot{\iota}\sigma a\tau e$?' he asks. I trow not. But I forbear. If Mr. Kenyon has made worse mistakes in Greek than some of his critics, I have failed to notice them.

But the human lessons and the philological lessons to be learned from the editio princeps of the 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία, and from the comments of the goodly fellowship of textual critics, will, I trust, be expounded in the pages of the Journal by abler preachers than I am. Still I cannot withhold from younger scholars the delectable parallel between the text of the 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία and Bergk's restoration. It is the severest warning imaginable against the self-confidence that dares a restoration on any large scale.

Berlin Fr. IIa, Bergk's restoration.1

(Μετὰ δὲ) | ταῦτα Ν(ικ)οδήμο(υ ἄρχοντος τοῖς τὰ μέ-) | ταλλα τὰ ἐν Μαρω-(νεία καὶ τὰ ἐν Λαυρείω) | κεκτη(μένοι)ς τὰ εἰς κ(αινὰ ἔργα άπο-) | δόντων (τῶν) πωλητώ(ν8 καὶ μελλόντων τὸ άρ-)γύριον (διανείμαι, Θεμιστοκλής παριών είπεν,) ότι χρη διανομήν έάσαντας ποιήσασθαι) | [ναῦς ἐπὶ τὸν πόλεμον, καὶ δοῦναι έκατον με-]4 | ταλλεῦσι (τοῖς) 5πλο(υσιωτάτοις είς νεώς κατασκευασ) μον6 έκάστω τά(λαντον καὶ ἐὰν ἀρέση ἡ ναῦς,) | τ(δ) ἀνάλωμα τῆς (νεως τῆ πόλει λογισθήναι) | έ(αν) δὲ μή, κομίσασθαι (τὸ δανεισθέν · παρά δέ των) | δα(ν)εισαμένων λα(βείν έγγύους οἱ δὲ ἐκατὸν) έ(π)οίησα(ν) τριήρεις (κάλλει καὶ τάχει διαφερούσας.)

' Αθηναίων πολιτεία, Kenyon, 62, 6 foll.

ἔτει δὲ τρίτφ μετὰ ταῦτα Νικοδήμου ἀρχοντος, ὡς ἐφάνη τὰ μέταλλα τὰ ἐν Μαρωνεία καὶ περιεγένετο τῆ πόλει τάλαντα ἐκατὸν ἐκ τῶν ἔργων, συμβουλευόντων τινῶν τῷ δήμφ διανείμασθαι τὸ ἀργύριον, Θεμιστοκλῆς ἐκώλυσεν οὐ λέγων ὁ τι (ὅτι Κ.) χρήσεται τοῖς χρήμασιν, ἀλλὰ δανεῖσαι κελεύων τοῖς πλουσιωτάτοις ᾿Αθηναίων ἐκατὸν ἐκάστφ τάλαντον, εἰτ' ἐὰν μὲν ἀρέσκη τὸ ἀνάλωμα, τῆς πόλεως εἰναι τὴν δαπάνην, εἰ δὲ μὴ, παρακομίσασθαι (read κομίσασθαι, Κ., Class. R., March, 1891) τὰ χρήματα παρὰ τῶν δανεισαμένων, λαβὼν δ' ἔπὶ τούτοις ἐνα(υ)πηγήσατο τριήρεις ἐκατὸν κτἔ.

No commentary is needed. We are not to read $\epsilon i \zeta$ for EK, nor $\pi \omega \lambda \eta$ for $\Pi O \Lambda I$, nor $-\mu o \nu$ for $\Pi O \Lambda I$. No line is omitted and the $\Lambda \Pi \Lambda C$ supposed to be in the text must have been misread. Polyainos does not enable us to restore Aristotle, and, after making all allowances for the variants of Polyainos's original, the reweaving of the torn and tattered text has not been a success. Even the darning has not been all that could have been desired, for any poor grammatical needle-threader would have put the $\epsilon i \delta \hat{c} \mu \hat{\eta}$ of Aristotle in antithesis to $\hat{c} \hat{a} \nu$ ($\mu \hat{c} \nu$), rather than the $\hat{c} \hat{a} \nu$ $\delta \hat{c} \mu \hat{\eta}$ of Bergk. Here, as often elsewhere, an ounce of MS is worth more than a hundred-weight of conjectures.

The astounding novelties brought to light by the Constitution of Athens are, it is true, in a measure independent of any reading of the text. The Kylonian disturbance had been put before Draco by some scholars, but no one had dreamed that Draco had any hand in constitutional changes; and, no matter

On the basis of Polyain. Strateg. 1, 30, 6. See Rh. Mus. N. F. XXXVI (1881), p. 107.

² eis for EK. 2 πωλητω for ΠΟΛΙΤω. 4 Line dropped by carelessness of scribe.
5 τοῦς πλουσι- for ΑΠΑC. 6 μον for ΤΟΝ.

how it may be accounted for, the dead silence as to the severity of Draco's legislation is as surprising as the mention of his constitutional readjustments. The explanation that in a work on the constitution, Aristotle had only constitutional changes in view, is by no means satisfactory, for there is no such rigorous exclusion elsewhere of extra-constitutional matter. Now, when we come to this important point, what do we read in a certain summary? 'The upper classes gave way and agreed to invest a leading citizen, named Draco, with full powers to reform the constitution.' What does Aristotle say? μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα (the Kylonian troubles) χρόνου τινὸς οὐ πολλοῦ διελθόντος ἐπ' 'Αρισταίχμου ἄρχοντος Δρά(κων) τοὺς θεσμοὺς ἔθηκεν. 'The upper classes giving way,' 'the leading citizen,' 'the full powers,' 'the reform of the constitution,' are inferences, natural inferences, if you choose, but they are extra-Aristotelian. And so it is everywhere. The temptation to read between the lines is so strong that summaries are not to be trusted, and the only translation I have seen thus far, Kaibel and Kiessling's, is a paraphrase of which a like complaint may be made. In spite of the best intentions, the old controversies sway the pens of those scholars who have undertaken at short notice to rewrite the history of Athens from the point of view of this important document; and delight at the confirmation of former guesses and disgust at the refutation of previous fancies make it very hard to deal with the personal equation. The man who knows nothing about the history of Athens cannot understand the significance of the new document, and he who knows too much is sorely tempted to wrest it or to underrate it.

It will be a long time before all the accessions that have accrued from this, the most important find of the century, shall have been registered and distributed. Grammar and lexicography are not without their share, and I have elsewhere called attention to a use of $\hat{\epsilon}\omega_{\rm c}$ for which the grammars have been waiting. The regularity of $\pi\rho\hat{\iota}\nu$ has found additional evidence, and my conjecture, made years ago (A. J. P. I 458; IV 92), Solon, fr. 36, 21,

πρίν άναταράξας πῖαρι ἐξεῖλεν γάλα

has been confirmed, and, as Mr. Kenyon says there is room for $\dot{a}\nu a\tau$, that is perhaps better than Mr. Adam's more seductive $\dot{a}\nu\tau a\rho \dot{a}\xi a\varsigma$, Crito 44 D (see The Nation, Apr. 2, 1891). And not only has the ' $A\theta\eta\nu$. $\pi o\lambda\iota\tau\epsilon ia$ corrected a passage that was known before, but it has brought us some new fragments of the great Athenian poet and lawgiver; of short compass, it is true, but not without interest. The elegy which is put down as fr. 4 Bergk, notoriously lacks the beginning, and this beginning is supplied by Aristotle. Another fragment seems to lurk in the sentence (fr. 15, 10): $\delta\iota\delta$ kal $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{a}\rho\chi\eta$ $\tau\eta\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon ia\varsigma$ $\delta\epsilon\delta\iota\iota\kappa\epsilon\nu\iota\iota$ $\phi\eta\sigma\iota$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\phi(\iota\lambda a\mu\gamma\nu\rho)ia\nu$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\eta\phi a\nu\dot{\nu}a\nu$. The double $\tau\epsilon$ connecting two words is more than suspicious, and so is the rhythm:

τήν τε φιλαργυρίην την θ' ὑπερηφανίην.

The jingle as in

4, 20: δς πολλών έρατην ωλεσεν ηλικίην.

32: δς κακά πλεϊστα πόλει δυσνουίη παρέχει.

10, 2: δείξει άληθείης ές μέσον έρχομένης.

11, 4: καὶ διὰ ταῦτα κακὴν ἔσχετε δουλοσύνην,

1 The 'Αθην. πολ. has πυαρ.

The article, which Solon uses rarely, as in 15, 3, with a strong deictic sense:

άλλ' ήμεις αὐτοις οὐ διαμειψόμεθα τῆς ἀρετῆς τὸν πλοῦτον,

though we should expect ὑμετέρην as in ὑμετέρην κακότητα (II, I). How many have 'discovered' this I do not know. Two of England's most distinguished scholars are credited with the observation in the March number of the Classical Review. The only wonder is that it should have escaped the editor. And yet the verse is not very satisfactory as a verse, and those who laugh at

Bellerophonteis sollicitudinibus

will have to plead the Bellerophontean anxieties of Solon's position as a justification of this inartificial pentameter, which cannot be paralleled in Bergk's Elegiac Poets. But that something of the sort must have stood in the original is shown by Plut. Sol. c. 14, 3: ὁκνῶν φησὶ τὸ πρῶτον ἄψασθαι τῆς πολιτείας καὶ ὁεδοικὼς τῶν μὲν τὴν φιλοχρηματίαν τῶν δ' ὑπερηφανίαν. And yet it is dangerous to proceed mechanically in this matter of restoration, lest one be tempted by the rhythm into some such pitfall as engulfed Kock when he was seduced by the iambic tetrameter into the memorable identification of a solemn verse of St. Paul (2 Tim. 4, 6) with a comic ἀδέσποτον (No. 768).

It will be observed that in this preliminary notice I have not adorned the name of Aristotle with the quotation-marks by which some scholars have undertaken to exhibit a scholarly skepticism. That the book is the book known in antiquity as Aristotle's is beyond a question. Whether it was composed by Aristotle himself is another matter. Some have missed Aristotle's technical terms, his familiar crabbednesses, his significant implications. But Aristotle was a man of the world as well as a philosopher, and as a man of the world he must have had more than one style, so that it would be fairer to admire his versatility than to insist on uniformity. If I may be allowed to record my own impressions, receiving the book before the flood of criticisms reached me, my first thought was to compare the 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία with the more fluent portions of the Politics, and mindful of what Blass had noted in his Attische Beredsamkeit II 428, I kept watch for hiatus, for rhythm, and not in vain. In what I may be pardoned for calling the aridities of the Aristotelian corpus, the flumen orationis aureum of which Cicero tells us runs far underground, but the 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία enables us to understand that the current of this simple brook might be swellen into a golden stream. Mr. Newman, who ought to know, is skeptical, but Diels, who has a right to be heard, concludes his essay in the Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie IV 3, p. 479, with the following words: 'Diese 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία (ist) nicht nur echt aristotelisch sondern aristotelischer als die meisten der uns erhaltenen Lehrbücher an welche sich jene Skeptiker halten.' B. L. G.



REPORTS.

ZEITSCHRIFT DER DEUTSCHEN MORGENLÄNDISCHEN GESELLSCHAFT.¹

Pp. 1-29. Schlechta-Wssehrd, in his article on Firdusi's poem, 'Jussuf and Suleicha' (ZDMG. 41, 577; A. J. P. X 237), said that it was based on Sura (12) Joseph in the Korân and on rabbinical traditions. M. Grünbaum, however, shows that both the Arabic and the Persian legend owe very little to the Jewish Hagada; the Persian having gone its own way, just as the Sura 12, which deviates in many particulars from the Biblical narrative. The connection between Sura 12 and the Talmudic המודר בי is traced. A short time after this article was written Schlechta-Wssehrd published a German translation of Firdusi's Jussuf and Suleicha (1889), which is commented upon by Grünbaum in another article, Vol. 44, 445-477; at the same time there are added some notes on the 'Poema de José' and the 'Leyendas de José hijo de Jacob y de Alejandro Magno,' por F. Guillén Roblei (1888), two Spanish versions of the same Persian poem.

Pp. 30-52, 609-13. P. Horn prints transliteration and translation of Fargard the 6th and 17th, with an excursus on the decipherment of the Pahlavi-papyri of the Royal Museum at Berlin.

Pp. 53-68. O. Böhtlingk examines the epic peculiarities of Books I-IV and VII of the Râmâyana, edited by Gorrescio, and shows that they are not archaic, but later formations, based on the analogy of other forms for the sake of the metre, and that this is the reason why they disappear so soon.

Pp. 69-98. The oldest Turkish poem, next to the Kudatku Bilik, composed in the year 463 of the Hejira, is that on the Patriarch Joseph, described by L. Fleischer in the Catalogue of the Dresden Library, No. 419. It was composed in 1233 A. D. by a certain 'Alt. Th. Houtsma edits the text and translation on the basis of two MSS belonging respectively to the libraries of Berlin and Dresden. A third MS, at Gotha, differs materially from the former two. The contents are the same as Firdusi's 'Jussuf and Suleicha.'

Pp. 99-120, and Vol. 44, 373-89. K. Vollers. Notes on the viceregal library at Kairo, (a) the historical works and MSS and (b) the medical books. V. also shows, Vol. 44, 390, that 'Aš-Ša'rānī' in the P. N. 'Abd-el Wahhāb b. Aḥmed Aš-Ša'rānī does not mean 'the hairy' (hair = but that his name Ša'rāwī is a Nisbe-formation from Ša'ra, his birthplace, while Ša'rānī is the same form derived from the ward Bab-eš-Ša'rīja, his place of residence in Kairo.

Pp. 121-7. R. J. H. Gottheil prints addenda and corrigenda to his 'A List of Plants and their Properties from the Menarath Qudh'se of Gregorius bar 'Ebhrâyâ'; in Vol. 44, 392 he has a note on the name of a lexicographical treatise by Honein bar Ishâq.

Pp. 128-76, 273-96 and Vol. 44, 702-4. G. Bühler received not long ago from Dr. J. Burgess new squeezes of the inscriptions bearing the name of Pyadasi, the Açoka of Southern Buddhists. These edicts exist in various recensions: (1) that of Girnâr, (2) that at Khâlsi, (3) the Shâhbâzgarhi version, (4) the Mansehra edicts, and (5) that of Babra. B. published first the Shâhbâzgarhi version of 14 edicts, with constant reference to Prof. Sénart's work (J. A. 1888, Vols. XI and XII). Notes on the Northern Indian alphabet precede the Devanâgari text, Latin transliteration and a running commentary. Edict XIII is published in the three versions of Shâhbâzgarhi, Khâlsi and Girnâr. In the second and the third paper B. studies in the same manner the 14 edicts of the Mansehra version. The writing differs but slightly from that of the Shâhbâzgarhi edicts.

Pp. 177-99. In his third article on comparative studies in Semitic philology J. Barth shows that the Y-imperfect of the Qal in the North-Semitic languages was not so rare as scholars generally believe. Many imperfects which have thus far been considered Hiphîl-forms are Qal-imperfects. The original Y-imperfect was either supplanted by the u-imperfect or changed into the transitive a-imperfect.

Pp. 192-205. P. Jensen. On prefixes to nouns in Assyrian. Barth, ZA. II 111, had shown that the nominal prefix n- in Assyrian goes back to an earlier Semitic m-, and that the n- was a result of dissimilation in words containing a labial m, b or p; the only exceptions being nannaru, mamîtu, oath, and mûšabu, dwelling, našaddu probably being a Niphal derivative. J. believes that mamîtu is from *mamû,² and mamlu, strong, from /m-m-l; the prefix mu- is due to the influence of the participial forms in mu-; našaddu he reads nawaddu from TTI; in nadušu, ni'lû, nallutu, nannu, nannaru and naççaru the prefix n-, instead of m-, is due to the following lingual; for nug-gat read nuk-kum from nakamu, whence also ikkimu, revenge (but see Del. Wört. 394).

Pp. 206-72. W. Bacher describes the literary apparatus of Elias Levita and mentions the authorities which he quotes in his works; he shows what L. has done as interpreter and critic of his predecessors; his merit as a grammarian and lexicographer; his importance as a student of the Targum and the Massorah, and his contributions to the exegesis of the Bible. On p. 534 we find an additional remark on the notation of Hebrew accents.

Pp. 297-307. The Rasavahint is a collection of 103 Buddhistic stories of a legendary character, of which the first forty are Hindu, the rest Sinhalese. They are divided into ten books called Vaggas, each book containing ten stories. The last three are not counted in. The first four were edited by Spiegel in his Anecdota Palica. Steen Konow edits text and German translation of Nos. 5 and 6.

¹ A. J. P. X 488.

² mamitu instead of namitu may be due to the fact that we have already namitu from namû.

Pp. 308-12. Peshotan Sanjana, in his book 'Next-of-kin Marriages in Old Irân' (London, 1888), asserts that the remarks of the Greek writers concerning such marriages were worthless; that neither the Avesta nor the Pahlavibooks ever recommended such a practice, and that the words χ waetvada θ a (Zend) and χ wetûkdas (Pahl.) simply mean gift of communion. E. W. West, however, has proved that in Pahlavi the word really meant next-of-kin marriage. Hübschmann now shows that Sanjana is right as far as the teaching of the Avesta goes, while, on the other hand, the testimony of the Greeks and the Armenians has to be upheld. E. Kuhn (p. 618) adds another proof of this custom from G. Hoffmann's Auszüge aus syrischen Akten persischer Märtyrer.

Pp. 317-26. F. Praetorius contributes a paper on Hamitic elements in the Ethiopic language, showing their influence, especially upon the Amharic.

Pp. 327-8. Th. Nöldeke has a remark on As-Sabti, son of Harûn-ar-Rashid.

Pp. 329-52. Sprenger reviews E. C. Sachau's edition of Alberuni's India (in two volumes, London, 1888).—Kamphausen bestows high praise on E. Kautzsch and A. Socin's translation of Genesis, and Leuman notices G. Bühler's biography of the Jaina monk Hemacandra, the pupil of Devacandra.

Pp. 353-87. K. G. Jacob begins a series of studies of the commerce in the Middle Ages between the Caspian and the Baltic, with a discussion on the amber. Speaking of Oppert's view that the Assyrians knew the amber, he repeats the mistake of some of his predecessors by quoting II Rawl. 28, instead of I Rawl. Hebr. אַרְאָלָּאָלָּע (Exod. 30, 34) is = Arabic sacal (amber). He then treats of the meaning of 'Kahrubâ' in the Middle Ages and in modern times. E. Rehatsek (p. 673) sends an additional remark to this article.

Pp. 388-414. T. Guidi. East Syrian Bishops in the fifth to the seventh century.

Pp. 415-63, 555-78 and Vol. 44, 478. K. Himly sends two articles on terms in games, other than chess, tracing their oriental or occidental origin.

Pp. 464-7. H. Jacobi, the distinguished Jain scholar, discusses the Udgatametre.

Pp. 468-524. G. A. Grierson continues his specimens of the Bihârt language (from Vol. 29, 617); he examines the Bhoj'pûrî dialect and edits a song with translation into English.

Pp. 525-34. W. Bang sends ten pages of notes on the Achaemenian inscriptions, with an additional remark (p. 674) on the religion of the Achaemenians.

Pp. 535-54. Nöldeke has a long review of K. Kessler's Mani (Vol. I, Berlin, 1889), agreeing, on the whole, with A. Müller (Theolog. Literaturzeitung, 1890, No. 4) and Rahlfs in G. G. A. 1889, No. 23, that the book contains a number of great mistakes. Vol. 44, 399, he prints an additional note on the river Strangas.—E. Meyer calls attention to the important book by Th. Nöldeke on Persian history (Leipzig, 1887).

Pp. 579-89. W. Geiger. Balûčî texts and translation, being the modern Iranian dialect spoken in Beluchistan. Vol. 44, 549-61 we find two very

favorable reviews by Bartholomae and Hübschmann of the same scholar's 'Dialectspaltung im Balûčî,' and 'Etymologie des Balûčî' (München, 1889 and 1890).

Pp. 590-5. O. Roth explains Kâtyâyana (ed. Weber, pp. 356, 362 and 366) on the fire-drill, a later improvement on the primitive fire-sticks.

Pp. 596-606. P. Böhtlingk believes, against P. Peterson, that Nåråyana is not the author of the Hidopadeça; he also examines several cases of alleged irregularities in language in Hiranyakeçin's Grhjasûtra (edited by I. Kirste); and discusses again the legend of the goat and the knife (Mahâbhârata, ed. Calc. II 2193), differing from the interpretation of the same story as given by R. Pischel in his 'Vedische Studien,' I 182. The discussion is continued by R. Roth, in'Vol. 44, 371 f., whom Böhtlingk answers (ib. pp. 493-4), while Pischel (ib. 497-500) defends his interpretation against the objections raised by Böhtlingk and Roth.

Pp. 607-8. Böhtlingk combats some statements touching attraction in gender in Sanskrit, made by O. Franke in his book 'Die Indischen Genusregeln.' In Vol. 44, 481 ff. Franke prints an answer to Böhtlingk's objections.

Pp. 613-15. W. Bacher mentions עפרא לפומיה 'dust in the mouth,' a Jewish-Aramean proverb, analogous to the Arabic saying discussed by Goldziher in Vol. 42, 587.

Pp. 616-18. F. Praetorius, discussing the Arabic term harfu'linkâri, hardly knows how to explain it. It is evidently the same as the Assyrian enclitic particle -u = nonne, ne, e. g. anakû, am I not?; also compare Ethiopic hû and perhaps Hebrew 7.

Pp. 619-52 are taken up by an article of J. Zubatý on the structure of the trishtubh- and the jagati-metre in the Mahabharata.

Pp. 653-63. The famous discoveries, by Eduard Glaser, of Sabean and South-Arabic inscriptions, have called forth several articles and reviews. F. Hommel speaks of the South-Semitic word for wine, with special reference to the Sabean inscription, Glaser No. 12, to which is added a postscript by Glaser (pp. 662-3). Against Hommel, P. Jensen, in Vol. 44, 705, shows that also the Assyro-Babylonian had the common word for wine, 'inu,' comparing V Rawl. 52, 64-65a and II Rawl. 25, 38ab; Del. Lesest.³, p. 84, col. iv, 15. Hommel's article was, on the whole, a polemic against J. H. Mordtmann. The latter prints a reply in Vol. 44, p. 201. For further articles see below.

Pp. 664-70. C. Bartholomae contributes some Aryan notes, interpreting passages of the Rigveda and the Avesta.

Pp. 671-3. R. von Stackelberg continues his Ossetian studies (see Vol. 42, Heft 3).2

Pp. 675-706. Nöldeke reviews G. Cardahi's Pardaisa dha Edhen seu Paradisus Eden. Carmina auctore Mâr Ebed Isô Sobensi and H. Gismondi's Ebed-Iesu Sobensis carmina selecta ex libro Paradisus (sic!) Eden (Beyrouth, 1889)

and 1888).—Stickel pays high tribute to H. Lavoix's Catalogue des monnaies Musulmanes de la Bibliothèque Nationale. Khalifes Orientaux (Paris, 1887), and H. Schils recommends C. de Harlez's edition of Yih-king (Bruxelles, 1889).

A. Socin closes the volume with a short memorial sketch of the late Heinrich Thorbecke (born 14 March, 1837, and died 3 Jan. 1890).

Vol. XLIV.

Pp. 1-82. Dr. Kühnau publishes the metrical collections of the late Prof. Stenzler. The collections of metrical systems based on the Vedic literature are to a great extent antiquated, while those based on the later Sanskrit literature, the renaissance, are of the greatest importance, since they enable us to observe the spread of the metrical systems during that period. Kühnau observes the chronological order, the period of Kalidâsa forming the centre around which the others are grouped.

Pp. 83-97. R. Simon examines the three main groups of the four-syllable Pâda-systems of the Cloka in Pâli, the Buddhistic literature.

Pp. 97-141 contain text and German translation, by F. Rückert, of the Lovesongs of Dshāmī.

Pp. 142-53. E. Wilhelm, in an article on priests and heretics in ancient Irân, based on the study of the Avesta, shows that the conflict between the state and church is by no means confined to the Christian church, but is found even in those early days and carried on with a full appreciation of its importance.

Pp. 154-64. M. Wolff has a word on religion and philosophy as conceived by Sa'adya al Fayyumî. S. is the great forerunner of those who maintain that religion and philosophy do not necessarily contradict each other.

Pp. 165-8. T. Goldziher. The title 'ship of the desert' given to the camel — a pendant to the Homeric $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{o}$ $i\pi\pi\omega$ (\dot{o} 708) = ships—shows that the early Arabians were a seafaring nation. A second note treats of the formulas of confession among the Almohades.

P. 169. Wellhausen corrects two mistakes of E. Glaser with respect to the priests of Ruxahât, and the date of the siege of Medina by Tubba', a prince from Southern Arabia, which took place in the middle of the sixth century A. D., not c. 300 A. D., as Glaser believes.

Pp. 173-95. J. H. Mordtmann reviews E. Glaser's sketch of the history of Arabia, from the earliest time to Muhammad (Part I), and Hommel's remarks on the historical gain from the South-Arabic inscriptions discovered by Glaser (Munic, 1889). Glaser had questioned many statements made by Mordtmann and D. H. Müller in their 'Sabäische Denkmäler.' M. now answers Glaser, combating many of the latter's statements and inferences as well as readings and interpretations. On pp. 501-20 A. Sprenger criticises Mordtmann's remarks on the character and the history of the Minneans. He also reviews E. Glaser's sketch of the history and geography of Arabia (Berlin, 1890) with special reference to Glaser's words (p. 377) that 'the name Ophir has nothing to do

with the Mahritic a'fur (red) because the latter was pronounced with 'Ain. Sprenger's identification has to be given up.' S. maintains that Ophir is $= \frac{i\pi\nu\rho\sigma_0}{\epsilon}$, denoting properly the color, not the place where the most valued gold was found. The $\chi\rho\nu\sigma_0$ ϵ $i\pi\nu\rho\sigma_0$, aurum apyron, was a very costly species of reddish gold. On pp. 721-6 we find Glaser's answer to Sprenger's remarks. G. considers Ophir a geographical name, and believes that the Ophir- and Paradise-legends cannot be treated separately. Glaser thought that he had found the Paradise-river Gihon ('I'I'') in the Arabic Ğaihân in Central Arabia; but Nöldeke, on pp. 699 f., shows that Bekri, Glaser's authority, was wrong. The Ğaihân, as shown by Jâqût, is the Pyramus river, which in Arabic writers was, without reason, named Ğaihân, after the name of the Paradise-river.

Pp. 196-200. Brünnow has words of high praise for C. Bezold's Catalogue of the cuneiform tablets in the Kouyunjik collection of the British Museum (Vol. I, London, 1889).

P. 202. Wüstenfeld corrects three mistakes which had crept into his 'Comparative tables of Muhammadan and Christian chronology.'

Pp. 203-55. The Sarts and their language are treated by H. Vambéry. The Sarts, originally an Iranian people, had changed their mother-tongue for the Turkish. The name Sart is connected with that of the river Jaxartes. Jaxartes is the Turkish jaka sari, 'the country along the river,' and jaka sarti denoted 'the people living along the banks of a river.' The purely local name became in time an ethnological one. Sart denoted the settler in distinction from the nomadic tribes. Of the greatest importance for the study of their customs and habits are the popular proverbs, published by M. N. Ostroumow. They are written in Persian or in the Turkish dialect common to all the inhabitants of Central Asia, called the Usbek dialect. Vambéry prints and translates 486 proverbs.

Pp. 256-66. F. Kühnert discusses the question whether the Chinese tsiet-k'i is the name for every single solar term, the twenty-fourth part of a solar year, and whether the invisible star k'i is our solar cycle of twenty-eight years; he comes to the conclusion that the uneven solar terms are called tsiet and the even k'i. The combination of the two, tsiet-k'i, denotes the solar terms as a whole, as the tsiet +k'i's.

Pp. 267-320. On the basis of three new MSS, L. Blumenthal prints his doctor-dissertation entitled: "Critical Emendations to Gustav Bickell's Kalīlah and Dimmah, being an ancient Syriac translation of the Sanskrit 'Fürstenspiegel.'"

Pp. 321-38. Bartholomae, in his 'Studien zur indogermanischen Sprachgeschichte,' I (1890), 81-116, objected to Oldenberg's treatment of the Abhinihita Sandhi in the latter's edition of the Rigveda. O. replies to these objections, examines Bartholomae's theory of the nature and origin of the A. S. and adds his own views.

Pp. 339-62. J. Jolly sends contributions to the history of Indian law. (1). On yat (= to pay) and vaira yatana (= payment of the blood-money) based on Manu VIII 158. (2). Indian polyandry and Persian marriages of next-of-kin

in Brihaspati are proved to have existed very early. It is by no means the marriage with a deceased husband's brother, but a marriage with several brothers at the same time. This is an additional proof of the truth of Hübschmann's statements in Vol. 43, 308. (3). Theory and practice in legal proceedings under the Old Hindu law.

Pp. 363-72. W. Bang shows that the Pahlavi version of the Gathas strictly follows the order of words of the original. If this is established it will prove a great help for the study of the original texts. Then follows a discussion of Yasna XXVIII I-4.

Pp. 393-400. Among the Book Notices we find a review by Bacher of H. Strack's edition of the Mishnah tract 'Shabbath'; and by Wellhausen of C. Brockelmann's Ibn al-Athîrs Kâmil fit-ta'rîx and its relation to Tâbarî's Ahbâr errusul walmulûk.

Pp. 401-44. A. Fischer publishes new extracts from Aḍ-Dahabi's Taḍ-hib-at-Tahdib and Ibn An-Naǧǧār's Kamāl, as a supplement to his book 'Biographies of authorities quoted by Ibn Isḥāq' (Leiden, Brill).

M. J. de Goeje believes that Zâr, the name of ghosts troubling women in Mekka, came from Abessynia to Arabia. Also see Nöldeke on p. 701.—O. Böhtlingk sends three pages of additions and corrections to H. W. Magoun's Asûri-Kalpa (A. J. P. X 165-97); and then discusses, on p. 492 f. the Sanscrit root 'art,' mentioned by Oldenberg and Whitney, 'Sanskrit Roots,' p. 15.—The general belief that the Hindus exposed their female children is based on a wrong translation of a Sanscrit verb, which really means 'to put aside,' i. e. to deliver a child to the nurse immediately after its birth, instead of lifting it up, as was done in the case of boys, to give expression to one's joy.

Pp. 520-35. Nöldeke reviews (I) Chowlson's Syrisch-nestorianische Grabinschriften (Petersbourg, 1890); the book contains 200 sepulchral inscriptions gathered in the old graveyards of Tishpek and Tokmak in Southern Siberia, dating from the middle of the XIII to the middle of the XIV saeclum of our era; (2) Abbeloos' 'Acta Mar Kardaghi' (Leipzig, 1890), and (3) H. Feige's 'Die Geschichte des Mâr 'Abhdišô' und seines Jüngers Mâr Qardagh, herausgegeben und übersetzt' (Kiel, 1890).

Pp. 535-48. F. Hommel reviews Paul de Lagarde's Übersicht über die im Aramäischen, Arabischen und Hebräischen übliche Bildung der Nomina (aus dem 35. Bande der Abh. der Kgl. Gesellsch. der Wiss. zu Göttingen), Göttingen, 1889. In almost all cases H. sides with Lagarde against the statements of J. Barth in his Nominalbildung in den Semitischen Sprachen, Vols. Iand II. According to Barth 'die Grundlagen des Lagardeschen Buches (sind) unhaltbar.' Hommel maintains that Lagarde has proved his points, and believes that 'die Grundlagen des Barth'schen Werkes unhaltbar sind'; that the work itself contains, however, a great amount of valuable material. Hommel adds to Lagarde's proofs a few more from the Assyro-Babylonian language. See also E. Nestle in Lit. Centr. Blatt, 1890, col. 1099. On. pp. 679-700 Barth has (1) an answer to Hommel's review; (2) believes that the question whether the verb is older than the noun or vice-versa, or whether both are equally old, must as yet remain an open question; (3) answers some objections raised by

Philippi in the latter's review of Barth's 'Nominalbildung' (Vol. I) in Zeitschr. für Völkerpsychologie und Sprache, 1890, p. 349 ff.

Pp. 563-649. Paul Horn prints the Persian text of the memoirs of the Shah Tahmasp I of Persia (c. 1563 A. D.), based on four MSS. One of these is at Teheran, and a copy was sent to him by Dr. Frank, the dragoman of the German embassy at Teheran. A German translation by P. Horn will shortly be published in Strassburg (Karl J. Trübner).

Pp. 650-78. The same writer describes Sassanian gems and coins, belonging to the British Museum (with three plates).

M. J. Goeje recommends E. Nöldeke and A. Müller's Delectus veterum carminum arabicorum (Berlin, 1890) to all students of the Semitic languages.—Nöldeke reviews C. Schiaparelli's l'Arte poetica di Abû'l-'Abbâs 'Aḥmad b. Yaḥŷâ Ta'lab, and Ed. Mahler praises J. Epping's 'Astronomisches aus Babylon' (Freiburg, 1889).

W. MUSS-ARNOLT.

REVUE DE PHILOLOGIE. Vol. X.

No. I.

- 1. Pp. 1-11. Biography of Léon Renier, by Émile Chatelain. Renier was born at Charleville (Ardennes) in 1809, and died at Paris in 1885. Having completed his studies at the College of Reims, he was compelled by the vicissitudes of 1830 to suspend the further prosecution of his specialty, mathematics; and, as it seems, he was employed as foreman in a printing establishment, where he acquired experience that proved useful to him in his subsequent career as an epigraphist. In 1832 he was principal of the College of Nesle, and in 1838 he went to Paris and did editorial work for the Journal général de l'Instruction publique, aided in editing the Dictionnaire encyclopédique de la France, and worked on the Biographie portative universelle. In 1845 he founded the Revue de Philologie (first series), which was suspended after two years (and revived in 1877). Employment on the Encyclopédie moderne placed him henceforth beyond the reach of want. In 1847 he was given a modest position in the Bibliothéque de l'Université by Philippe Le Bas, and rose gradually until, on the death of Le Bas in 1860, he succeeded him as conservateur administrateur. Though he published a few translations and other unimportant works on Greek authors, he devoted his labors chiefly to epigraphy. The biography gives a concise account of his various missions; and his numerous important works and almost countless articles in periodicals. He discovered and published more inscriptions than any other man that has ever lived. A chair of Epigraphy was created for him in the College of France in 1861. When, in 1868, the École des Hautes-Études was founded by Duruy, Renier succeeded in having historical and philological science introduced and placed on an equal footing with physics and chemistry. For an account of other important acts, and for a portrayal of his peculiarly candid character, and the consequent opposition he met, and for a list of his works, the reader is referred to the original article.
- 2. P. 11. Meusel had shown (Jahrb. für Phil. CXXXI, 1884, p. 402 ff.) that in the classic period Roman writers usually employed ab before those

initial consonants of nouns which are preceded by ab in compd. verbs, and a before those preceded by a: as abluo, averto, hence ab loco, a viro. Max Bonnet points out that this distinction had fallen into total neglect by the sixth century, to judge from Gregory of Tours, who never uses ab before a consonant (nor abs at all). He wrote ab stirpe, ab scolis, ab spiritu; but these words in his day really began with prosthetic i or e, which remains in French.

- 3. Pp. 12-16. Interesting discussion, partly critical, of the Prologues of the Heauton Timorumenos, the Hecyra, and the Phormio, by Louis Havet.
- 4. Pp. 17-37. Notes on Athenian Heortology, by Albert Martin. In this article is elaborately discussed the series of festivals that began on the 6th and ended on the 9th of Pyanopsion, that is, the $K\nu\beta\epsilon\rho\nu\eta\sigma\iota a$ on the 6th, the $\Pi\nu\alpha\nu\delta\psi\iota a$, ${}^{\prime}O\sigma\chi o\phi\delta\rho\iota a$, and ${}^{\prime}E\pi\iota\tau\dot{a}\phi\iota a$ on the 7th, and the $\Theta\eta\sigma\epsilon\bar{\iota} a$ on the 8th and 9th.
- 5. Pp. 38-46. Paul Tannery directs attention to the fact that while the Greek geometricians in designating points and lines marked by letters in a diagram always said $\tau \delta$ A and $\dot{\eta}$ B Γ . Aristotle used the older method, and said $\tau \delta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi'$ $\dot{\phi}$ A, $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi'$ $\dot{\eta}$ B Γ . He proposes by means of this test to detect interpolations, and applies it to Meteorol. IV 5, where Aristotle demonstrates his proposition that the arc of the rainbow never exceeds a semicircle.
- 6. Pp. 46-48. Critical discussion of Italicus, Ilias 621-627, by Louis Havet.
- 7. Pp. 49-69. A. M. Desrousseaux, on behalf of the Conférence de philologie grecque (École des Hautes-Études), presents emendations of forty-five passages of Herodotos.
- 8. Pp. 70-82. Aemilius Baehrens emends thirty-seven passages in Cic. Brutus and thirty-eight in Cic. Orator; also Gellius I 7. 3.
- 9. Pp. 83-101. Émile Chatelain gives an account of the previous publications of the fragments of Aemilius Asper's commentary on Vergil, and publishes a new decipherment of them from the palimpsest of Corbie, now No. 12161 of the National Library of Paris, which contains the grammatical observations of Asper under the text of St. Jerome. In this article the text of Asper is printed in small capitals, letter for letter, and again underneath in ordinary form with the quotations from Vergil printed in full.
- 10. Pp. 102-112. Book Notices. (1). Notice of Iwan Müller's Handbuch der klassischen Alterthumswissenschaft, with review, chiefly very favorable, of Vol. II, by O. R. (2). Notice of Schliemann's Ilios, by W. (3). A rather unfavorable notice (by L. D.) of Benicken's Studien und Forschungen auf dem Gebiete der homerischen Gedichte, a work which devotes 1734 pages to one book (XII) of Homer's Iliad. "On peut croire M. B. sur parole: son livre résume tout ce qui a été dit sur la question homérique en général, et sur le chant 12 de l'Iliade en particulier . . . Tel qu'il est, cependant, il pourra rendre de grands service aux travailleurs, en leur tenant lieu de toute une bibliothèque homérique." (4). Favorable mention (by C. E. R.) of Kiessling and Prou's Dionysii Halicarnassensis Romanarum antiquitatum quae supersunt. Graece et Latine. (Didot.) (5). Favorable notice (by H. L.) of Uhlig's

Dionysii Thracis ars grammatica. (6). Favorable notice and precis of Weber's Entwickelungsgeschichte der Absichtssätze, Part II (by O. R.). (7). Brief notice of Grundmann's Quid in elocutione Arriani Herodoto debeatur (by O. R.). (8). Brief notice of Flach's Chronicon Parium; (9) of the Appendices and Register of Willem's Le Sénat de la république romaine; (10) of Dahl's Zur handschriftenkunde und kritik des ciceronischen Cato maior, and Vassis' Codicis Ciceroniani bibliothecae Laurentianae ab Hieronymo Lagomarsinio n. 32 designati in primo de oratore libro nova collatio.

No. 2.

- 1. Pp. 113-131. De novis Sallustii Historiarum fragmentis, by Dr. Edm. Hauler. A description of Cod. Aurelianensis 169(M), and the text of some fragments of Sallust discovered by Hauler under the text of Jerome's commentary on Isaia's. There seems to be some other text under that of Sallust. The author published a more detailed account in the Studia Vindobonensia, 1886, Vol. II.
- 2. Pp. 132-142. On the diver Scyllias of Scione, by Am. Hauvette. The author discusses especially the accounts in Hdt. VIII 8 and Paus. X 19.1, and concludes that Scyllias existed only in a legendary tradition the origin of which we find in Hdt.
- 3. Pp. 142-144. H. Weil emends some passages in the letters of the Emperor Julian, published in 16th vol. of the Hellenic Syllogos of Constantinople in 1885.

No. 3.

- Pp. 145-148. Pierre de Nolhac gives an interesting history of the MS of Festus made by Angelo Poliziano in 1485, and found by Nolhac. It is Vaticanus 3368.
- 2. P. 148. Notes on Luc. Dial. XVII 2, p. 407, and XXX 2, p. 451, by A. M. D.
- 4. Pp. 149-154. Remarks on various authors, by A. M. Desrousseaux. I. Emendation of a dozen passages in the correspondence of Fronto.
- 5. Pp. 154 f. F. P. Nash (Geneva, N. Y.) identifies the Sostratus of Juv. X 178 with the S. mentioned in Plut. περὶ ποταμῶν II 1.
 - 5. P. 156. L. Havet discusses Cic. Orat. 16, 37, 144.
- Pp. 157-160. L. Quicherat's (posthumous) discussion of Catull. 61. 206, where he reads "ille pulveris eruti."
- 7. Pp. 161-187. An elaborate discussion of the Latin imperative in -to, by O. Riemann. All the examples that occur in Plautus, Terence, Cicero's Letters, and Cicero's Orations, are collected and classified; and, until an exhaustive collection from all authors shall be made, the following partly provisory conclusions are drawn:
- The imperative in -to is very common in Plautus, less common in Cicero's Letters and Orations.
- 2. The imperative forms in -tor are archaic (Plautus, Terence) and poetical (Vergil); no example occurs in Letters and Orations of Cicero, nor has the author seen any example in any of Cicero's works (except in citations of laws).

3. The use of imperatives in -to in prohibitions (after ne, neve, etc.) is very rare except in laws, treaties, etc.

4. In the 3d person -to is rare even in positive commands, except in laws, etc.

5. In all the works examined -to in the great majority of cases is employed when an act is not to be performed at once; but in Plautus is found a considerable number of exceptions, in Terence the exceptions are fewer, in Cicero they are rare.

6. Except in laws, etc., the use of the imperative in -to is not obligatory. When two imperatives relate to two successive actions, though -to is often used of the second, the ordinary imperative is probably more common; but when the time of an imperative is marked by a subordinate clause relating to the future, the form in -to is almost obligatory.

7. It is doubtful whether the use of the imperative as a concession (Kühner II,

p. 152) was distinguished by the form from the other uses.
The article closes with a discussion of Cicero's remark on the imperative conservanto (Balb. 16, 35 f.).

8. Pp. 188 f. Louis Havet (1) points out errors in Deiter's collation of MS B of Cicero (De Nat. Deor.); (2) emends a frag. of Ennius (ap. Prisc. 10, 26); (3) discusses abbreviations following the name of the corrector of the MS of Fronto; (4) reads exuvis for exuvis in Plant. Most. 4, 1, 26, and a frag. of Naevius (32 Ribbeck).

9. Pp. 190-192. Book Notices. E. C. notices favorably the following works: (1) Varronis de latina lingua libri, ed. by Spengel; (2) Gellii Noctes Atticae, ed. by Hertz; (3) Syntaxe de la langue latine, by Antoine; (4) De affirmandi particulis Latinis (I. Profecto = 'probably,' 'no doubt,' except in archaic), by Steinitz; (5) Études critique sur Properce, by Plessis; (6) François Guyet, by Uri; (7) Die Handschriften der herzoglichen Bibliothek zu Wolfenbüttel, by O. von Heinemann; (8) Notice sur des manuscrits du fonds Libri conservés à la Laurentienne, by Delisle; and (9) L. D. reviews Poètes et mélodes: Étude sur les origines du rythme tonique dans l'hymnographie de l'Église grecque, by Bouvy. The first part of the work is confused and unreadable, but the bulk of it is very useful.

No. 4.

In this number is merely completed the Revue des Revues, which was begun in a preceding number.

Vol. XI.

No. I.

- Pp. 1-4. The post-Homeric Cyclics, by H. Weil. Chiefly a discussion of the Μικρὰ Ἰλιάς and its relations to the Ἰλίου Πέρσις.
- 2. Pp. 5-9. Remarks on the Greek Epics, by H. Weil. Discussion of a few passages in Apollonios, Μικρὰ Ἰλιάς, Choirilos of Samos, and Hom. Od. (XI 489).
- 3. P. 10. H. Weil (1) proposes $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\sigma\alpha\zeta$ for $\dot{\eta}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\sigma\alpha\zeta$ in Eur. Alc. 644; (2) suppresses id. 668 and reads $\kappa\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\upsilon$ δ' $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ in 667.

- 4. Pp. 11-14. A. Cartault fixes with great probability the exact date of the composition of Silius Italicus' Punica. It covered the period from 88 (or very little before) to 101. Hence it was probably Sil. Ital. that imitated Statius (in his Thebaïs, composed 80-92), not vice versa.
- 5. Pp. 14-16. A. Cartault proposes et alia poemata or poematia for epigrammata or et epigrammata (MSS et appāmata, et ippamata) in the enumeration of Lucan's work by Vacca at the end of his Life of Lucan. The author enumerates the works of Lucan, showing that all mentioned up to this point were poetical.
- 6. Pp. 17-24. Pheres, Admetus, and Herakles in the Alcestis, by Ch. Cucuel. The object of this article is to show that there is no comic element in the Alcestis, and to justify the scene at the burial and that at the table.
- 7. Pp. 25-32. Paul Girard rejects Cobet's explanation of Dem. de Cor. 169, and discusses very satisfactorily the whole question of barricading streets in Athens to force the people into, or keep them out of, the places of assembly, etc. In this case they were to be kept from collecting in the market-place on ordinary business, so as to secure a full attendance of the assembly to be held in the Pnyx. He reads καὶ τὰ γέρρ' ἀνεπετάννυσαν (for MS ἐνεπίμπρασαν, Cobet περιεπετάννυσαν), and refers to the Schol. on Ar. Acharn. 21 f.
- 8. P. 32. L. Havet proposes fausto . . . nupta for facto . . . rapta in Propert. IV 11. 66.
- 9. Pp. 33-41. Paul Tannery discusses two abbreviations used in the Scholia on Aristarchos of Samos, and incidentally discusses a few passages.
- 10. Pp. 42-44. Sp. Vassis corrects the statement in O. Riemann's Latin Syntax that in indirect discourse scripturum esse can represent the direct scriberem (unreal apodosis). In Caes. B. G. V 29. I f., he reads "venturos (sc. fuisse); sese non." etc. He cites fifteen examples from Cicero and a few from other authors to show that fuisse (not esse) was used.
- 11. Pp. 45 f. Ch. Comte shows that Commodian I 28 is a double acrostich, the initial letters giving iusti resurgunt, and the final avari cremantur, except that the present text gives avars: hence, for lucraris he proposes lucrasti—an unusual act. form.
- 12. Pp. 47-48. L. Havet (1) reads nulla mala re os expolitum muliebri in Ter. Heaut. 289; (2) shows that ipsus est belongs to Antipho in Ter. Phor. 215 f.; (3) mentions a metrical ground for considering the Adelphoe as the second play chronologically.
- 13. Pp. 49-61. Remarks on Various Authors, by A. M. Desrousseaux. II. Critical discussion of twenty passages of Lucian and eight of Herodotos.
 - 14. Pp. 61 f. Émile Thomas discusses Tac. Dial. de Or. 5.
- 15. Pp. 62-64. L. Havet (1) rejects Verg. Aen. VI 439 and the last two words of the preceding verse, which was originally defective until some one filled it up from Georg. IV 479; (2) corrects Servius ad Aen. VI init.; (3) reads quai as two shorts in a few passages of Plautus.

- 16. Pp. 65-68. G. Bernardakis critically discusses a few passages of Plutarch.
- 17. Pp. 69-74. E. Audouin shows that ab was used with the agent after -ndus when the meaning was that something was proper to be done, and the dat. when one was under an obligation to do something. He applies his principle to the thirty-three examples furnished by Cicero.
 - 18. P. 74. L. Havet emends Ennius ap. Macrob. VI 2. 25.
- 19. Pp. 75-77. L. Havet shows that in the case of names of ports prepositions were employed to denote the where, whence and whither relations, and discusses some special cases.
 - 20. Pp. 78-79. A. Jacob: note on the signature to Par. Graec. 290.
 - 21. P. 79. S. Reinach proposes mox for non in Lucan. Phars. VIII 146.
- 22. P. 80. L. Duvau: critical notes on Nonius, p. 145, 14s, p. 480, 20ss, and mention of MS in Brussels containing on its parchment covers some fragments of Servius' commentary on Verg. Aen. II.
- 23. Pp. 81-88. A new document relating to the codex Remensis of Phaedrus, by É. Chatelain. The codex of Reims was destroyed by fire in 1774. Collations that had been made are more or less inaccurate, and some of them are lost. Chatelain has found, in an ed. of Phaedrus in the library of the University of France, a few leaves on which Denys Roche, of Reims, answered questions asked by Vavasseur, of Paris, as to readings of the now lost codex. Chatelain publishes the correspondence including the answers.
 - 24. P. 89. The MSS of Montpellier, by Max Bonnet. III. Quintilian.
- 25. Pp. 90-96. Book Notices. (1). Müller's Handbuch der klass. Alterthumswissenschaft, Vol. I, noticed, for the most part very favorably, by O. R. (2). Peajon's Xen. Memorabilia, Book I, unfavorably mentioned by A. J. (3). Roersch and Thomas, Éléments de grammaire grecque, highly commended by A. J. (4). Wilhelm Heraeus, Quaestiones criticae et palaeographicae de vetustissimis codicibus Livianis, very favorably noticed by O. R. (5). Krebs, Antibarbarus der lateinischen Sprache, 6th ed., by J. H. Schmalz, Vol. I, highly praised by O. R. Many important facts are stated in articles in which no one would think of looking for them; hence the reviewer urges the author to add an index of expressions cited out of their alphabetical order. (6). É. Chatelain, Paléographie des classiques latins, instalments 3-5. A list of the facsimiles is given without comment.

No. 2.

- 1. Pp. 97-118. Unpublished scholia of Petrarch on Homer, by Pierre de Nolhac. History and classification. These remarks of Petrarch on Homer, of course, shed light only on Petrarch.
- 2. P. 118. Darest emends Panegyrici Veteres (Teubner), p. 129, l. 17 ff. For poenarum . . . desinit esse barbaria read Pictorum . . desiit esse Batavia.
- 3. Pp. 119-122. Critical remarks on "The Supremacy of Reason" (IV Maccabees), by Henri Bois.

4. Pp. 123 f. Julien Havet quotes from a letter of Gunzon (about A. D. 960) a passage which he shows to have been a pair of hexameters (not prose closing with a hexameter, as Thurot thought). This passage is quoted by Gunzon as a "proverb of Aristotle." Havet infers that the Παροιμίαι of Aristotle existed in a Latin metrical translation. The couplet as emended is:

Limax in concha sibi cornupeta esse videtur, Seque putat cursu timidis contendere damis.

5. Pp. 125-128. Book Notices. (1). Leo Sternbach, Meletemata graeca, noticed, in the main favorably, by A. M. D. (2). Hartman, Analecta Xenophontea, pronounced by A. M. D. "a remarkable contribution to the history, interpretation, and criticism of the text of Xenophon." (3). Omont, Facsimilés de manuscrits grees des XVe et XVIe siècles. General statement of contents, by E. C. (4). Ch. Cucuel, Essai sur la langue et le style de l'orateur Antiphon, noticed by O. R. An excellent vork, but restricted too closely to a statement of facts without comparison with other authors or common usage.

No. 3.

- 1. Pp. 129-141. Fr. Blass, in a letter to Henri Weil, maintains that the first oration of Dem. against Aristogeiton is genuine, but that it was not intended as an oration to be delivered, but was written as an exercise, and never reduced to a final form.
- 2. Pp. 142-153. Several passages of the Aulularia critically discussed by L. Havet.
- 3. Pp. 153-160. Book Notices. (1). Müller's Handbuch, etc., Vol. IV, Part I. Table of contents, with high commendation, by O. R. (2). Schoell and Studemund, Anecdota varia graeca et latina, Vol. I. List of contents, with commendation, by A. J. (3). Classical Review. A description, with favorable criticism. (4). Keller, Thiere des classischen Alterthums, etc., described by L. D. (5). Müllenhoff, Deutsche Alterthumskunde, commended by H. Gaidox. (6). A. Reisch, De musicis Graecorum certaminibus capita quattuor. A Vienna doctor-dissertation (1885) very highly commended by A. K. (7). Simon, Xenophon-Studien, commended by O. R., who mentions other works of the sort, and sums up the conclusions of Simon. The object of the work is to determine the different periods of composition by means of the style, peculiar expressions, etc. (8). Holden, Plutarch's Life of Sulla; tolerably favorable mention by A. J. (9). Van Leeuwen and Mendes da Costa, Homeric Grammar, revised and translated into French by Keelhoff, highly commended by O. R. The work has an appendix containing Il. I and Od. I restored to their true form according to the authors' views. (10). Tycho Mommsen, Beiträge zu der Lehre von den griechischen Präpositionen, erstes Heft (1886), noticed, of course very favorably, by O. R. This work is the first of a series comprising the author's well-known Programmes.

No. 4

This number merely completes the Revue des Revues, begun in the previous number

M. W. HUMPHREYS.

HERMES, 1889.

TIL

H. Dessau. Die Scriptores Historiae Augustae. There are elements, e. g. in the writings of Trebellius Pollio, which are incongruous, passages which commend the very adversaries of the monarch to whom the book is dedicated. Other difficulties are enumerated and emphasized in the discussion of the Life of Aurelianus by Flavius Vopiscus, undertaken at the request of the praefectus urbis, Tiberianus (303-4 A. D.). Chapter 44, Dessau urges, could not have been written in 305 or 306, an encomium of Constantius which at that time could not but offend the Caesar Severus who governed Italy. The reference to Diocletian, too (c. 43), presents difficulties. In a further section Dessau treats of falsifications and inventions, matter designed chiefly to fill space, composed with incredible assurance, e. g. a judgment of Marcus Aurelius (d. 180) about the reign of Pertinax (193 A. D.), and similar anachronisms. A young son of the Emperor Maximinus is called imperator, names and persons were sometimes invented. It is stated that the Emperor Maximinus was of Gotho-Alanic descent; such a fiction may have originated about 380 or 390 A. D.

The Vita Severi copies freely from Aurelius Victor, the Vita Marci from Eutropius. On the whole, then, weighty indicia point towards the latter part of the fourth century, while the attempt was made to present the vitae as composed in the earlier part of the century. The motive probably was the desire to add to the prestige of these writings by creating the appearance of greater antiquity (p. 375), and to make the books more salable. This date would easily explain the incongruities noted above. In the six authors there is a suspicious uniformity, e. g. in their mode of sketching personal characteristics; a similar uniformity is notable in their mode of making reference to authorities (p. 382). Another common trait is the quotation of Greek verses in Latin version, playing on the names of emperors, and the like. Granted that these writers lacked literary individuality, how are we to explain their common use of some extraordinary phrases, e. g. in litteras mittere (= scribere), rei publicae necessarius, conflictu habito? Dessau's inference is that we are confronted with biographies which are indeed the work of one and the same author.

Th. Mommsen. The Oldest MS of Jerome's Chronology. This MS, now in the Bodleian Library, was, according to E. Maunde Thompson, written in the sixth century, and affords important evidence in the province of Latin orthography, being free, on the whole, from the faults of medieval copyist spelling. The MS is superior to the MSS collated by Schoene. Some interpolations seem to have been made very soon after publication.

E. Bethe. Untersuchungen zu Diodors Inselbuch. What Diodorus in his story of primeval Crete quotes from the Theogony of Epimenides is a stupid forgery, or rather is derived from a stupid forgery, although there existed at one time a genuine theogony of that sage. The further description by Diodorus of Cretan antiquity and its heroic age is compared by Bethe with Strabo's quotation from Apollodorus of Athens (commentary on the νεῶν κατάλογος).

Similar tracing of material is attempted in connection with Diodorus' treatment of Samothrace, the Troad, Rhodes, Naxos, etc., suggesting derivation from Apollodorus.

A. Nauck. Analecta Critica, on passages from Homer, Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, Theocritus, Callimachus, Oppian, in the tragedy Χριστὸς πάσχων (ed. Brambs, 1885), Lysias, Diodorus, Plutarch, Diogenes Laertius, Athenaeus, Choricius of Gaza, letters of Crates, Diogenes, Synesius, Eustathius on the Odyssey, p. 1669, 49. Of Latin writers, Ovid and Horace figure in these notes.

IV.

W. Schulz (Ad Scholia Iuvenalia adnotationes criticae) presents some of his observations on these scholia, having in the past had the material of Jahn at his disposal. In this article S. calls attention to older and later hands of scholiasts explaining the same *lemma*. A new edition by Beer of Vienna is expected.

O. Kern (Zu den Orphischen Hymnen) warns against the tendency to derive too much in the hymns from the Orphic Theogony. The reminiscences of the Theogony are crowded together in some few hymns, and the material suitable for a corpus of Orphic literature is growing from day to day, e. g. by means of papyri dealing with mantic matters and the like.

E. Maas (Zur Hekabe des Euripides) opposes Rassow's theory (Hermes, 1887, 514-534) of a recasting of the play. Rassow had exaggerated apparent incongruities ¹ in the exposition of the action. The paper of Maas is an instructive piece of evidence illustrating anew the ever necessary νᾶφε καὶ μέμνασ' ἀπιστεῖν, particularly when the propounder of new theories operates with subjective premises which culminate in negation of tradition.

E. Mass. Alexandrinische Fragmente. The story of Philemon and Baucis (in Ovid) in its details is borrowed or copied from the Molorchus of Callimachus. Molorchus was a man who entertained Hercules when the latter was on his way to do battle with the Nemean lion (Callim. Alvia). This trait is imitated also by Nonnus 17, 41 sqq. Further on Maas quotes minor motifs found in Nonnus, as well as in Tibullus and in Catullus, the original being some Alexandrian poem unknown to us.

M. Willmann. Sextius Niger. Pliny the Elder and Dioscorides $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $b \lambda \eta g$ $lat \rho lat \rho la$

H. Matzat. Der Römische Kalender von 190-168 B. C.

¹ On this subject of. Goethe's remarks on incongruities in Shakespeare's Macbeth, Eckermann, April 18, 1827.—E. G. S.

I. W. Kubitschek. Die Holzpreise des Diocletianischen Maximaltarifs. In the time of Diocletian consumers began to suffer severely from combinations of merchants or trusts, and the emperor and his colleagues in 301 issued an edict fixing certain maxima of price (cf. the National Convention in Robespierre's time), without taking into consideration different economic conditions prevailing in the various provinces. Kubitschek explains the details of measurement preserved in epigraphic copies at Mylasa and Stratonicea in Caria.

E. Schweder. Über eine Weltkarte des achten Jahrhunderts. The Spanish monk Beatus (second half of eighth century A. D.) wrote a commentary on the Apocalypse, to which commentary he appended a chart of the world. Of this chart there are extant three copies: one at Turin, of the twelfth century; one in the British Museum (MSS No. 11695); the best being that in the Bibliothèque Nationale at Paris, of the eleventh century. The statements of Orosius seem to have been especially worked up. There are striking points of contact with the Tabula Peutingeriana and with the itinerary chart used by the cosmographer of Ravenna.

H. van Herwerden. Aristophanea (critical notes). The distinguished compatriot of Cobet manifests in every line a very high degree of familiarity with the critical history of the text. It is impossible and not at all essential in this place to estimate the degree of plausibility or convincing force in the various conjectures from Acharnians to Plutus, but exegesis will certainly be greatly advanced, and teachers reading Aristophanes will be glad to turn to the paper. Many real difficulties are emphasized.

U. Köhler. Über Boeotische Inschriften aus der Thebanischen Zeit. K. first discusses C. I. G. 1565 and Collitz-Meister No. 720, both being decrees in which προξενία was awarded; in the former, to a Carthaginian, Nobas; the name in the second is destroyed; otherwise the phraseology is substantially uniform. Köhler rejects the supposition that No. 1 referred to the reign of Perseus, 174 B. C., because two of the Boeotarchs mentioned are also named in Plutarch Pelop. 35. The historical inference of K. is noteworthy (p. 640): "The demos appointing the *proxeni* of the Boeotians is, of course, not the popular assembly of the Thebans, but the assembly (held in Thebes) of the united Boeotians."

ENGLISCHE STUDIEN. Herausgegeben von Dr. EUGEN KÖLBING, Heilbronn. XII Band, 1889.

I.—Gotthelf Willenberg, The Sources of Osbern Bokenham's Legends. Willenberg's results may be summed up as follows: Bokenham's poems are mere paraphrases of Latin originals. The original is usually a form of the Golden Legend, not always identical, however, with the version printed by Graesse in his edition. From the Golden Legend are taken the stories of Saints Elizabeth, Agatha, Cæcilia, Lucia, and Catherine, and that of the Eleven Thousand Virgins. From the Golden Legend and the Latin Life of St. Agnes, the story of this saint. From the Golden Legend and the New Testament, that of Magdalen. From the Golden Legend and two Latin sources, unknown, but similar

to two that are known, that of St. Margaret. From a Latin original not very different from one printed by Surius, De Probatis Sanctorum Historiis, Oct. 20, that of St. Faith. From a legend probably compiled from the Gospel and the History of the Birth of Mary, that of St. Anna. From unknown originals, those of Saints Dorothea and Christina.

M. Krummacher, Language and Style in Carlyle's Frederick II. The third instalment of this valuable study, treating of stylistic peculiarities, with copious citations.

J. F. Jameson, Historical Writing in the United States, 1783-1861. This is a lecture delivered at Johns Hopkins University in the early part of 1887. Two or three quotations will serve to illustrate the merits of this essay. On George Bancroft, the historian: "... The author's faults—his strident and uncritical Americanism, his rhetorical bias, his lack of objectivity in such studies, the superficiality of his insight into national psychology, his failure to perceive its complexities, his tendency to conventionalize, to compose his populations of highly virtuous Noah's-ark men. The excurses (sic) in which he attempts this are among the least happy and adequate portions of his work. Excursiveness not always well proportioned, is a frequent fault in it. Let us add that he is often not quite fair to the Tories and the British; and then let us confess that a work upon which a man of great talent, with unrivaled opportunities, has spent fifty years of faithful labor, could not fail to be a great book."

Speaking of Prescott: "The unity of design and beauty of detail, the romantic charm and picturesqueness, which the author sought, he certainly obtained. Scarcely less praise must be given to the conscientiousness of his research, though it may be doubted whether his critical insight was of the most penetrating sort. Nor was he a profoundly philosophical historian, distinguished for searching analysis. In one of his early private memoranda, he confesses that he hates 'hunting up latent, barren antiquities,' and though he later to some extent conquered this repugnance, the studies which make the analytical and sociological historian were never thoroughly congenial to him. It is mainly the concrete aspects of life that engage his interest, and as a historical painter of these he was, in the period of the publication of his works, the years from 1837 to 1858, without a rival save Macaulay and Michelet."

The Book Notices contain, among others, reviews of Sweet's Second Anglo-Saxon Reader (why will Sweet, who so long ago told us that 'Old English' was the proper term, continue to use 'Anglo-Saxon'?), Dowden's Life of Shelley, and Vietor's Introduction to the Study of English Philology.

The department of Miscellanea has a good note on Exodus 351b-353a, by M. Konrath, and an interesting biographical notice of Dr. Ingleby, by Karl Lentzner.

II.—A. Brandl, Some Historical Allusions in the Chaucerian Poems. "The Squyeres Tale" is the first to be considered. No one has yet discovered a historical basis for this fragment, the nearest approach to it being the identification of certain names and descriptions with corresponding portions of Maundeville and Marco Polo. After explaining and rejecting an earlier

hypothesis of his own, Brandl finally identifies Cambyuskan with Edward III, Algarsyf with the Black Prince, Camballo with John of Gaunt, then the eldest surviving son of Edward III, Canace with the latter's second wife, the Spanish princess Constance de Padilla, the falcon (always the symbol of a member of a royal family) with Elizabeth, a daughter of John of Gaunt by his first wife, and the tercelet with John of Pembroke, the husband of Elizabeth, who had just deserted her and was about to marry Philippa de March, who is accordingly the kite. Canace is therefore not the daughter of Edward III, strictly speaking, but his daughter-in-law.

When Chaucer says, near the close of the Second Part,

And after wol I speke of Cambalo That faught in lystes with the bretheren two For Canacee, er that he myghte hire wynne,

he is referring to John of Gaunt's service with Pedro the Cruel, whose daughter Constance was, against Pedro's bastard brother, Enrique de Trastamara. The courtesy attributed to the tercelet by Chaucer appears in Thomas of Walsingham's characterization of John of Pembroke as "liberalis, affabilis cunctis, humilis et benignus." Brandl's means of identification is a passage from Knighton, quoted from Twysden, X Scriptores, s. 2677. The passage runs: "Habuit autem idem pius dux in comitatu suo uxorem suam Constanciam, filiam regis Petri Hispaniarum, et Katerinam, filiam ejus, quam genuerat de eadem Constantia; duas etiam alias filias, quas genuerat de domina Blanchia, priore uxore sua, filia et haerede Henrici ducis Lancastriae, scilicet dominam Philippam non conjugatam et dominam Elizabet, Comitissam de Penbrok, dimisso viro suo juvene in Anglia. Qui comes post recessum uxoris suae fecit divorcium et desponsavit sororem comitis de Marchia. Dominus vero Johannes de Holande primo dictam Elizabet desponsavit sibi in uxorem."

According to Brandl, the dates would require us to assign the Squire's Tale to the early part of the year 1390. Within this year Pembroke had fallen in a tournament, and—Elizabeth had married again. This would account for the poem's remaining uncompleted.

Brandl's own summing up is here given in translation: "Chaucer composed the Squyeres Tale early in 1390 in honor of the Lancaster family, then newly returned from Spain, as a mark of his gratitude, and as a means of creating popular sentiment in their favor and of recommending himself anew to their graces. At the outset he alluded to Lancaster's popular father, Edward III, and to the grounds of the English expeditions to Spain (Part I). He next depicted the grief which had come upon Elizabeth, Lancaster's daughter, immediately after their return, on account of the unfaithfulness of her husband, John of Pembroke, together with the kindness of her stepmother, Duchess Constance (Part II). Then were to follow the account of the warlike deeds performed by Lancaster and his famous brother the Black Prince, besides something further concerning their father Edward III, who had favored these adventures. Instead, however, of treating his material with homely straightforwardness, Chaucer followed the current fashion in disguising it as fable. The necessary machinery he borrowed partly from Tartary, the most distant kingdom then known, using for this purpose the available portions of Marco

Polo's Travels; but in part he adopted the bird-masques of the English court poets who dealt with historical materials. The outcome of the whole was to have been a reconciliation, but this was rendered impossible by the marriage of the disloyal Pembroke with another lady in that very year (1390). Accordingly the poem remained a fragment. It was incorporated into the Canterbury Tales without change, as it would appear, and, with a delicate allusion to the poet himself, put into the mouth of the Squyere. The poem furnishes another proof of the realistic character of Chaucer's poetry, in the sense of its being a reflex of real occurrences, and an indication of his unswerving attachment to the House of Lancaster, an attachment which was again revealed by his speedy recognition of Henry IV, the usurping Lancastrian king, on his accession in 1399."

In the second place, Brandl considers the so-called "Chaucer's Dream." According to his interpretation, the elderly lady introduced early in the poem would be Margaret, Duchess of Clarence, the sister-in-law of Henry V; the "queen," Princess Katharine of France, whom Henry V married; and the knight, Henry himself. The earlier dream is referred to the year 1419, the second to the following year. Duchess Margaret is the same whose effigy, side by side with that of her two husbands, of whom the Earl of Somerset was the first, lies in the Warriors' Chapel of Canterbury Cathedral, near that of Archbishop Langton, the champion of English liberty. The correspondences are worked out by Brandl with much skill and plausibility.

K. Elze, Notes on K. Richard II.

W. Franz, Dialect in Charles Dickens, is a classified collection of materials derived from Dickens' novels for the illustration of dialectical peculiarities. The student of archaic forms or of contemporary manners will find much that is instructive in this paper, no less than he who devotes himself to modern English dialects as his peculiar province.

Karl Breul, The Scientific Study of Modern Languages at Cambridge. A useful article, showing how much remains to be done for modern language study at Cambridge, but written with moderation and apparent fairness.

Wilhelm Heymann, The Definite Article in English. The article takes the form of a criticism of G. Wendt's program on the subject, published at Hamburg in 1887.

The most valuable of the Book Notices are those on Morsbach's Origin of the Modern English Literary Language, and Einenkel's Rambles through Middle English Syntax. Both are highly praised, as they deserve.

III.—J. Caro, Minor Publications from the Auchinleck MS. Part X is an edition of Horn Childe and Maiden Rimnild, preceded by a discussion of the origin of the story of Horn and the mutual relations of the various versions, and by an investigation into the dialect, metre, and style of the poem edited. There are two opposite views concerning the poems of Horn, represented by Wissmann on the one side, and by Stimming, Zupitza, and Child on the other. According to Wissmann, King Horn is the oldest form of the legend, and from it came the French romance; the English romance sprang either from the

French or from an independent modification of King Horn. The Scottish ballads on the same theme follow the outlines of Horn Childe. According to the other view, King Horn, Horn Childe, and the ballads, derive equally and immediately from a legend current among the people, the French romance coming from an English source traceable to the same ultimate origin as King Horn, but independent of the latter. Caro's conclusions are: King Horn is the oldest of the three versions, but not necessarily the ultimate literary form. The French romance is derived from one or more English versions parallel with the existent King Horn. Horn Childe cannot possibly go back to King Horn on the one hand, nor direct to the popular legend on the other; probably the author had several manuscripts before him. Caro then represents the hypothetical relations by a diagram or family tree.

W. Sattler, Zur Englischen Grammatik, VII (continued). Sattler's collection of examples is noteworthy. Thus in the discussion of the plurals of names of fish, he cites 206 quotations of 109 different sorts of fish. From this we gain the information that whitebait, for example, is never used in the plural as a collective, nor smelts in the singular for the same purpose, but that herring, carp, haddock, and several others are used in both singular and plural in the collective sense. In so thorough a fashion does the author go about all his researches under this head.

W. Swoboda, Acquisition of a 'Vocabulary' in a Foreign Language, and Especially in English.

The Book Notices have a review of Part II, First Half, of Schipper's English Prosody, and of Ward's edition of Marlowe's Faustus and Greene's Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay.

In the Miscellanea, Max Kaluza adds to the discovered sources of the Cursor Mundi three additional ones; M. Konrath shows that the Kentish version of Sawles Warde is independent of the other Middle English form, though derived from the same Latin original; and H. Schuchardt, under the title Contributions to the Knowledge of Creole English, quotes a number of letters by *Indian* boys and girls, as written from their training-schools at Carlisle.

(In the Report on Vol. XI of Englische Studien—A. J. P. XI 380—in St. Godric's second poem, at should be pat).

ALBERT S. COOK.

BRIEF MENTION.

If Professor Robinson Ellis, who has taken under his special patronage the Minor Latin Poets, had not induced his friend, Mr. E. J. L. Scott, to undertake a translation of Calpurnius into English verse (London, George Bell & Sons, 1890), that little-read bucolic poet would doubtless have waited many generations for an interpreter, and we should have lost a series of English poems, which by deftness of rhyme and vividness of expression show that the translator could have done even better things on his own account than he has done for Calpurnius. Mr. Scott's translation of the Eclogues of Vergil in a similar style had a certain quaint fascination, to which attention was called at the time (A. J. P. V 544). His rendering of Calpurnius, if not so close, leaves us better satisfied, for he has burnished Calpurnius until the shade of the very moderate poet might well wonder at the new foliage and the alien fruit. To be sure, the pastoral simplicity of Calpurnius suffers somewhat, but one does not care for the pastoral simplicity of the man who composed the adulatory Fourth Eclogue-which is too much even for those whom Vergil and Horace have taught a certain indulgence toward court-poetry. The Latin text is not very carefully printed, and it is surprising that Professor Ellis should have allowed so many old-fashioned spellings to stand. Nor does the translation always accord with the text. In III 95 we find

translated

Vel propius latitans vicina saepe sub horti

Or 'neath this neighboring altar lie, As oft in its vicinity,

and as saepes is spelt elsewhere sepes (V 95), we are in a daze until we look up the variant reading

Vel propius latitans vicina saepe sub ara.

There are other slips, but not of sufficient magnitude to mar pleasure or to rouse mirth.

Mr. SHUCKBURGH brings the equipment of a classical scholar to bear on his edition of Sidney's Apologie for Poetrie (New York, Macmillan & Co.), which follows in the main the text of the edition of 1595. The notes are ample for the illustration of the text, and a full index enhances the value of the notes. The excellent work of Professor Cook, noticed in a recent number of the Journal (XI 389), is not superseded by that of his English rival, and those of us who are accustomed to deal with tenth transmissions of stock observations will be pleased to see how the American editor and the English complement each other. Detailed criticism would be out of place here. It may be observed, however, that the story of the Sophister (58, 16), 'that with too much

subtlety, would prove two eggs three,' is to be found in Sir Thomas More, and that Mr. Shuckburgh ought not to have been satisfied with a mere parallel; and it is possible that he has dismissed Herculea proles (62, 28) too lightly. Why should Sidney have fallen into Latin here, if he meant nothing more than 'royal, as were the royal families of Sparta'? We want a contrast to libertino patre natus, and that contrast is furnished by the Herculea gens of the Fabii—that ancient Roman family, commemorated in a passage, that Sidney must have read, Ovid, Fasti II 235 foll.:

Una dies Fabios ad bellum miserat omnes; ad bellum missos perdidit una dies. Ut tamen *Herculeae* superessent semina *gentis*, credibile est ipsos consuluisse deos.

In the paragraph of his grammar (§511 Anm.) in which Aken comments on the rarity of the opt. after $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\varsigma$, he cites only aorists. But the real trouble is to find present optatives, and while there is a well-known instance in Thuc. 3, 102, cited in A. J. P. IV 418 (see Goodwin, M. and T., rev. ed. §614), that is an 'until' $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\varsigma$. A 'while,' 'so long as ' $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\varsigma$ with the pres. opt. after an histor. tense has not turned up, so far as I know. Perhaps the bare announcement will bring out a number of these skulkers, but in the article cited (1883) I could only say, 'pres. opt. as required by general rules of dependence; so after inf. and $\tilde{a}\nu$ (= opt. and $\tilde{a}\nu$), Plat. Theaet. 155 A': $\mu\eta\delta\acute{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ $\mu\eta\delta\grave{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tilde{a}\nu$ $\mu\epsilon i\zeta\sigma\nu$ $\mu\eta\delta\grave{\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\tau\tau\sigma\nu$ $\nu\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta a \mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau$ $\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma$ $\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma$

Revisions that do not revise are too common to astonish even the revisers, and hence my merriment was not much stirred by the Homeric laughter with which some of my friends have greeted the reappearance of Kühner's classical lapse which turned 'Bekker's Homerische Blätter' into 'Bekker's Fliegende Blätter.' See Blass's Kühner (1890), I 1, p. 98. But that is after all a mere lapse, and pages of the Journal might be taken up with a record of the perpetuation of grave blunders. So in Gustav Meyer's Gr. Gr., first ed. (1880), p. 110, we read 'Aristophanes Wolken 870, wo sich Sokrates über die Aussprache des κρέμαιο beim alten Pheidippides lustig macht,' and the same blunder reappears in the ed. of 1886, p. 125. To be sure, Gustav Meyer is quoting from Curtius, Stud. I 2, 275, and it is easy to see how in his eagerness to make a point Curtius turned the facts round; but the error was pointed out at the time of the first ed., and some of the hundreds of Meyer's readers ought to have secured the correction before the second appeared.

In the closing words of Mr. HEWLETT's valuable paper On the Articular Infinitive in Polybius (A. J. P. XI 470), the writer denies the existence of $\pi a \rho \dot{a} = \delta \iota \dot{a}$ with the articular inf. in Demosthenes. The passage which I cite in the

foot-note (21, 96), if written out would have contradicted the text: $\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \hat{\gamma} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu i \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \hat{i} \hat{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu i \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \hat{i} \hat{\tau} \hat{\sigma} \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon l \xi \epsilon \hat{l} \nu \alpha \iota$, but it is one thing to use an articular inf. at the end of a group of substantives (see A. J. P. IV 241), another to use it independently. An independent use is cited by Lutz, Praepositionen bei den Attischen Rednern, p. 153, from 19, 42: $\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \hat{\sigma} \pi \rho \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, and this should have been adduced. See also Rehdantz-Blass, Index II, s. v. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$.

À propos of my little note in the last number of the Journal (XI 483-487) on the Articular Proper Noun in Greek, I would call attention to the beginning of a useful series in Philologus XLIX 3, by KALLENBERG, Der Artikel bei Namen von Ländern, Städten, u. s. w., in which he expresses his 'conviction of the inadequateness of our knowledge as to the most ordinary phenomena of Greek.' The same conviction has haunted me for many years, and may serve to explain, if explanation be needful, the persistency with which I have urged the importance of accurate attainments in a language, which is more raved about than possessed.

ERRATA.

A recent medical writer maintains that there is no absolute "immunity from seasickness, and so no amount of typographical experience will insure an editor against nausea at errors of the press. The unwelcome queasiness will return when least expected. My boyhood's friend Pyrgopolinices shakes his empty head at me from A. J. P. XI 372 (l. 19 from bottom) and asks what has become of the g so necessary to his martial register; and the comparatively venial fault of an imbricated 'vaût' in Mr. Ashburnham's review of Ellis's Avianus (IX 362, l. 13) has haunted me so for two years that I have given up entirely that seemingly inevitable quotation, 'La saulce vaut mieux que le poisson,' and have gone back from Scaliger to Scaliger's original,

όβολοῦ τάριχος, δύ' όβολῶν τάρτύματα.

And now a propos of Ellis's Avianus, it appears that in the last number (XI 522, l. 24) we must read 'Ellis is too much inclined to assume that the prosody of Avianus was the prosody of the classical period' instead of 'the prosody of Avianus was prosody.' In my judgment the mistake is not much to be deplored. Unfortunately all mistakes are not so venial, and Jean Paul's humorous boast remains a pium desiderium, 'In jeden Druckfehler soll sich Verstand verstecken und in die Errata Wahrheiten.'

RECENT PUBLICATIONS.

Thanks are due to Messrs. B. Westermann & Co., New York, for material furnished.

AMERICAN.

Abbott (Evelyn). Pericles, and the Golden Age of Athens. New York, G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1891. 14 + 379 pp. 12mo, cl., \$1.50.

Brinton (Daniel G.) The American Race. New York, N. D. C. Hodges, 1891. 6+392 pp. 12mo, cl., \$2.

Flügel (Felix). A Universal English-German and German-English Dictionary. Fourth rev. ed. In 12 pts. Pts. 2, 3, 4. New York, B. Westermann & Co., 1891. 9+224, 193-416, 417-640 pp. 4to, pap., \$1.

Livius (T.) Books XXI and XXII; ed. on the basis of Wölfflin's ed., with introd. by J. K. Lord. Boston and New York, *Leach*, *Shewell & Sanborn*, 1891. 26+388 pp. Maps. 16mo, cl., \$1.20.

— Book XXVII; with introd. and notes by H. M. Stephensen. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891. 23+140 pp. 16mo, cl., \$1.10.

Plato. Talks with Athenian Youths; tr. from the Charmides, Lysis, Laches, Euthydemus, and Theaetetus. New York, C. Scribner's Sons, 1891. 20 + 178 pp. 16mo, cl., \$1.

Skeat (W. W.) Principles of English Etymology. Second Series. The Foreign Element. New York, *Macmillan & Co.*, 1891. 31 + 505 pp. 12mo, cl., \$2.60.

Sophocles. The Plays and Fragments, with critical notes, commentary, and tr. in English prose by R. C. Jebb. Part 3. The Antigone. Second ed. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891. 75 + 288 pp. 8vo, cl., \$3.50.

Teuffel (W. S.) History of Roman Literature, rev. and enl. by Ludwig Schwabe; tr. from the 5th German ed. by G. C. W. Warr. Vol. 1. The Republican Period. New York, *Macmillan & Co.*, 1891. 11 + 577 pp. 8vo, cl., \$5.

ENGLISH.

Aeschylus. The Seven Plays in English Verse. By Lewis Campbell. Cr. 8vo, 366 pp. Paul, Trübner & Co. 7s. 6d.

Cassell's English Dictionary. Edit. by John Williams. Demy 8vo, 1100 pp. Cassell. 7s. 6d.

Cicero. De Officiis Libri Tres. With introduction, analysis and commentary by Rev. H. A. Holden. 7th ed. Cr. 8vo, 478 pp. Cambridge Warehouse. 9s.

Edgar (J.) The Homeric Hymns. Trans. into English prose. Cr. 8vo, 124 pp. Edinburgh, *Thin. Simpkin.* 3s. 6d.

English Miscellanies (A Volume of) Illustrating the History and Language of the Northern Counties of England. (Publications of the Surtees Society, Vol. 85.) Demy 8vo, ix + 100 pp. Durham, Andrews. Whittaker & Co. 6s. Euripides' Plays. Trans. into English prose from the text of Paley by

Edward P. Coleridge. Vol. 1. Cr. 8vo, 392 pp. Bell & Sons. 6s.

Horace. With a Commentary by E. C. Wickham. Vol. 2. The Satires, Epistles and De Arte Poetica. 8vo, 478 pp. Clarendon Press. 12s.

Kluge (F.) An Etymological Dictionary of the German Language. Trans. from the 4th German ed. by John Francis Davis. 8vo, pp. 430. Bell & Sons. 18s.

Noeldeke. Delectus Veterum Carminum Arabicorum. 8vo. Williams & Norgate. 7s. 6d.

Skeat (W. W.) Concise Etymological Dictionary of the English Language. 4th ed., further revised, with enlarged supplement. Cr. 8vo, 620 pp. Clarendon Press. 5s. 6d.

Smith (W.), Wayte (W.), and Marindin (G. E.) A Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities. 3d ed., revised and enlarged. Vol. 1. Roy. 8vo, 1056 pp. Murray. 31s. 6d.

Streame (A. W.) A Translation of the Treatise Chagigan from the Babylonian Talmud. With introduction, notes, glossary and indices. 8vo, 182 pp. Cambridge Warehouse. 10s.

FRENCH.

Maspero (G.) Études égyptiennes. Tome II, 2e fascicule. La Carrière administrative de deux hauts fonctionnaires égyptiens vers la fin de la IIIe dynastie (environ 4,500 ans avant J.-C.) et les Quatre Noms officiels des rois d'Egypte. Cours du Collège de France 1889-1890. Gr. in-8. (Imprimerie nationale.) Maisonneuve. 15 fr.

GERMAN.

Abhandlungen, germanistische, hrsg. v. Karl Weinhold. 8. Hft. gr. 8. Breslau, *Koebner.* m. 5.40. Inhalt: Zur Geschichte der altdeutschen Verskunst v. Andr. Heusler. viii, 161 S.

Aristoteles' Schrift vom Staatswesen der Athener, verdeutscht v. Geo. Kaibel u. Adf. Kiessling. 1. u. 2. unveränd. Aufl. 8. v, 108 S. Strassburg i-E., Trübner Verl. m. 2.

Aeschylos, Tragödien. Verdeutscht v. B. Todt. Mit dem Brustbilde d. Dichters. gr. 8. x, 414 S. Prag, Tempsky. Leipzig, Freytag. m. 8.

Beiträge, Erlanger, zur englischen Philologie. Hrsg. v. Herm. Varnhagen. IX. Hft. gr. 8. Leipzig, *Deichert Nachf*. m. 1.60. (I-IX, m. 20.80.) Inhalt: Die Quellen v. William Morris' Dichtung The Earthly Paradise. Von Jul. Riegel. Hl. 75 S.

Böttger (Heinr.) Sonnencult der Indogermanen insbesondere der Indoteutonen, aus 125 hebr., griech., latein. u. altnord. Orig.- u. 278 sonst. Quellen geschöpft u. erwiesen. gr. 8. xxxii, 167 S. Breslau, Freund. m. 3.50.

Brugsch (Heinr.) Die biblischen sieben Jahre der Hungersnoth nach dem Wortlaut e. altägyptischen Felsen-Inschrift. gr. 8. iii, 162 S. m. 32 autogr. Taf. u. 5 Holzschn. Leipzig, *Hinrichs' Verl.* m. 5.

Brugsch (Heinr.) Thesaurus inscriptionum aegyptiacarum. Altaegyptische Inschriften, gesammelt, verglichen, übertr., erklärt u. autographiert. 6. Abth. hoch 4. Leipzig, *Hinrichs' Verl.* m. 90. (cplt. m. 404.) Inhalt: Bautexte u. Inschriften verschiedenen Inhaltes altägyptischer Denkmäler in hieroglyphischer, hieratischer u. demotischer Schrift. xvi u. S. 1235-1578.

Büdinger (Max). Poesie u. Urkunde bei Thukydides. Eine historiograph. Untersuchg. 2. Thl. [Aus "Denkschr. d. k. Akad. d. Wiss."] Imp.-4. 80 S. Wien, *Tempsky in Comm.* m. 4.20. (1. u. 2. m. 6.80.)

Burger (C. P., jr.) 60 Jahre aus der älteren Geschichte Roms. 418-358. Historischkrit. Forschgn. gr. 4. 244 S. m. 3 Karten. Amsterdam, Johs. Müller. m. 6.

Catonis (M. Porci) de agri cultura liber, M. Terenti Varronis rerum rusticarum libri III, ex recensione Henr. Keilii. Vol. II, fasc. II. gr. 8. Leipzig, *Teubner.* m. 8. (I 1. 2. u. II 2. m. 14.40.) Inhalt: Commentarius in Varronis rerum rusticarum libros tres scripsit Henr. Keil. vii, 313 S.

Cleomedis de motu circulari corporum caelestium libri duo ad novorum codicum fidem ed. et latina interpretatione instruxit Herm. Ziegler. 8. vi, 257 S. Leipzig, *Teubner*. m. 2.70.

Commentationes Woelfflinianae. gr. 8. 410 S.m. Portr. u. 2 Taf. in Lichtdr. Leipzig, Teubner. m. 8.

Cornuti artis rhetoricae epitome ed. et commentatus est Ioannes Graeven. gr. 8. vi, lxxii, 55 S. Berlin, Weidmann. m. 4.

Domingo de Sancto Thomas, arte de la lengua Quichua. Publicada de nuevo per Jul. Platzmann. Ed. facsimilar. 12. xvi, 98 S. Leipzig, *Teubner*. m. 10.

Forchhammer (P. W.) Prolegomena zur Mythologie als Wissenschaft u. Lexikon der Mythensprache. hoch 4. iv, 127 S. Kiel, Haeseler'sche Buchhandlg, m. 5.

Fröhlich (Frz.) Das Kriegswesen Cäsars. 3. Thl. II. (Schluss). Gebrauch u. Führg. der Kriegsmittel. gr. 8. S. 181-278. Zürich, Schulthess. m. 1.20 (cplt. m. 4.60).

Galeni Pergameni (Claudii) scripta minora. Recensuerunt Joa. Marquardt, Iwan. Mueller, Geo. Helmreich. Vol. II. ex recensione Iwan. Mueller. 8. xciii, 124 S. Leipzig, *Teubner*. m. 2.40. (I et II, m. 4.50.)

Hentze (C.) Die Parataxis bei Homer. III. gr. 4. 18 S. Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht's Verl. m. -80. (I-III, m. 2.80.)

Herwerden (Henricus de). Studia critica in epigrammata graeca. gr. 8. 158 S. Leiden, Brill. m. 3.

Hirsch (Leo). Der überfliessende Strom in der Wissenschaft d. Erbrechts der Hanesiten u. Schafeiten. Arabischer Text v. Schäch Abd ul Kadir Muhammed. Übers. u. erläutert v. L. H. gr. 8. xvi, 121 S. Leipzig, Brockhaus. m. 4.50; geb m. 5.

Holder (Alfr.) Alt-celtischer Sprachschatz. (In ca. 18 Lfgn.) I. Lfg. Lex.-8. iii, S. u. Sp. 1-256. Leipzig, *Teubner*. m. 8.

Homeri carmina rec. et selecta lectionis varietate instruxit Arth. Ludwich. Pars II. Odyssea. Vol. 2. gr. 8. x, 360 S. Leipzig, *Teubner*. m. 8. (II, 1. et 2. m. 16.)

Kalepky (Thdr.) v. der. Negation im Provenzalischen. gr. 4. 26 S. Berlin, Gaertner. m. 1.

Lehmann-Filhés (M.) Isländische Volkssagen. Aus der Sammlg. v. Jón Ärnason ausgewählt u. aus dem Isländ. übers. Neue Folge. gr. 8. xxx, 266 S. Berlin, *Mayer & Müller*. m. 4. (I. u. 2. m. 7.60.)

Litteraturdenkmäler, lateinische, d. XV. u. XVI. Jahrh. Hrsg. v. Max Herrmann u. Siegfr. Szamatólski. 2. Hft. 8. Berlin, Speyer & Peters. m. 1. Inhalt: Eckius dedolatus. Hrsg. v. Siegfr. Szamatólski. xv, 52 S.

Luebeck (Emil). Das Seewesen der Griechen u. Römer. 2. Tl. gr. 4. 48 S. m. 3 Taf. Hamburg, Herold's Verl. à m. 3.

Meyer (Wilh.) Die athenische Spruchrede d. Menander u. Philistion. [Aus "Abhandlgn. d. k. bayer. Akad. d. Wiss."] gr. 4. 71 S. München, Franz' Verl. in Comm. m. 2.10.

Oracula Sibyllina, rec. Alois. Rzach. gr. 8. xxi, 321 S. Prag, Tempsky. Leipzig, Freytag. m. 12.

Patzig (Edwin). Unerkannt u. unbekannt gebliebene Malalas-Fragmente. gr. 4. 26 S. Leipzig, Hinrich's Sort. m. 1.40.

Plutarchi Chaeronensis moralia, recognovit Gregorius N. Bernardakis. Vol. III. 8. vii, 585 S. Leipzig, *Teubner*. m. 3.

Ranisch (Wilh.) Die Volsungasaga. Nach Bugge's Text m. Einleitg, u. Glossar hrsg. gr. 8. xviii, 216 S. Berlin, Mayer & Müller. m. 3.60.

Reiche der alten Welt. 1. u. 2. Bd. 8. Calm und Stuttgart, Vereinsbuchhandlg. 2 m. 3; geb. 2 m. 3.75. Inhalt: 1. Geschichte v. Alt-Ägypten. Von A. Wiedemann. 320 S. m. 40 Abbildgn.—2. Geschichte Babyloniens u. Assyriens. 2. Aufl. d. gleichnam. Werkes v. F. Mürdter, rev. u. grösstenteils neu bearb. v. Frdr. Delitzsch. iv, 264 S. m. Karte, Königstab. u. 25 Abbildgn.

Reinsch (Leo). Die Kunama-Sprache in Nordost-Afrika. IV. [Aus "Sitzungsber.d.k. Akad.d. Wiss."] Lex.-8. 136 S. Wien, Tempsky in Comm. m.2.60. I-IV, m. 7.80.)

Rönsch (Herm.) Collectanea philologica. Nach dem Tode d. Verf. hrsg. v. Carl Wagener. gr. 8. vi, 325 S. Bremen, *Heinsius Nachf*. m. 7.

Schneegans (Ed.) Die Quellen d. sogenannten Pseudo-Philomena u. d. Officiums v. Gerona zu Ehren Karls d. Grossen als Beitrag zur Geschichte d. altfranzösischen Epos. Diss. gr. 8. 85 S. Strassburg i-E., *Heitz.* m. 2.50. Schliemann (Heinr.) Bericht üb. die Ausgrabungen in Troja im J. 1890. Mit e. Vorwort v. Sophie Schliemann u. Beiträgen v. Wilh. Dörpfeld. Mit 1

Plan, 2 Taf. u. 4 Abbildgn. gr. 8. iii, 60 S. Leipzig, Brockhaus. m. 2.50. Schuchardt (Hugo). Kreolische Studien. IX. [Aus "Sitzungsber. d. k. Akad. d. Wiss."] Lex.-8. Wien, Tempsky in Comm. m. 4.50. (I-IX, m. 8.10.) Inhalt: Über das Malaioportugiesische v. Batavia u. Tugu. 256 S. Studien auf dem Gebiete d. archaischen Lateins, hrsg. v. Wilh. Studemund. A. u. d. T.: Studia in priscos scriptores latinos collata, ed. Guil. S. II. Bd. gr. 8. vii, 436 S. Berlin, Weidmann. m. 9. (I. u. II. m. 19.)

Studien Berliner, f. classische Philologie u. Archäologie. 12. Bd., 1. Hft. gr. 8. Berlin, *Calvary & Co.* Einzelpr. m. 9; Subscr.-Pr. m. 7.20. Inhalt: Studien zur griechischen Mythologie. Von Gfr. Görres. 2. Folge. 283 S. (1. u. 2. m. 17.)

Techmer (F.) Beiträge zur Geschichte der französischen u. englischen Phonetik u. Phonographie. 1. Tl. [Aus "Internat. Zeitschr. f. allg. Sprachwiss."] Lex.-8. xi u. S. 339-373 u. S. 145-297 m. 4 Taf. u. 3 Fig. Heilbronn, 1889. Ulm, Kerler. m. 6.

Wagner (Rich.) Epitoma Vaticana ex Apollodori Bibliotheca ed. R. W. Accedunt curae mythographae de Apollodori fontibus et epimetrum praefationem Borbonicam ad Homeri Iliadem continens. gr. 8. xvi, 319 S. Leipzig, *Hirzel.* m. 6.

Wallies (Max). Die griechischen Ausleger der Aristotelischen Topik. gr. 4.

27 S. Berlin, Gaertner. m. I.

Weissbach (F. H.) Anzanische Inschriften u. Vorarbeiten zu ihrer Entzifferung. [Aus "Abhandlgn. d. k. sächs. Gesellsch. d. Wiss."] Lex.-8. 34 S.

m. 6 Taf. Leipzig, Hirzel. m. 3.

Wilcken (Ulr.) Tafeln zur aelteren griechischen Palaeographie. Nach Originalen d. Berliner königl. Museums zum akadem. Gebrauch u. zum Selbstunterricht hrsg. Imp.-4. 20 Lichtdr.-Taf. m. 14 S. Text. Leipzig, Gieseche & Devrient. In Mappe. m. 10.

ITALIAN.

Gattinoni (G.) Grammatica giapponese della lingua parlata. Venezia. gr. 8. 168 p. L. 8.

Ovidio. Favole scelte dalle Metamorfosi, comm. da Andrea Novara. Vol. II, lib. VIII-XV. Torino. 8. 129 p. L. 1.60.

Ronca (Umb.) De D. Junii Juvenalis satiris. Roma. 8. 58 p. L. 2.

BOOKS RECEIVED.

Allgemeines Englisch-Deutsches u. Deutsch-Englisches Wörterbuch von Dr. Felix Flügel. Vierte gänzlich umgearbeitete Aufl. 1. Theil. English-German. Parts 2, 3, 4. New York, B. Westermann & Co., 1891. @ \$1.

AθΗΝΑΙΩΝ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΑ. Aristotle on the Constitution of Athens. Ed. by F. G. Kenyon. Second Edition. British Museum, 1891.

Avenç (L'). Revista Mensual Ilustrada. Barcelona, 1891.

Brinton (D. G.) The American Race. A linguistic classification and ethnographic description of the native tribes of North and South America. New York, N. D. C. Hodges, 1891. \$2.

Bubastis 1887-1889, by Edouard Naville. Eighth memoir of the Egypt Exploration Fund. (Volume for 1889-90.) London, Messrs. Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co., 1891.

Comegys (Benj. B.) A Primer of Ethics. Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891. 50 cts.

Clute (O.) Education at the Michigan Agricultural College. 1891.

Cypriani Galli poetae Heptateuchos ex recensione Rudolfi Peiper. (Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum.) Vienna and Prague, F. Tempsky. Leipzig, G. Freytag, 1891.

Elter (Ant.) De forma urbis Romae deque orbis antiqui facie. Bonn, 1801.

Farnell (Geo. S.) Greek Lyric Poetry. A complete collection of the surviving passages from the Greek song-writers. Arranged with prefatory

articles, introductory matter and commentary. London and New York,

Longmans, Green & Co., 1891.

Fick (August). Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Indogermanischen Sprachen. 4te Aufl. bearbeitet von Adalb. Bezzenberger, Aug. Fick und Whitley Stokes. I. Theil. Wortschatz der Grundsprache von Aug. Fick. Göttingen, Vandenhoeck u. Ruprecht, 1891. 14 m.

Gage (Alfred P.) Physical Laboratory Manual and Notebook. Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891. 45 cts.

Gay (George E.) Business Bookkeeping. A manual of modern methods in recording business transactions. Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891. 75 cts.

Harvard Studies in Classical Philology. Volume II. Boston, Ginn & Co. \$1.50.

Herodotus. Book III. Edited with introduction and notes, by G. C. Macaulay. London and New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891.

Herwerden (Henricus van). Studia critica in epigrammata Graeca. Leiden, E. J. Brill, 1891.

Hoffmann (Otto). Die Griechischen Dialekte in ihrem historischem Zusammenhange mit den wichtigsten ihrer Quellen dargestellt. I Band. Der sud-achäische Dialekt. Mit I Tafel. Göttingen, Vandenhoeck u. Ruprecht, 1891. 8 m.

Homer, The Iliad of, Book XXII. With introduction, notes and appendices, by G. M. Edwards. Cambridge, At the University Press. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1890.

— The Iliad of, Book XIII. With introduction, notes and appendices, by G. M. Edwards. (Pitt Press Series.) New York, Macmillan & Co., 1801.

Homer's Odyssee in verkürzter Ausgabe. Für den Schulgebrauch von A. Th. Christ. Wien u. Prag, F. Tempsky, 1891. geb. 1 fl. 20 kr.

Horatius (Q.) Flaccus. Rec. atque interpretatus est Io. Gaspar Orellius. Ed. Quarta Maior. Volumen alterum. Post Io. Geo. Baiterum curavit W. Mewes. Fascic. Quartus. Berlin, Calvary, 1891. 3 m.

Index to Publications of Archaeological Institute of America, Cam-

bridge, John Wilson & Son, 1891.

Jastrow (Morris). A Fragment of the Babylonian 'Dibbara' Epic. (Publications of the University of Pennsylvania. Series in Philology, Literature and Archaeology. Vol. I, No. 2.) New York, N. D. C. Hodges, 1891. 60 cts.

Kluge (Friedrich). An Etymological Dictionary of the German Language. Transl. from the fourth German ed. by John Francis Davis. London, Geo. Bell & Sons. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891. \$3.

Lamberton (W. A.) I. $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ with the accusative. II. Note on the Antigone. Publications of the Univ. of Penn. Series in Philology, Literature and Archaeology. Vol. I, No. 3. Philadelphia, N. D. C. Hodges, 1891. 50 cts.

Lexicon Caesarianum. Confecit H. Meusel. Fascicul. XVI (querecipio). Berlin, W. Weber, 1891.

Littig (Friedrich). Andronikos von Rhodos. I. Teil. Das Leben des Andronikos u. seine Anordnung der aristotelischen Schriften. Programm des Königl. Maximilians-Gymnasium. München, 1890. Livy. Books I and II. Edited, with introduction and notes, by J. B. Greenough. Boston and London, Ginn & Co., 1891. \$1.35.

— Books XXI and XXII. Ed. on the basis of Wölfflin's ed., with introd. and maps, by John K. Lord. Boston and New York, Leach, Shewell & Sanborn, 1891.

— Book XXVII, with introduction and notes, by H. M. Stephenson. Cambridge, At the University Press. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1890.

Miller (Walter). Latin Prose Composition for College Use. Part 1. Based upon Livy, Books XXI, XXII. Boston and New York, Leach, Shewell & Sanborn, 1891.

Milton's Arcades and Comus, with introduction, notes, and index, by A. Wilson Verity. Cambridge, At the University Press. New York, Macmillan & Co. (Through Cushings & Co., Balto.) 1891. 90 cts.

Oracula Sibyllina. Recensuit Aloisius Rzach. Vienna, F. Tempsky. Leipzig, G. Freytag, 1891.

Pearson (A. C.) The Fragments of Zeno and Cleanthes, with introduction and explanatory notes. (Hare Prize Essay, 1889.) London, C. J. Clay & Sons. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891.

Plauti Rudens. Edited, with critical and explanatory notes, by Edward A. Sonnenschein. Oxford, At the Clarendon Press. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891. \$2.25.

Ramsay (W. M.) The Historical Geography of Asia Minor. Royal Geographical Society. Supplementary paper. Volume IV. London, John Murray, Albemarle St., 1890.

Sallusti (C.) Crispi Bellum Catilinae, Historiarum Orationes et Epistulae. Scholarum in usum recognovit Robertus Novák. Prague, A. Storch, 1891.

Sallustus (C.) Crispus. Bellum Catilinae, Bellum Iugurthinum und Reden u. Briefe aus den Historien. Zum Schulgebr. herausg. von August Scheindler. 2te ufl. mit eine Karte. Wien u. Prag, F. Tempsky, 1891. geb. 80 kr.

Scheindler (August). Wörterverzeichnis zu Homeri Iliadis A-Δ. Zweite Aufl. Leipzig, G. Freytag, 1891. 55 kr.

Schelling (Felix E.) Poetry and Verse Criticism in the Reign of Elizabeth. (Publications of the University of Pennsylvania. Series in Philology, Literature and Archaeology. Vol. I, No. 1.) New York, N. D. C. Hodges, 1801. \$1.

Schmidt (Johann). Commentar zu Caesars Denkwürdigkeiten. Für den Schulgebrauch. Prag u. Wien, F. Tempsky. Leipzig, G. Freytag, 1819. geb. 1 m. 20 pf.

Shelley. Adonais. Edited, with introduction and notes, by W. M. Rossetti. Oxford, At the Clarendon Press. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891. \$1.50.

Shoup (F. A.) Mechanism and Personality. Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891. Sidney's Apologie for Poetrie. With notes, illustrations and glossary, by E. S. Shuckburgh. Cambridge, At the University Press. New York, Macmillan & Co. (Through Cushings & Co., Balto.) 1891. 90 cts.

Sihler (E. G.) A Complete Lexicon to the Latinity of Caesar's Gallic War. Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891.

Skeat (Walter W.) Principles of English Etymology. Second Series. The Foreign Element. Oxford, At the Clarendon Press. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891. \$2.60.

Soames (Laura). An Introduction to Phonetics (English, French and German), with Reading Lessons and Exercises. London, Swan Sonnen-schein. New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891.

Steiner (J.) u. Scheindler (Aug.) Uebungsbuch zum Übersetzen aus dem Deutschen in das Lateinische für die III. Classe der österreichischen Gymnasien. Wien u. Prag, F. Tempsky, 1891. geb. 95 kr.

Stickney (J. H.) Word by Word. Advanced. A Spelling-book. Boston, Ginn & Co. 30 cts.

Tacitus, The Histories of, with introduction, notes and an index, by W. A. Spooner. London and New York, Macmillan & Co., 1891.

Talks with Athenian Youths. Translations from the Charmides, Lysis, Laches, Euthydemus and Theaetetus. New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1801.

Teuffel's History of Roman Literature. Revised and enlarged by Ludwig Schwabe. Authorized translation from the fifth German ed. by Geo. C.W. Warr. Vol. I. The Republican Period. London, George Bell & Sons, 1891. \$5.

Tolman (Albert H.) Shakespeare's Part in "The Taming of the Shrew." (Strassburg Diss.) Balto., Modern Language Association. 1890.

Transactions of the American Philological Association, 1890. Vol. XXI. Boston, Ginn & Co., 1891.

Weil. Les Nouveaux Fragments de l'Antiope d'Euripide. Fragment inédit. Sur quelques fragments de Sophocle. Revue des Études Grecques.